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Abstract. Research on the dynamics and control of flexible link manipulators (FLMs) is increasing in the industrial robotics, but the problem of inverse dynamics of the flexible link manipulators has been paid a little attention. In this paper, an approximation method is presented to calculate the reverse dynamics of the serial manipulators with rigid-flexible links. The linearization of the motion equations for a rigid-flexible translation and rotation of two-link manipulator (manipulator T-R) is addressed. The vibration control and calculating inverse dynamics of a periodic rigid-flexible two-link manipulator T-R are studied. The Taguchi method is used for the design of gain values of the controller PD for the manipulator. The results of numerical simulation show the efficiency and usefulness of the proposed method.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The modeling and control of flexible manipulators are increasing in industrial robotics research. Recent valuable reviews on dynamics and control of flexible robots related to the existing works till 2016 are provided in some articles [1–4]. According to these works, the stability and vibration analysis of flexible robots have been little studied. It should be noted that in many applications of robot design and control, the computation of the full flexible model of a robot is not necessary, while the knowledge of its natural frequencies is required.

The forward dynamics problems of flexible manipulators have been considered in articles [5–10]. In which force/moments of the joint links are known quantities, and motions of the joint links are the quantities to be found. In [11–15], the inverse dynamics of flexible manipulators have been studied in the problems of set-point regulation.
In general terms, an inverse dynamic problem for a serial manipulator is the problem of finding the joint torques that will produce a given motion of the end-effector. The inverse dynamics is originally designed to control the robotic manipulator. If the desired motion of the rigid manipulator is chosen as the fundamental motion, the equation for the error dynamics of a flexible manipulator in the first order approximation has the same form as \[16–18\]

\[
\dot{x} = A(t)x + f(t).
\]

Motion control problems of flexible manipulators can be divided into two classes: regulation and tracking control. The regulation is the control problem around the desired equilibrium configuration of manipulators, in which the regulation \(q_d\) is constant and \(\dot{q}_d = \ddot{q}_d = 0\). If the equilibrium configuration of a rigid manipulator is chosen as the fundamental motion, the matrix \(A\) is a constant matrix. If the desired motion of the joint coordinates \(q_d(t)\), velocity \(\dot{q}_d(t)\), and acceleration \(\ddot{q}_d(t)\) are periodic function, the matrix \(A(t)\) is a periodic matrix.

Robots with flexible links are vibration systems. Therefore, the most important problem in robots with flexible links is the problem of determining the natural frequencies (when \(A\) is a constant matrix) or the dynamic stability domain (when \(A(t)\) is a periodic matrix). When studying the dynamics of a multi-body system with flexible links [19], Briot and Khalil have written: “In many applications of robot design and control, the computation of the full electrodynamic model of a robot is not necessary, while the knowledge of its natural frequencies is required”. We completely agree with this thinking. It can be said that the above thinking is the basic idea of this paper.

For the serial manipulator with rigid links, if the end-effector motion is known, inverse dynamics allows computation of the joint torques to the joints to obtain the desired motion of the end-effector. For the serial manipulator with flexible links, if the end-effector motion is known, we can not calculate the desired motion of the end-effector. Because we don’t know the motion of the elastic coordinates. The inverse dynamics analysis for flexible robots by tracking control has been little studied, and the dynamic stability control of flexible manipulators is presently still an open problem. Robots with elastic links are vibration systems. Therefore, the most important problem in robots with flexible links is the problem of determining the natural frequencies, if the matrix \(A\) is a constant matrix or determining the dynamic stability domain if the matrix \(A(t)\) is a periodic matrix. The main contribution of this paper is the study of dynamic stability control and the calculation of periodic vibration of a rigid-flexible two-link manipulator. Then it is possible to calculate the approximate force/torque of the actuators of the rigid-flexible two-link manipulator T-R.

2. LINEARIZATION OF THE MOTION EQUATIONS ABOUT THE FUNDAMENTAL MOTION

Let us consider now the vertical-planar motion of a rigid-flexible two-link translation and rotation manipulator (manipulator T-R) shown in Fig. 1, where the rigid link OB (link 1) is assumed to be uniform. The link DE (link 2) in Fig. 1(a) is rigid link. The link DE (link 2) in Fig. 1(b) is flexible link. The flexible link DE in Fig. 1(b) is clamped to
the rigid moving base and assumed to be thin, uniform, and satisfies the Euler–Bernoulli beam assumptions of small shear and rotary inertia effects. Both links are connected by disc B which moves on the plane. The payload mass at the free end of link 2 can move without friction relative to the link using a force actuator. Using the floating frame of reference approach [1], the motion equations of some two-link rigid-flexible manipulators are derived in reference [20].

![Fig. 1. Manipulator T-R](image)

In this section, we focus on the linearization of motion equations of flexible manipulator based on inverse dynamics of the virtual rigid motion of flexible link which is given in [20]. The differential equations of motion of the two-link rigid-flexible manipulator can be expressed in the compact matrix form [15, 18]

$$
\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q})\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})\dot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{\tau}(t),
$$

(1)

where \(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}},\) and \(\ddot{\mathbf{q}}\) are the generalized coordinates, velocities, and accelerations, respectively. For simplicity, we choose an elastically generalized coordinate and denote it by \(q_e\). The generalized coordinates, velocities, and accelerations of the rigid-flexible two-link manipulator T-R have the following form [20]

$$
\mathbf{q} = [q_{a1}, q_{a2}, q_e]^T, \quad \mathbf{\tau}(t) = [\tau_{a1}(t), \tau_{a2}(t), \tau_e(t)]^T = [\tau_{a1}(t), \tau_{a2}(t), 0]^T.
$$

(2)

Let \(\Delta q_{a1}, \Delta q_{a2}\) and \(\Delta q_e\) are the difference between the real motion \(\mathbf{q}(t)\) and the fundamental motion \(\mathbf{q}^R(t)\), we have

$$
y_1(t) = \Delta q_{a1} = q_{a1}(t) - q_{a1}^R(t), \quad y_2(t) = \Delta q_{a2} = q_{a2}(t) - q_{a2}^R(t), \quad y_3(t) = \Delta q_e = q_e(t),
$$

(3)

where \(y_1, y_2\) and \(y_3\) are called the perturbed motion. Similarly, it follows that

$$
\mathbf{\tau}(t) = [\tau_{a1}(t), \tau_{a2}(t), \tau_e(t)]^T = [\tau_{a1}(t), \tau_{a2}(t), 0]^T.
$$

(4)

The elements \(q_{a1}^R(t), q_{a2}^R(t)\) are given in [20].
By substituting Eqs. (3) into Eq. (1) and using Taylor series expansion around fundamental motion [20–22], then neglecting nonlinear terms, we obtain the system of linear differential equations with time-varying coefficients for the single-link flexible manipulator as follows

$$\mathbf{M}_L(t)\ddot{y} + \mathbf{C}_L(t)\dot{y} + \mathbf{K}_L(t)y = \mathbf{h}_L(t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The matrices $\mathbf{M}_L(t)$, $\mathbf{C}_L(t)$, $\mathbf{K}_L(t)$ and vector $\mathbf{h}_L(t)$ of the linear differential equations according to Eq. (5) have the following forms

$$\mathbf{M}_L(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
(m_1 + m_2 + m_B) & \left(\frac{1}{2}m_2l_2 + m_2r\right)\cos q_{a2}^R & \mu C_1 \cos q_{a2}^R \\
\left(\frac{1}{2}m_2l_2 + m_2r\right)\cos q_{a2}^R & J_B + m_2r^2 + m_2l_2 + \frac{1}{3}m_2l_2^2 & \mu rC_1 + \mu D_1 \\
\mu C_1 \cos q_{a2}^R & \mu rC_1 + \mu D_1 & \mu n_{11}
\end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$\mathbf{C}_L(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -(m_2l_2 + 2m_2r)q_{a2}^R \sin q_{a2}^R & -\mu C_1 \dot{q}_{a2}^R \sin q_{a2}^R \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\mathbf{K}_L(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \left(-\frac{m_2l_2}{2} + m_2r\right) \left[q_{a2}^R \sin q_{a2}^R + \left(q_{a2}^R\right)^2 \cos q_{a2}^R\right] & -\mu C_1 \left[q_{a2}^R \sin q_{a2}^R + \left(q_{a2}^R\right)^2 \cos q_{a2}^R\right] \\
0 & \left(-\frac{1}{2}m_2l_2 + m_2r\right) \left[q_{a1}^R + \dot{g}\right] \sin q_{a2}^R & -\mu C_1 \left[q_{a1}^R + \dot{g}\right] \sin q_{a2}^R \\
0 & -\mu C_1 \left[q_{a1}^R + \dot{g}\right] \sin q_{a2}^R & EIk_{11}^* - \mu \left(q_{a2}^R\right)^2 n_{11}
\end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\mathbf{h}_L(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
-\mu gC_1 \cos q_{a2}^R - \mu C_1 \dot{q}_{a1}^R \cos q_{a2}^R - \left(\mu rC_1 + \mu D_1\right) \dot{q}_{a2}^R
\end{bmatrix}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters of the model</th>
<th>Variable and unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of link 1</td>
<td>$l_1 \text{(m)}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of link 1</td>
<td>$m_1 \text{(kg)}$</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of disc B</td>
<td>$m_B \text{(kg)}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of disc B</td>
<td>$r \text{(m)}$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass moment of inertia of disc B</td>
<td>$I_B \text{(kg m}^2\text{)}$</td>
<td>$4.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of link 2</td>
<td>$l_2 \text{(m)}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional area of link 2</td>
<td>$A \text{(m}^2\text{)}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of link 2</td>
<td>$\rho \text{(kg/m}^3\text{)}$</td>
<td>7850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of link 2</td>
<td>$E \text{(N/m}^2\text{)}$</td>
<td>$7.11 \times 10^{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertial moment of sectional area of link 2</td>
<td>$I \text{(m}^4\text{)}$</td>
<td>$1.67 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drag coefficient</td>
<td>$a_1 \text{(N m s/rad)}$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drag coefficient</td>
<td>$a_2 \text{(N m s/rad)}$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For numerical simulation, the parameters of the considered flexible manipulator are listed in Table 1. From the parameters in Table 1, we have

\[
C_1 = -0.2348772632, \quad D_1 = -0.0511900094,
\]
\[
n_{11} = 0.2999500508, \quad k_{11}^* = 457.7890772, \quad X_1 = -1.999847202.
\] (10)

3. Dynamic Stability Control of the Flexible Manipulator T-R Using the Floquet Theory

3.1. Calculating Floquet multipliers of linear differential systems with time-periodic coefficients

In the steady of a flexible manipulator, the matrices \(M_L(t), C_L(t), K_L(t)\) and vector \(h_L(t)\) of the linear differential equations (5) are time-periodic with the least period \(T = 2\pi / \Omega\). For calculation of dynamic stability condition, we shall consider a system of homogeneous linear differential equations

\[
M_L(t)\ddot{y} + C_L(t)\dot{y} + K_L(t)y = 0.
\] (11)

According to Floquet theory [16,17], the characteristic equation of Eq. (11) is independent of the chosen fundamental set of solutions. From the parameters of the manipulator given in Table 1, we can determine the Floquet multipliers \(\rho_k (k = 1, \ldots, n)\) of the system of differential equations (11) according to the algorithm presented in the document [23]. If \(|\rho_k| < 1\), the trivial solution \(y = 0\) of Eq. (11) will be asymptotically stable. Conversely, the solution \(y = 0\) of Eq. (11) becomes unstable if at least one Floquet multiplier has modulus being larger than 1. In this case, we need to design the controller for stabilising the motion of the flexible manipulator. Some calculation results of the maximum value of the Floquet multipliers are listed in Table 2.

| Case 1: \(\Omega = \pi\) | \(\rho_1 = 1, \quad \rho_2 = 22.6578, \quad \rho_3 = 0.1304, \quad \rho_4 = 0.9293, \quad \rho_5 = 0.9293, \quad \rho_6 = 0.9729\) |
| Case 2: \(\Omega = 2\pi\) | \(\rho_1 = 1, \quad \rho_2 = 10.8771, \quad \rho_3 = 0.9864, \quad \rho_4 = 0.0893, \quad \rho_5 = 0.9844, \quad \rho_6 = 0.9844\) |
| Case 3: \(\Omega = 4\pi\) | \(\rho_1 = 1, \quad \rho_2 = 0.9935, \quad \rho_3 = 0.9935, \quad \rho_4 = 0.9934, \quad \rho_5 = 0.9934, \quad \rho_6 = 0.9934\) |
| Case 4: \(\Omega = 8\pi\) | \(\rho_1 = 1, \quad \rho_2 = 0.9967, \quad \rho_3 = 0.9967, \quad \rho_4 = 1.0127, \quad \rho_5 = 1.0127, \quad \rho_6 = 0.9966\) |

From Table 2 we see that if using the parameters of the manipulator given in Table 1, the manipulator must work in the parametric resonance region. To avoid parametric resonance, we must adjust the parameters of the manipulator so that the manipulator works in the non-resonant region.

3.2. The PD controller

PD controller \(\Delta \tau_a\) applied on the drive links of manipulator can be selected according to the following expression

\[
\Delta \tau_a = -K_D \left( \dot{q}_a - \dot{q}_a^R \right) - K_P \left( q_a - q_a^R \right) = -K_D \dot{y} - K_P y.
\] (12)

The linearized equation according to Eq. (5) now takes the form

\[
M_L(t)\ddot{y} + C_L(t)\dot{y} + K_L(t)y = h_L(t) - K_D \dot{y} - K_P y.
\] (13)
In which $K_D$ and $K_P$ are diagonal matrices with positive elements as

$$K_D = \begin{bmatrix} k_{d1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{d2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_P = \begin{bmatrix} k_{p1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{p2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(14)

From Eqs. (13) and (14) yields

$$M_L^{(1)}(t) \ddot{y} + C_L^{(1)}(t) \dot{y} + K_L^{(1)}(t)y = h_L^{(1)}(t),$$

(15)

where

$$M_L^{(1)}(t) = M_L(t), \quad K_L^{(1)}(t) = K_L(t) + K_P, \quad C_L^{(1)}(t) = C_L(t) + K_D, \quad h_L^{(1)}(t) = h_L(t).$$

(16)

Eq. (15) can then be expressed in the compact form as

$$\dot{x} = P(t)x + f(t),$$

(17)

where we use the state variable $x$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{y} \\ \ddot{y} \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(18)

The matrix of coefficients $P(t)$ and vector $f(t)$ are defined by

$$P(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & E \\ -M_L^{(1)-1} K_L^{(1)} & -M_L^{(1)-1} C_L^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad f(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M_L^{(1)-1} h_L^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}. $$

(19)

To study the dynamic stability conditions of flexible manipulators, we need to investigate the properties of the homogeneous linear differential system that corresponds to Eq. (17)

$$\dot{x} = P(t)x,$$

(20)

where $P(t)$ is a continuous matrix with period $T$. Based on the stable criteria according to Floquet multipliers, a numerical algorithm for calculating the Floquet multipliers was presented in [23]. The gain values of the PD controller are chosen so that absolute values of Floquet multipliers are less than 1.

3.3. A procedure for determination of gain values according to Floquet multipliers using the Taguchi method

Taguchi developed the orthogonal array method to study the systems in a more convenient and rapid way, whose performance is affected by different factors when the system study becomes more complicated with the increase in the number of factors [24–28]. This method can be used to select the best results by optimization of parameters with a minimum number of test runs. We note that the Taguchi method has the following advantages: It is not necessary to use the derivative of the target function to calculate optimal parameters, and the method allows the determination of multiple stable parameters for the linear differential systems with time-periodic coefficients of complex structures.

This subsection aims to present numerical results that verify the procedure discussed above by using the Taguchi method.
Step 1: Selection of control parameters and initial levels of control parameters

The control parameters are chosen as components of two matrices of additional control torques

\[ K_P = \begin{bmatrix} k_{p1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{p2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_D = \begin{bmatrix} k_{d1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{d2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{21} \]

The gain values of PD controller are chosen as components of the vector of control parameters which has the following form

\[ x = [ x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 ]^T = [ k_{p1} \ k_{p2} \ k_{d1} \ k_{d2} ]^T. \tag{22} \]

Three initial levels of each control parameter are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Control parameters and initial levels of each control parameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>Control parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1 ( k_{p1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 ( k_{p1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 ( k_{p1} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 2: Calculation of Floquet multipliers and selection of target function

The Floquet multipliers of the differential equations (20) are calculated to the numerical algorithms in [24] and can be arranged in a vector as follows

\[ \rho = [ \rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \rho_3 \ \rho_4 \ \rho_5 \ \rho_6 ]^T. \tag{23} \]

Step 3: Selection of orthogonal array and calculation of signal-to noise ratio (SNR)

Three levels of each control parameter are applied, necessitating the use of an L9 orthogonal array [24, 25]. Coding stage 1, stage 2, stage 3 of the control parameters are the symbols 1, 2, 3. The signal-to noise ratio (SNR) of the vector of control parameters \( x \) is calculated according to the following formula [24, 26]

\[ \eta_j = (\text{SNR})_j = -10 \log_{10}(|\rho_{\text{max}}|_j - \rho_d)^2, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, 9 \tag{24} \]

where \( |\rho_{\text{max}}|_j \) is the biggest modulus of Floquet multipliers in the \( j^{th} \) experiment, and \( \rho_d \) is the target Floquet multiplier. The desired value of the target Floquet multipliers is usually chosen empirically. In this example we choose \( \rho_d = 0.4 \). The obtained results are shown in the Table 4.
Table 4. Experimental design using L9 orthogonal array

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial (j)</th>
<th>Control parameters</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_{p1}$</td>
<td>$k_{p2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 4: Analysis of signal-to-noise ratio

From the values of SNR of control parameters in the Table 4 we can calculate the mean value of the SNR of control parameters corresponds to the levels 1, 2, 3

\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{p1}^1 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(1) + \text{SNR}(2) + \text{SNR}(3)}{3} = 22.30077,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{p1}^2 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(4) + \text{SNR}(5) + \text{SNR}(6)}{3} = 21.24417,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{p1}^3 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(7) + \text{SNR}(8) + \text{SNR}(9)}{3} = 24.01827,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{p2}^1 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(1) + \text{SNR}(4) + \text{SNR}(7)}{3} = 22.17673,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{p2}^2 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(2) + \text{SNR}(5) + \text{SNR}(8)}{3} = 22.36663,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{p2}^3 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(3) + \text{SNR}(6) + \text{SNR}(9)}{3} = 23.01983,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{d1}^1 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(1) + \text{SNR}(6) + \text{SNR}(8)}{3} = 21.65803,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{d1}^2 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(2) + \text{SNR}(4) + \text{SNR}(9)}{3} = 23.62483,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{d1}^3 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(3) + \text{SNR}(5) + \text{SNR}(7)}{3} = 22.80333,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{d2}^1 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(1) + \text{SNR}(5) + \text{SNR}(9)}{3} = 23.39927,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{d2}^2 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(2) + \text{SNR}(6) + \text{SNR}(7)}{3} = 23.60477,
\]
\[
\text{SNR} \left( k_{d2}^3 \right) = \frac{\text{SNR}(3) + \text{SNR}(4) + \text{SNR}(8)}{3} = 20.55917,
\]

in which SNR ($k_{p1}^1$), SNR ($k_{p1}^2$), SNR ($k_{p1}^3$), SNR ($k_{d1}^1$), SNR ($k_{d1}^2$), SNR ($k_{d1}^3$), SNR ($k_{p2}^1$), SNR ($k_{p2}^2$), SNR ($k_{p2}^3$), SNR ($k_{d2}^1$), SNR ($k_{d2}^2$), SNR ($k_{d2}^3$) are the mean square deviation
of the control parameters $k_{p1}^1, k_{p1}^2, k_{p1}^3, k_{d1}^1, k_{d1}^2, k_{d1}^3, k_{p2}^1, k_{p2}^2, k_{d2}^1, k_{d2}^2, k_{d2}^3$ at the levels 1, 2, 3, respectively.

Then the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the control parameters can be plotted to use for optimization of seat displacement as shown in Fig. 2. From Fig. 2, the optimal signal-to-noise ratio of the control parameters can be derived as follows

$$\text{SNR}(k_{p1}) = 24.01827, \quad \text{SNR}(k_{p2}) = 23.01983, \quad \text{SNR}(k_{d1}) = 23.62483, \quad \text{SNR}(k_{d2}) = 23.60477. \quad (25)$$

Step 5: Selection of new levels for control parameters

It can be seen from Eq. (25) that the optimal values of $\text{SNR}(k_{pi})$ and $\text{SNR}(k_{di})$ perform iterative calculation. Firstly, new levels for control parameters are selected. Based on the level distribution diagram of the parameter as shown in Fig. 2, we choose the new levels of control parameters as follows: The optimal parameters are levels with the largest value of the parameters, namely, $k_{p1}$ level 3, $k_{p2}$ level 3, $k_{d1}$ level 2, $k_{d2}$ level 2. Therefore, we have the values of the new levels as follows:

If level 1 is optimal then the next levels are

$$\begin{align*}
\text{New level 1} & \quad \text{level 1} & \quad \text{level 2} & \quad \text{level 3} \\
\text{New level 2} & \quad \text{New level 3} \\
\text{level 2_new} &= \text{level 1_old} \\
\text{level 1_new} &= \text{level 1_old} - \frac{\text{level 2_old} - \text{level 1_old}}{2} \\
\text{level 3_new} &= \text{level 1_old} + \frac{\text{level 2_old} - \text{level 1_old}}{2}
\end{align*}$$

If level 2 is optimal then the next levels are

$$\begin{align*}
\text{level 1} & \quad \text{level 2} & \quad \text{level 3} \\
\text{New level 1} & \quad \text{New level 2} & \quad \text{New level 3} \\
\text{level 1} &= \text{level 1} \\
\text{level 2_new} &= \text{level 1_old} - \frac{\text{level 2_old} - \text{level 1_old}}{2} \\
\text{level 3_new} &= \text{level 1_old} + \frac{\text{level 2_old} - \text{level 1_old}}{2}
\end{align*}$$
If level 3 is optimal then the next levels are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{level 1}_\text{new} &= \text{level 1}_\text{old} \\
\text{level 2}_\text{new} &= \text{level 2}_\text{old} - \frac{\text{level 2}_\text{old} - \text{level 1}_\text{old}}{2} \\
\text{level 3}_\text{new} &= \text{level 2}_\text{old} + \frac{\text{level 3}_\text{old} - \text{level 2}_\text{old}}{2}
\end{align*}
\]

According to the rule presented above, we have the new levels of control parameters as shown in Table 5.

**Table 5. Control factors and new levels of control parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>Control parameters</th>
<th>$k_{p1}$</th>
<th>$k_{p2}$</th>
<th>$k_{d1}$</th>
<th>$k_{d2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then the analysis of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is performed as Step 2.

**Step 6: Check the convergence condition of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and determine the optimal control parameters**

After 60 iterations, we obtain the optimal noise values of the control parameters. The calculation results are shown in Table 6.

To determine the mean and variance of SNR we use the following formulas

\[
\text{Mean} = \frac{\text{SNR}(k_{p1}) + \text{SNR}(k_{p2}) + \text{SNR}(k_{d1}) + \text{SNR}(k_{d2})}{4},
\]

\[
\text{Variance} = \frac{[\text{SNR}(k_{p1}) - \text{Mean}]^2 + [\text{SNR}(k_{p2}) - \text{Mean}]^2}{4} + \frac{[\text{SNR}(k_{d1}) - \text{Mean}]^2 + [\text{SNR}(k_{d2}) - \text{Mean}]^2}{4}.
\]

According to the above analysis, we obtain the optimal parameters of after 60 iterations. The optimal control parameters are given as follows

\[
k_{p1} = 9.0838, \quad k_{p2} = 0.1057, \quad k_{d1} = 20.478, \quad k_{d2} = 0.0026.
\]
Table 6. SNR values of the control parameters and ANOM and ANOVA in the row of the SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>SNR ((k_{p1}))</th>
<th>SNR ((k_{p2}))</th>
<th>SNR ((k_{d1}))</th>
<th>SNR ((k_{d2}))</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6523</td>
<td>5.7342</td>
<td>6.4098</td>
<td>5.849</td>
<td>5.911325</td>
<td>0.087707237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22.118</td>
<td>20.6996</td>
<td>23.6227</td>
<td>19.0499</td>
<td>21.37255</td>
<td>2.866608863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.0972</td>
<td>35.592</td>
<td>31.9126</td>
<td>44.4944</td>
<td>35.52405</td>
<td>30.74126709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.3261</td>
<td>41.7656</td>
<td>39.2035</td>
<td>37.4125</td>
<td>39.176925</td>
<td>2.634760812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>137.2585</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using these values, it is easy to find the Floquet multipliers of Eq. (20) as follows

\[
\rho_1 = 0.4000, \quad \rho_2 = 0.0607 + 0.3168i, \quad \rho_3 = 0.0607 - 0.3168i,
\rho_4 = -0.0001 + 0.0000i, \quad \rho_5 = 0, \quad \rho_6 = 0. \tag{29}
\]

From Eqs. (29) yields modulus of Floquet multipliers

\[
|\rho_1| = 0.4, \quad |\rho_2| = 0.3226, \quad |\rho_3| = 0.3226, \quad |\rho_4| = 0.0001, \quad |\rho_5| = 0, \quad |\rho_6| = 0. \tag{30}
\]

3.4. Determine control parameters in a number of common speed ranges

We choose the desired motion rule of the active links in the following form

\[
q_{a1} = 0.025 \cos(\Omega t), \quad q_{a2} = \pi / 4 \cos(\Omega t). \tag{31}
\]

Using the algorithm presented in paragraph 3.3, we can determine the control parameters corresponding to some popular speed ranges as follows Table 7.

Table 7. Control parameters in several speed ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\Omega)</th>
<th>(\rho_d)</th>
<th>(k_{p1})</th>
<th>(k_{p2})</th>
<th>(k_{d1})</th>
<th>(k_{d2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\pi)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.0838</td>
<td>0.1057</td>
<td>20.478</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(\pi)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.8229</td>
<td>0.1296</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4(\pi)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.7505</td>
<td>0.0764</td>
<td>6.1743</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8(\pi)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>7.3226</td>
<td>0.1064</td>
<td>8.0228</td>
<td>0.0604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9(\pi)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13.8598</td>
<td>0.0507</td>
<td>28.4096</td>
<td>0.0525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The transition oscillation of the system depends on the initial conditions. For illustration, we assume that the initial conditions are chosen as follows

\[
t = 0 : x(0) = [0 \quad 0 \quad 0.25\pi \quad 0.25\pi^2]^T. \tag{32}
\]
We calculate transient vibration of the flexible manipulator with the parameters given in Table 7. Some calculation results of the transient vibration are shown in Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6.

**Fig. 3.** Transient vibration of the flexible manipulator with control torque case $\Omega = \pi$ (rad/s)

**Fig. 4.** Transient vibration of the flexible manipulator with control torque case $\Omega = 2\pi$ (rad/s)

**Fig. 5.** Transient vibration of the flexible manipulator with control torque case $\Omega = 4\pi$ (rad/s)

**Fig. 6.** Transient vibration of the flexible manipulator with control torque case $\Omega = 8\pi$ (rad/s)

From Figs. 3–6, we can see that with the selected control parameter, the transient vibration of the flexible manipulator decreases to zero relatively quickly. In other words, the dynamic stability of the flexible manipulator is guaranteed by a simple PD controller.
4. CALCULATION OF PERIODIC VIBRATION OF A FLEXIBLE MANIPULATOR

The linearized differential equations of motion of the two-link rigid-flexible manipulator have the following form

\[ M_L^{(1)}(t) \ddot{y} + C_L^{(1)}(t) \dot{y} + K_L^{(1)}(t)y = h_L^{(1)}(t). \]  \hspace{1cm} (33)

As known in the theory of linear differential equations [16, 17] when the system of homogeneous linear differential equations is asymptotically stable, then the system of differential equations having the right side (33) has periodic solution. Using the algorithm proposed by Khang et al. [23], the periodic oscillation of the system of equations (33) can be calculated in the following form

\[ y^* = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^* & y_2^* \end{bmatrix}. \] \hspace{1cm} (34)

When the parameters \( K_P \) and \( K_D \) are chosen so that the system of homogeneous linear differential equations is asymptotically stable is stable quickly, the solution of equation (33) has the form

\[ y \approx y^*. \] \hspace{1cm} (35)

\[ \text{Fig. 7. Periodic vibrations of perturbed motions by } \Omega = \pi(\text{rad/s}) \]

\[ \text{Fig. 8. Periodic vibrations of perturbed motions by } \Omega = 2\pi(\text{rad/s}) \]

\[ \text{Fig. 9. Periodic vibrations of perturbed motions by } \Omega = 4\pi(\text{rad/s}) \]
Using the control parameters in Table 7, some simulation results of solutions of Eq. (23) are shown in Figs. 7–9.

From perturbed motions \( y \), we call determine the generalized coordinates, velocities and accelerations of the flexible manipulator

\[
q_{ai}(t) \approx q_{ai}^R(t) + y_i(t), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n; \quad q_{ej}(t) = y_{n+j} (j = 1, \ldots, m).
\] (36)

5. CALCULATION OF INVERSE DYNAMICS OF THE FLEXIBLE MANIPULATOR

BASED ON THE LINEARIZATION

In previous sections, the stability analysis, and the calculation of periodic vibration of the flexible manipulator have been studied. In this section an approximate approach for calculation of inverse dynamics of flexible manipulator is proposed.

5.1. Determining the motion of the operating point E

From the periodic oscillation calculated above, we can find the elastic displacement of the elastic beam \( DE \)

\[
w(x, t) = X_1(x)y_3(t).
\] (37)

From Eq. (37) we have the elastic displacement from point E

\[
w(l_2, t) = X_1(l_2)y_3(t).
\] (38)

Then the position of the point E on the elastic link is given as

\[
x_E(t) = l_1 + (r + l_2) \cos(q_{a1}^R + y_1) - w(l_2, t) \sin(q_{a2}^R + y_2),
\] (39)

\[
y_E(t) = q_{a1}^R + y_1 + (r + l_2) \sin(q_{a2}^R + y_2) + w(l_2, t) \cos(q_{a2}^R + y_2).
\] (40)

Using the control parameters in Table 7, some simulation results of the position of point E are shown in Figs. 10–12.

\[\text{Fig. 10. Motion graph of operating point E by } \Omega = \pi \text{ (rad/s)}\]

In Figs. 10–12, the solid lines represent the motion graph of operation point E when the DE link is an elastic beam, the dashed lines represent the motion graph of operation point E when the DE link is a rigid link.
5.2. Calculating inverse dynamics of flexible manipulator

Substitution of Eqs. (36)–(40) in differential equations of the manipulator T-R, we can obtain the actuator torques of the two-link rigid-flexible manipulator [20]

\[
F = F_{d1} + (m_1 + m_2 + m_B)\ddot{q}_a + \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2}m_2/l_2 + m_2r \right) \cos q_{a2} - \mu C_1 q_{e1} \sin q_{a2} \right] \dddot{q}_a + \mu \cos q_{a2} C_1 \ddot{q}_{e1}
\]

\[- \left( \frac{1}{2}m_2/l_2 + m_2r \right) q_{a2}^2 \sin q_{a2} - 2C_1 \mu q_{a2} \sin q_{a2} - \mu q_{a2}^2 C_1 \cos q_{a2} + (m_1 + m_2 + m_B)g,\]

\[
\tau = \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2}m_2/l_2 + m_2r \right) \cos q_{a2} - \mu C_1 \sin q_{a2} \right] \dddot{q}_a + \left( l_B + m_2 r^2 + m_2 r l_2 + \frac{m_2 l_2^2}{3} + \mu n_{11} \right) \ddot{q}_{a2}
\]

\(+ \left( \mu C_1 + \mu D_1 \right) q_{e1} + 2\mu n_{11} q_{a2} q_{e1} q_{e1} + m_2 g \left( r + \frac{l_2}{2} \right) \cos q_{a2} - \mu g \sin q_{a2} C_1 \dddot{q}_{e1} + \tau_{a2}.\]

The elements \( F_{a1}(t), \tau_{a2}(t) \) are given in reference [20]. Using the control parameters in Table 7, some calculation results of actuator torque are shown in Figs. 13–15.
In Figs. 13–15, the solid lines represent the joint torques when the DE link is an elastic beam, the dashed lines are the joint torques when the DE link is a rigid link. Through the graphs, we can see that when the angular velocity of the joint links is larger, the graph of the joint torques with elastic DE link is further away from the joint torque graph with solid link.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, the linearization problem of the equation of motion of flexible manipulator T-R in the vicinity of a fundamental motion is addressed. Then an approach for the computation of dynamic stability control and the inverse dynamics of flexible manipulators has been presented.

A procedure for the optimal design of control parameters of the homogeneous linear differential equations having time-periodic coefficients is presented. In case the system is unstable, a PD controller is added to stabilize the system. Then the optimal parameters of the PD controller are found by Taguchi method. The proposed approach has been successfully applied to a flexible manipulator T-R. On the basis of the calculation of the oscillation of the flexible manipulator, an algorithm for finding the actuator torques of the flexible manipulator T-R has been implemented.

Through numerical simulation, the efficiency and usefulness of the proposed algorithm were demonstrated. The algorithm presented in this paper can be applied to calculate dynamic stability control and the inverse dynamics of flexible manipulators with many elastic links.
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