# DINUCLEAR COMPLEXES OF COPPER AND SILVER WITH QUININE

Received 14 Sep. 2006

NGUYEN THANH HONG, BUI TRONG DAT

Institute of Chemical Technology, Vietnames Academy of Science and Technology

## SUMMARY

The following silver and copper complexes in which quinine is coordinated via the two possible donor atoms was prepared and characterized. Coordination of quinine via the hydroxyl oxygen and quinoline nitrogen is observed in  $C_{20}H_{25}N_2O_2Cu_2(SO_4)_2.6H_2O$  and  $C_{20}H_{25}N_2O_2Ag_2(SO_4)$ . The existence of the proton at the coordinated hydroxyl oxygen is also observed in these complexes. The coordination mode and the conformation of quinine can be derived from IR and Raman spectra and by using NMR techniques.

## I - INTRODUCTION

Quinine - cinchona alkaloid - is a widely used antimalaria drug and its derivatives are applied as optically active auxiliaries in asymetriric synthesis [1 - 6]. In 1996 the Beck's group [7] used the Lewis acids  $[(\eta^5 C_5H_5$ )(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)(OC)Ru]<sup>+</sup>, ClAuSMe<sub>2</sub>, and the chlorine-bridged complexes  $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)MCl_2]_2$ (M=Rh, Ir) and  $[(\eta^6-p-cymene)RuCl_2]_2$  for the complexation of quinine, and obtained the coordination on tertiary N and hydroxyl O of quinine cation. Later, in 1999 the same Beck's group [8] has synthesized quinine complexes using all four potential donor sites of quinine (hydroxyl O, tertiary N and pyridine N atoms, olefinic C=C bond). In other work [9] the Fe-O, Zn-O and Ce-O bonds were evidenced to form via the quinine hydroxyl oxygen with a preservation of hydrogen bond.

In this paper we report on the synthesis of quinine complexes with silver and copper using the pyridine N atom and the hydroxyl O atom with a preservation of the quinine hydrogen bond.

### **II - EXPERIMENTAL**

- Quinine  $C_{20}H_{24}N_2O_2$  was used as supplied (Merck), with the structural formula (1).



- IR: Bruker-vector 22 spectrophotometer. -Raman: Micro-Raman LABRAM-1B.

- All NMR measurements: Bruker AVANCE 500 MHz, the proton frequency is 500.133 MHz while the carbon frequency is at 125.758 MHz. Carbon and proton peak assignments were made using NOESY, HSQC and HMBC spectra.

Synthesis of  $C_{20}H_{25}N_2O_2Cu_2(SO_4)_2.6H_2O$ (2). To a stirring solution of 12.507 g (0.05 mol) CuSO<sub>4</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O in 400 ml of methanol a solution of 16.227 g (0.05 mol) of quinine in 500 ml of methanol with regulating pH value to 5.4 by  $H_2SO_4$  was dropped at room temperature in 2.5 hours. After stirring the mixture for 30 minutes the light-blue precipitate was washed twice with MeOH and dried at 50°C.

С			HSQC	NOESY	HMBC	
No	<sup>13</sup> C	$(CH_x)$	$^{1}$ H	H assignment	H✦	H→C
C <sub>2</sub>	54.92/56.98	CH <sub>2</sub>	3.36/2.59	1 H, dd, 2, 2.5, H <sub>2</sub> a	H <sub>19</sub> , H <sub>22</sub>	Н. Н.
			3.56/3.02	1 H, d, 11, H <sub>2</sub> b	∫	116, 119
C <sub>3</sub>	36.90/39.95	CH	2.81/2.23	1 H, br s, H <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> b	$H_7b, H_{10}t, H_9$
$C_4$	26.50/27.85	CH	2.23/1.77	1 H, s, H <sub>4</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>18</sub>	H <sub>5</sub>
C <sub>5</sub>	24.12/27.63	CH <sub>2</sub>	1.97/1.47 2.26/1.74	1 H, m, H <sub>5</sub> a 1 H, m, H <sub>5</sub> b	$\begin{array}{c} H_5b, H_2a, H_8a\\ H_8b \end{array}$	$H_4$
C <sub>6</sub>	59.99/59.98	CH	3.59/3.07	1 H, m, H <sub>6</sub>	$H_{11}, H_{19}$	H <sub>7</sub> b, H <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> b, H <sub>9</sub>
C <sub>7</sub>	18.21/21.62	$CH_2$	1.50/2.62	1 H, m, H <sub>7</sub> a	H <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>6</sub> , H <sub>9</sub>	Hz Hu
G	11.05/10.16	<u>a</u> u	2.17/3.45	$1 H, dd, 8.5, 8, H_7 b$	H <sub>2</sub> aJ	116, 111
$C_8$	44.35/43.16	$CH_2$	3.28/1.45	IH, m, H <sub>8</sub> a IH br m H <sub>2</sub> b	H <sub>8</sub> b H.,	H <sub>2</sub> b
$C_9$	136.76/141.89	CH	5.60/5.70	$1 H, m, H_{9}$		$H_{10}t$
C <sub>10</sub>	117.59/114.21	$CH_2$	5.10/4.89	1 H, d, 5, H <sub>10</sub> c		10
C	66 70/71 76	CU	5.13/4.93	$1 H, s, H_{10}t$	H <sub>9</sub>	
$\mathbf{c}_{11}$	00.70/11.70	Н	6 45/5 57	$1 \text{ H}, 5, 11_{11}$	119	1170, 114, 1118
C <sub>13</sub>	155.09/147.21	C	0.45/5.57	111, 01 3, 1112		H <sub>11</sub> , H <sub>19</sub> , H <sub>17</sub>
C <sub>14</sub>	127.04/126.58	С				
C <sub>15</sub>	133.85/143.86	С				H <sub>19</sub> , H <sub>22</sub> , H <sub>17</sub>
C <sub>17</sub>	140.02/148.42	CH	8.94/8.40	1 H, d, 6, H <sub>17</sub>		H <sub>18</sub>
C <sub>18</sub>	119.65/118.14	CH	8.26/7.45	1 H, d, 5.5, H <sub>18</sub>	H <sub>17</sub>	H <sub>11</sub> , H <sub>17</sub>
C <sub>19</sub>	100.83/101.49	CH	7.44/7.23	1 H, d, 2.5, H <sub>19</sub>	H <sub>18</sub>	H <sub>22</sub>
C <sub>20</sub>	161.02/157.58	С				$H_{24}, H_{19}, H_{22}, H_{21}$
C <sub>21</sub>	123.94/121.25	CH	7.74/7.29	1 H, dd, 2.5, 2.5, H <sub>21</sub>	H <sub>17</sub>	
C <sub>22</sub>	127.76/131.09	CH	8.31/7.96	1 H, d, 9.5, H <sub>22</sub>	H <sub>21</sub>	H <sub>18</sub> , H <sub>21</sub> , H <sub>19</sub>
C <sub>24</sub>	56.70/55.60	CH <sub>3</sub> O	4.60/3.88	3 H, s, H <sub>24</sub>		H <sub>2</sub> b, H <sub>9</sub>
		Η	10.46	1 H, br s, №H		

*Table 1*: Carbon and proton peak assignments of NMR spectra of  $C_{20}H_{25}N_2O_2Cu_2(SO_4)_2.6H_2O$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

- IR [(cm<sup>-1</sup>), (complex/ligand)]: 3420 br m/3161 br s, v(OH); 3073 m/3075 s, v(=C-H); 2940 m/2933 s, v(CH); 2655(new) w, v(NH<sup>+</sup>); 1619 m/1624 s, v(=CH<sub>2</sub>); 1511 m/1507 s, v(C=N); 1313 w/1321 s, v(NC<sub>3</sub>); 1139 s/1132 m, v(HCO-H) and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>; 851 w/823 s,  $\delta$ (=CH<sub>2</sub>); 619(new) m, v(Cu-O).

- Raman spectra  $[(cm^{-1})$  (a.u.),

(complex/ligand)]: 3079.3 (1523)/3079.4 (4500), v(CH<sub>2</sub>=CH–); 2857.4 (743)/2857.4 (4100), v(Aryl–OCH<sub>3</sub>); 1648.9 (1202)/1637.3 (8000), v(C=N); 1431.6 (3477)/1431.7 (13000), v(=CH<sub>2</sub>); 1366.8 (10343)/1373.6 (52000), v(NC<sub>3</sub>) and  $\delta$ (CH<sub>3</sub>); 1136.8 (1296)/1136.9 (2500), v(HCO-H); 554.0 (new, 2344), v(Cu-O); 437.1 (new, 2155), v(Cu-N).

- Carbon and proton peak assignments of NMR spectra of  $Q.2Cu.2SO_4.6H_2O$  complex were presented in Table 1.

-  $C_{20}H_{25}N_2O_2Cu_2(SO_4)_2.6H_2O$  (752): calcd. C 31.87, H 5.08, N 3.72, S 8.50; found C 29.92, H 5.18, N 2.84, S 8.40.

Synthesis of  $C_{20}H_{25}N_2O_2Ag_2(SO_4)$  (3). This complex was synthesized by the same procedure of  $C_{20}H_{25}N_2O_2Cu_2(SO_4)_2.6H_2O$ . The product was milk-white.

- IR [(cm<sup>-1</sup>), (complex/ligand)]: 3222 br m/3161 br s, v(OH); 3075 m/3075 s, v(=C-H); 2933 s/2933 s, v(CH); 1622 m/1624 s, v(=CH<sub>2</sub>); 1510 m/1507 s, v(C=N); 1382 s/1360 s, v(NC<sub>3</sub>); 1120 s/1100 s, v(HCO-H) and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>; 859 w/823 s,  $\delta$ (=CH<sub>2</sub>); 620(new) m, v(Cu-O).

- Raman spectra  $[(cm^{-1})$  (a.u.), (complex/ligand)]: 3079.3 (3820)/3079.4 (4500), v(CH<sub>2</sub>=CH–); 2857.4 (3954)/2857.4 (4100), v(Aryl –OCH<sub>3</sub>); 1648.8 (6951)/1637.3 (8000), v(C=N); 1431.5 (7295)/1431.7 (13000), v(=CH<sub>2</sub>); 1366.8 (7808)/1373.6 (52000), v(NC<sub>3</sub>) and  $\delta$ (CH<sub>3</sub>); 1136.8 (7596)/1136.9 (2500), v(HCO-H); 456.7 (new, 10425), v(Ag-O); 429.4 (new, 9109), v(Ag-N).

- Carbon and proton peak assignments of NMR spectra were presented in table 2.

-  $C_{20}H_{25}N_2O_2Ag_2(SO_4)$  (638): calcd. C 37.69, H 3.93, N 4.40, S 5.02; found C 36.51, H 3.70, N 4.61, S 7.10.

#### **III - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The IR spectrum of free quinine shows a hydroxyl peak at 3161 cm<sup>-1</sup> with percent absorption 98%, while the spectra of quinine complexes with metals show this hydroxyl peak at higher frequencies with lower percent absorption: 3420 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 70% in **2**, 3222 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 50% in **3**. The Raman spectra of these complexes show an existence of the O-H bond on the coordinated hydroxyl oxygen with the characteristic wavenumber 1136.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> (for O-H of free quinine – 1136.9 cm<sup>-1</sup>). This fact indicates that the quinine coordination with these metals was carried out via the hydroxyl O atom by means of transferring the uncoupled

electron pair on O to a 3*d* orbital of the same symmetry, and the O-H bond has been existing but percent absorption more decreased. This coordination via the hydroxyl O atom of quinine resulted in an appearance of M-O bonds in the complexes (IR: 619 for Cu-O and 620 cm<sup>-1</sup> –Ag-O; Raman: 554.0 for Cu-O and 456.7 cm<sup>-1</sup> –A-O).

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra have also confirmed an existence of the proton (H-12) of the OH group in quinine complexes with metals by chemical shifts 6.45 and 6.63 ppm in **2** and **3**, respectively. In comparison with the  $\delta$  value of H-12 in free quinine (5.60 ppm) the above protons are shifted further downfield.

IR spectra of the complexes presented broad absorption bands with lower percent absorption in region of 2700 - 2400 cm<sup>-1</sup> which is characteristic for NH cation [10]. For 2 this band was observed at 2655 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of this complex shows a weak and broad resonance peak at  $\delta$  10.46 ppm, it is characterized for NH cation. Although IR spectrum of the complex 3 did not show the characteristic peak for NH but it appeared in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum at  $\delta$  10.61 ppm. In each <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum only one resonance peak was occurred, this indicates that the NH cation was appeared only on one N atom of quinine. In our

study complexes were formatted in an acidic medium, so according to [11] and [7] the  $\stackrel{+}{\text{NH}}$  bond is assigned to the quinoline of quinine.

Comparing IR spectra of the complexes and quinine we observed large changes in absorption bands of the NC<sub>3</sub> group: in free quinine this band occurred at 1360 while in complexes it appeared at 1313 and 1382 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Their Raman spectra also showed changes in absorption bands of this group. For example, if the free quinine absorbed energy at 1373.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> with intensity of 52000 a.u., the coordinated quinine absorbed at 1366.8 and 1366.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> but intensities of these bands are 10343 and 7808 a.u., respectively, in complexes. This fact shows that the quinine coordinated with metals via a

quinuclidine nitrogen atom.

С			HSQC	NOESY	HMBC	
No	<sup>13</sup> C	$(CH_x)$	<sup>1</sup> H	H assignment	H◀►H	H→ C
C <sub>2</sub>	53.17/55.90	CH <sub>2</sub>	3.25/2.47	1 H, m, H <sub>2</sub> a	$H_{2}b, H_{8}b, H_{10}c$	И. И.
			3.64/2.86	1 H, m, H <sub>2</sub> b	H <sub>11</sub> , H <sub>12</sub> , H <sub>8</sub> b	116, 119
C <sub>3</sub>	36.69/39.55	CH	2.75/2.19	1 H, br m, H <sub>3</sub>	$H_2b$ , $H_{10}c$	H <sub>5</sub> b, H <sub>7</sub> b
$C_4$	26.49/27.46	CH	2.01/1.//	1 H, m, H <sub>4</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>8</sub> a	$H_7a$ , $H_5a$ , $H_7b$ , $H_3$
C <sub>5</sub>	23.77/27.43	CH <sub>2</sub>	1.88/1.42 2.05/1.68	1 H,br m, H <sub>5</sub> a 1 H,br m, H <sub>5</sub> b	$\left.\begin{array}{c} H_5b, H_8a, H_3\\ H_3, H_8b\end{array}\right\}$	H <sub>7</sub> a
C <sub>6</sub>	59.12/60.62	CH	3.64/3.06	1 H, m, H <sub>6</sub>	H <sub>8</sub> b, H <sub>11</sub>	H <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>8</sub> a, H <sub>2</sub> b, H <sub>11</sub> ,H <sub>12</sub>
C <sub>7</sub>	17.89/24.03	CH <sub>2</sub>	1.45/1.64	1 H, t,13, H <sub>7</sub> a	$H_{7}a, H_{6}, H_{9}, H_{2}b$	H <sub>2</sub> b, H <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>6</sub> , H <sub>11</sub>
C	43 18/41 75	CHa	3 31/2 43	$1 \text{ H}, \text{ m}, \text{H}_7 \text{b}$ $1 \text{ H} \text{ m} \text{ H}_9 \text{a}$	п <sub>8</sub> 0, п <sub>3</sub> J	
$\sim_8$	43.10/11.75		4.00/3.19	$1 H, s, H_8 b$	<u> </u>	$H_4$ , $H_2a$ , $H_2b$ , $H_6$
C <sub>9</sub>	138.79/142.53	CH	5.81/5.87	1 H,tdd,17,3.5,3.5,H <sub>9</sub>	H <sub>11</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> a, H <sub>8</sub> a, H <sub>10</sub> c, H <sub>6</sub>
C <sub>10</sub>	116.25/113.95	CH <sub>2</sub>	5.00/4.96	1 H, d, 10.5, H <sub>10</sub> c	$H_9$	H <sub>2</sub>
C <sub>11</sub>	66.18/70.99	СН	5.95/5.23	1 H, d, 1 /, $H_{10}t$ 1 H, s, $H_{11}$	H <sub>12</sub> , H <sub>10</sub>	$H_{10}, H_{5}b, H_{10}c$
- 11	0010//	Н	6.63/5.60	$1 H, d, 3, H_{12}$	112,19	118, 1150,10-
C <sub>13</sub>	149.01/149.26	С				H <sub>18</sub>
C <sub>14</sub>	126.07/127.05	С				H <sub>11</sub> , H <sub>18</sub> , H <sub>22</sub>
C <sub>15</sub>	142.72/147.43	С				H <sub>19</sub> , H <sub>21</sub> , H <sub>17</sub>
C <sub>17</sub>	146.49/147.43	CH	8.83/8.68	1 H, d, 5, H <sub>17</sub>		H <sub>11</sub> , H <sub>19</sub>
C <sub>18</sub>	119.63/119.06	CH	7.77/7.50	1 H, d, 5, H <sub>18</sub>		H <sub>11</sub> , H <sub>22</sub>
C <sub>19</sub>	101.74/102.48	CH	7.44/7.51	1 H, d, 2.5, H <sub>19</sub>		H <sub>21</sub> , H <sub>22</sub>
C <sub>20</sub>	158.16/156.73	С				H <sub>24</sub> , H <sub>19</sub> , H <sub>22</sub> , H <sub>21</sub>
C <sub>21</sub>	122.38/120.83	СН	7.55/7.39	1 H, dd, 2.5, 3, H <sub>21</sub>	H <sub>22</sub>	H <sub>19</sub>
C <sub>22</sub>	131.60/131.09	CH	8.15/7.93	1 H, d, 9.5, H <sub>22</sub>		
C <sub>24</sub>	56.18/55.40	CH <sub>3</sub> O	4.00/3.90	3 H, s, H <sub>24</sub>	H <sub>19</sub> , H <sub>21</sub>	
		Н	10.61	1 H, br m, NH		

Table 2: Carbon and proton peak assignments of NMR spectra of  $C_{20}H_{25}N_2O_2Ag_2(SO_4)~(DMSO)$ 



258

The quinuclidine N donor can be unambiguously derived from the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra. The signals of the hydrogen atoms situated near the NC<sub>3</sub> (C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>) show a considerable downfield shift in comparison to those of the free quinine (see tables 1 and 2). The hydrogen atoms linked to C<sub>7</sub> are a special case: they show an upfield shift, particularly, in **2** protons H-7a and H-7b suffer a more large upfield shift.

A finding of the M-N bonds in these complexes in the Raman spectra (437.1 cm<sup>-1</sup> for Cu-N and 429.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> for Ag-N) together an observation of a downfield shift for the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signals of H-17 and H-22 which indicate coordination of the metal center to the quinoline N atom.

Finally we proved the coordination of a metal ion at the C=C double bond of quinine in 2 using the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum. In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of this complex two sets of signals in the ratio 1:1 are observed, the characteristic coupling constants of the C-10 protons, lain in *trans* (t) and *cis* (c) positions to the proton H-9, have disappeared. By coordination of the prochiral C=C double bond the C-10 atom becomes a stereogenic centre, and two diastereoisomers are formed [8].

#### **IV - CONCLUSION**

The mode of coordination of quinine with Cu was evidenced via three donor sites: the hydroxyl O atom, the quinoline N atom and olefinic double bond, and with Ag - via two sites: the hydroxyl O atom and the quinoline N atom.

**Acknowledgements:** This research was supported by the Basic Research Program in Natural Science of Vietnam.

## REFERENCES

- 1. O. Cevinka, J. Fusek. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 38, P. 441 - 446 (1973).
- M. Lequan, R. M. Lequan. J. Organomet. Chem., 226, P. 35 - 40 (1982).
- M. Garland, H. U. Blaser. J. Am Chem. Soc. 112, P. 7048 - 7050 (1990).
- Y. Nitta, K. Kobiro, Chem. Lett., P. 897 -898 (1996).
- 5. B. Lygo, P. G. Wainwright. Tetrahedron Lett., 38, P. 8595 - 8598 (1997).
- M. Schÿrch, T. Heinz, R. Acchimann, T. Mallat, A. Pfaltz, A. Baiker. J. Catal., 173, P. 187 - 195 (1998).
- C. Missling, S. Mihan, K. Polborn, W. Beck. Chem. Ber., 129, P. 331 - 335 (1996).
- R. Hubel, K. Polborn, W. Beck. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., P. 471 - 482 (1999).
- Bui Quang Cu, Nguyen Thanh Hong, Do Thu Huong. Vietnam J. Chem., 43(2), P. 152 - 156 (2004).
- R. M. Silverstein, G. Clayton Bassler, Terence C. Morrill. Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds, 5<sup>th</sup> Ed., New York, Wiley, P. 125 (1991).
- E. Breitmaier. Structure elucidation by NMR in organic chemistry. A practical guide. Chichester, Wiley, P. 62 (1995).