FURTHER CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS OF SCOPARIA DULCIS L. (SCROPHULARIACEAE) ORIGINATING IN VIETNAM

Received 25 May 2009

PHAN MINH GIANG¹, DANG THI HUYNH NGA^{1,2}, NGUYEN XUAN HAI¹, PHAN TONG SON¹

¹Faculty of Chemistry, College of Natural Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam ²National Institute of Nutrition, Hanoi, Vietnam

ABSTRACT

Palmitic acid (1), β -sitosterol (2), glutinol (3), and a mixture of β -amyrin (4) and isomultiflorenol (5), whose structures were determined by using spectroscopic methods, were isolated from the aerial parts of Scoparia dulcis L. (Scrophulariaceae). The volatile fraction of S. dulcis was analyzed by GC-MS to show the accumulation of methyl esters of fatty acids. The useful compound methoxybenzoxazolinone (MBOA) was found to be localized in the CH₂Cl₂soluble fraction of the MeOH extract. For the direct qualitative and quantitative evaluation of MBOA in the CH₂Cl₂-soluble fraction ¹H-NMR method was applied in this study. Antimicrobial test of the soluble fractions and MBOA showed inhibition on Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

Keywords: Scoparia dulcis; Scrophulariaceae; triterpenoid; methoxybenzoxazolinone (MBOA).

I - INTRODUCTION

The production of secondary metabolites in plants is recognized as an important source for pharmacologically useful compounds. Therefore the full knowledge of chemical profiles of medicinal plants should be the scientific basis for understanding safety and efficacy of many plant-derived pharmaceutical products. In addition, the chemical profiles of many plants are known to be dependent on growth conditions [1]. One of the aims of our study program on Vietnamese medicinal plants is to have comprehensive chemical profiles of the plants; as a result of the studies a variety of apolar and polar compounds have already been found and reported Scoparia [2a-e]. dulcis L. (Scrophulariaceae) is a medicinal herb of Vietnam [3] whose chemical profile was unclear until our recent investigation [2d]. Interesting scopadulane-type diterpenoids together with lignans, triterpenoids, and flavonoids were 640

found, however, further study was performed to target volatile compounds and other main compounds present in non-polar organic solvent extracts of *S. dulcis* originating in Vietnam.

II - EXPERIMENTAL

1. General procedure

Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on an Impact-410 Nicolet FT-IR spectrophotometer. Electron-impact (EI) mass spectra (70 eV) were measured on a Hewlett-Packard 5989B mass spectrometer. ¹H- (500 MHz) and ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz) with DEPT program spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 500 NMR spectrometer. Tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as zero reference. Analytical high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on a Shimadzu HPLC system equipped with a photodiode array detector (PDA) and a HPLC analytical column Supelco C18 (250 mm \times 4.6 mm i.d., particle size 5 mm); mobile phase was 70% MeOH-H₂O in isocratic mode or 20-100% MeOH-H₂O in gradient mode. A gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS) Thermo Finnigan system fitted with a capillary column SPB-5MS ($30 \text{ m} \times$ 0.32 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm) and splitless injection was used; the oven temperature was programmed from 40 to 250°C at 10°C/min; injector temperature and ion source at 250 200°C, temperature were and respectively; MS full scan was from 50-650 ms; He was used as carrier gas. Silica gel 60 (63 -200 µm, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used for open column chromatography (CC). TLC was performed on precoated DC Alufolien 60 F₂₅₄ sheets (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and detected by spraying with 1% vanillin in conc. H_2SO_4 .

2. Plant material

The aerial parts of *S. dulcis* were collected in Hanoi, Vietnam in February 2006. The material was dried in the shade, oven-dried at 45-50°C for two hours and then powdered.

3. Extraction and isolation

The powdered aerial parts (2 kg) was extracted with MeOH at room temperature (6 times, each for 3 days). The MeOH extract was filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain a MeOH extract. The extract was sequentially partitioned between H_2O and *n*hexane, CH_2Cl_2 , and EtOAc to give the soluble fractions, *n*-hexane- (22.2 g), CH₂Cl₂- (2 g), and EtOAc- (1.5 g) soluble fractions. Part of the *n*hexane-soluble fraction (10 g) was fractionated by silica gel CC (94 g) and eluted with *n*-hexane and *n*-hexane-EtOAc 6:1 and 3:1 and EtOAc to give the following fractions, sd1 (n-hexane elution), sd2-sd5 (*n*-hexane-EtOAc 6:1 elution), sd6-sd9 (n-hexane-EtOAc 3:1 elution), and sd10 (EtOAc elution) based on the volume of eluents and TLC analysis. Palmitic acid (1) (0.86 g) was purified by washing with *n*-hexane from sd2 (1.27 g). Similar treatment gave β sitosterol (2) (20 mg) from sd5 (0.92 g). Fraction sd4 (3 g) was futher chromatographed on gradient silica gel CC using *n*-hexane and *n*- hexane-EtOAc (6:1) to yield four subfractions, sd4.1 (0.97 g), sd4.2 (0.8 g), sd4.3 (0.2 g), and sd4.4 (0.4 g). 2 (20 mg) was recrystallized from sd4.4. Subfraction sd4.2 was subjected to gradient silica gel CC and eluted with *n*-hexane and *n*-hexane-EtOAc (10:1); recrystallization of the chromatographic fractions from *n*-hexane gave 2 (15 mg), glutinol (3) (10 mg), and a mixture of β -amyrin (4) and isomultiflorenol (5) (6 mg). Silica gel column chromatography of part of the CH₂Cl₂-soluble fraction (1 g) using *n*hexane-EtOAc 3:1 and further treatment with *n*hexane gave 6-methoxybenzoxazolinone (6) (10 mg).

Palmitic acid (1): Amorphous powder. R_f = 0.42 (silica gel TLC, *n*-hexane-EtOAc 6:1). IR (KBr): ν_{max} cm⁻¹ 3428, 1708, 1457, 1379, 1030. EI-MS: *m*/*z* 256 (C₁₆H₃₂O₂, M⁺). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 0.88 (3H, t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, H₃-16), 1.21-1.29 (24H, brs, 2H₄→2H₁₅), 1.63 (2H, quintet, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H-3), 2.34 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H-2). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 14.1 (q, C-16), 22.7 (t, C-15), 24.7 (t, C-3), 29.1, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.69, 29.72 (all t, C-4 → C-13), 31.95 (t, C-14), 34.1 (t, C-2), 179.8 (s, C-1).

β-Sitosterol (2): White needles, m.p. 135 - 136°C. $R_f = 0.35$ (silica gel TLC, *n*-hexane-EtOAc 10:1). IR (KBr): v_{max} cm⁻¹ 3427, 1637, 1461, 1379, 1056.

Glutinol (3): Amorphous powder. $R_f = 0.64$ (silica gel TLC, n-hexane-EtOAc 10:1). IR (KBr): v_{max} cm⁻¹ 3443, 1649, 1454, 1383, 1030. EI-MS: m/z 426 (C₃₀H₅₀O, M^{+.}). ¹H-NMR $(CDCl_3): \delta$ (ppm) 0.85 (3H, s, H₃-25), 0.95 (3H, s, H₃-29), 0.99 (3H, s, H₃-30), 1.01 (3H, s, H₃-27), 1.04 (3H, s, H₃-23), 1.09 (3H, s, H₃-26), 1.14 (3H, s, H₃-24), 1.16 (3H, s, H₃-28), 3.47 (1H, dd, J = 3.0 Hz, 2.5 Hz, H-3), 5.63 (1H, brd,J = 6.0 Hz, H-6). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 15.6 (q, C-25), 18.2 (t, C-1), 18.4 (q, C-27), 19.6 (q, C-26), 23.7 (t, C-7), 25.5 (q, C-23), 27.8 (t, C-2), 28.3 (s, C-20), 28.9 (q, C-24), 30.1 (s, C-17), 30.4 (t, C-12), 32.0 (q, C-28), 32.1 (t, C-15), 32.4 (q, C-30), 33.1 (t, C-21), 34.5 (q, C-29), 34.6 (t, C-11), 34.9 (s, C-9), 35.1 (t, C-19), 36.0 (t, C-16), 37.9 (s, C-14), 38.8 (t, C-22), 39.3 (s, C-13), 40.8 (s, C-4), 43.1 (d, C-18), 47.5 (d, C-

8), 49.7 (d, C-10), 76.4 (d, C-3), 122.1 (d, C-6), 141.6 (s, C-5).

Mixture of β -amyrin (4) and isomultiflorenol (5): Amorphous powder. $R_f =$ 0.46 (silica gel TLC, *n*-hexane-EtOAc 10:1). EI-MS: m/z 426 ($C_{30}H_{50}O$, M⁺).

 β -Amyrin (4): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 0.79 (3H, s, H₃-24), 0.83 (3H, s, H₃-28), 0.87 (6H, s, H₃-29, H₃-30), 0.94 (3H, s, H₃-25), 0.97 (3H, s, H₃-26), 1.0 (3H, s, H₃-23), 1.14 (3H, s, H_3 -27), 3.23 (1H, dd, J = 12.0 Hz, 4.5 Hz, H-3), 5.18 (1H, t, J = 3.5 Hz, H-12). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 15.5 (q, C-24), 15.6 (q, C-25), 16.8 (q, C-26), 18.4 (t, C-6), 23.6 (t, C-11), 23.7 (q, C-30), 26.0 (q, C-27), 26.2 (t, C-15), 27.0 (t, C-2), 27.3 (t, C-16), 28.1 (q, C-23), 28.3 (q, C-28), 31.1 (s, C-20), 32.5 (s, C-17), 32.7 (t, C-7), 33.3 (q, C-29), 34.8 (t, C-21), 37.0 (s, C-10), 37.2 (t, C-22), 38.6 (t, C-1), 38.8 (s, C-4), 39.8 (s, C-8), 41.8 (s, C-14), 46.9 (t, C-19), 47.3 (d, C-18), 47.7 (d, C-9), 55.2 (d, C-5), 79.0 (d, C-3), 121.8 (d, C-12), 145.2 (s, C-13).

Isomultiflorenol (5): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 0.8 (6H, s, H₃-23, H₃-24), 0.96 (3H, s, H₃-25), 0.97 (3H, s, H₃-27), 0.99 (3H, s, H₃-29), 0.998 (3H, s, H₃-30), 1.06 (3H, s, H₃-26), 1.07 (3H, s, H₃-28), 3.23 (1H, m, H-3). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 15.6 (q, C-24), 18.9 (q, C-26), 19.3 (t, C-6), 19.8 (q, C-25), 20.8 (t, C-11), 24.7 (t, C-2), 24.7 (q, C-27), 26.3 (t, C-15), 27.5 (t, C-7), 28.1 (q, C-23), 28.4 (s, C-20), 30.7 (t, C-12), 30.8 (s, C-17), 31.5 (q, C-28), 33.3 (q, C-30), 34.2 (t, C-1), 34.4 (t, C-19), 34.5 (q, C-29), 35.1 (t, C-21), 36.7 (t, C-16), 36.8 (t, C-22), 37.3 (s, C-13), 37.6 (s, C-10), 38.8 (s, C-4), 41.0 (s, C-14), 44.1 (d, C-18), 50.7 (d, C-5), 76.8 (d, C-3), 133.5 (s, C-9), 135.1 (s, C-8).

6-Methoxybenzoxazolinone (6): Amorphous powder. $R_f = 0.53$ (silica gel TLC, *n*-hexane-EtOAc 3:1). ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD): δ (ppm) 3.8 (3H, s, 6-OCH₃), 6.76 (1H, dd, J =8.5 Hz, 2.5 Hz, H-5), 6.9 (1H, d, J = 2.5 Hz, H-7), 6.98 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-4). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD): δ (ppm) 56.4 (q, 6-OCH₃), 98.1 (d, C-7), 110.7 (d, C-4), 111.0 (d, C-5), 125.0 (s, C-9), 146.1 (s, C-8), 157.6 (s, C-6), 157.7 (s, C-2).

Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of 6 using ¹H-NMR method ¹H-NMR spectra (500 MHz) of the CH₂Cl₂soluble fraction (10 mg) and reference compound, maleic acid (1.3 mg) were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer in CD₃OD (0.6 ml) using 5 mm NMR tube. The quantitative conditions were: receiver gain 90.5, spectral width 10.000 Hz, acquisition time 3.27 s, relaxation delay 1s, and measurement temperature 300 K; FID was Fourier transformed with LB = 0.3, GB = 0.0, and PC = 1.0. For quantitative analyses integration lines were made using original and final points of each peak.

III - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The *n*-hexane-soluble fraction of the MeOH extract from the aerial parts of *S. dulcis* was separated on gradient silica gel open column chromatography (CC) to give a mixture of volatiles compounds and constituents **1-5** as the main constituents of the fraction. Silica gel CC of the CH_2Cl_2 -soluble fraction afforded the major compound **6**.

Volatile compounds of the aerial parts of Scoparia dulcis

Silica gel CC of the *n*-hexane-soluble fraction gave chromatographic fraction **sd1** (8.6% isolation yield, w/w of the dry material) which was eluted with *n*-hexane. This fraction was found to contain the volatile compounds of the aerial parts of *S. dulcis* and was analyzed by GC-MS. The identification of the compounds was made by comparing the EI-MS spectra with those of the Wiley GC-MS Library. Eight compounds consisting of 77.8% of **sd1** were identified as methyl esters of fatty acids (Table 1); methyl palmitate (43.8%) dominated in the volatile **sd1** fraction.

Structure determination of **1-6**

Compounds 1 and 2 were determined to be palmitic acid and β -sitosterol by comparing their chromatographic and spectroscopic data with those of our authentic samples.

Glutinol (3) showed IR absorptions of hydroxyl group (v_{max} 3443 cm⁻¹) and double bond (v_{max} 1649 cm⁻¹). The triterpenoid structure of **3** was suggested from the following spectral data: a) the molecular formula C₃₀H₅₀O

No	Retention time (min.)	Compound	Content (% ^{a)})	
1	35.93	Methyl hexadecanoate (methyl palmitate)	43.8	
2	37.74	Methyl heptadecanoate (methyl margarate)	1.4	
3	39.14	Methyl 9Z-octadecenoate (methyl oleate)	17.5	
4	39.61	Methyl octadecanoate (methyl stearate)	10.8	
5	43.03	Methyl eicosanoate (methyl arachidate)	2.3	
6	46.20	Methyl docosanoate (methyl behenate)	1.1	
7	49.58	Methyl tetracosanoate (methyl lignocerate)	0.6	

Table 1: Volatile compounds of the aerial parts of Scoparia dulcis L.

^a% of compounds (TIC) were calculated using the SPB-5MS column.

(m/z 426) in the EI-MS spectrum; b) 30 carbon signals [eight quartets (8 q), ten methylenes (10 t), five methines (5 d), and seven quaternary carbons (7 s)] in ¹³C-NMR and DEPT spectra; and c) eight tertiary methyl signals [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.85 (3H, s), 0.95 (3H, s), 0.99 (3H, s), 1.01 (3H, s), 1.04 (3H, s), 1.09 (3H, s), 1.14 (3H, s), and 1.16 (3H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 15.6 (q), 18.4 (q), 19.6 (q), 25.5 (q), 28.9 (q), 32.0 (q), 32.4 (q), and 34.5 (q)] in ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra. An olefinic proton [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.63 (1H, brd, J = 6.0 Hz); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 122.1 (d)] and an oxymethine [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.47 (dd, J = 3.0 Hz, 2.5 Hz); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 76.4 (d)] were also present in the structure of 3. The MS and NMR spectroscopic data were in good agreement with those of glutinol [4]. The compound showed anti-inflammatory activity [5] and was isolated in the acetate form from the whole plant of *Euphorbia maculata* [4]. The β orientation of the hydroxyl group at C-3 was determined from the splitting pattern of H-3 (dd, J = 3.0 Hz, 2.5 Hz) (3 β -acetoxy: t, J = 2.9 Hz [4]). Retro-Diels-Alder fragment from ring B at m/z 274 in the EI-MS spectrum of 3 was characteristic of a C5-C6 double bond in the glutinane skeleton.

A mixture of β -amyrin (4) and isomultiflorenol (5) (¹H-NMR ratio 1:1) showed 60 carbon signals in ¹³C-NMR and DEPT spectra and molecular ion peak at m/z 426 (C₃₀H₅₀O) in the EI-MS spectrum of the mixture. Thus, triterpenoid skeletons were suggested for both 4 and 5.

A trisubstituted double bond [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.18 (1H, t, J = 3.5 Hz); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 121.8 (d) and 145.2 (s)], an oxymethine [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.23, dd (J = 12.0 Hz, 4.5 Hz); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 79.0 (d)], and eight tertiary methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.79 (3H, s), 0.83 (3H, s), 0.87 (6H, s), 0.94 (3H, s), 0.97 (3H, s), 1.0 (3H, s), and 1.14 (3H, s); δ_{c} 15.5 (q), 15.6 (q), 16.8 (q), 23.7 (q), 26.0 (q), 28.1 (q), 28.3 (q), and 33.3 (q)] were resolved for 4 in the ¹H-, ¹³C-NMR, and DEPT spectra of the mixture. Since the MS and NMR spectroscopic data were suggestive for an olean-12-ene skeleton and the placement of the hydroxyl group was suggested at C-3 on the basis of biogenetic considerations 4 was determined to be β -amyrin [4, 6]. The 3-OH group was assigned as β -orientated (equatorial) on the basis of the coupling constant of H-3 (J_{2a} - $_{3a}$ = 12.0 Hz) and the the chemical shift of C-3 (δ_{C-3} 79.0). *Retro*-Diels-Alder fragmentation of the ring C gave peak at m/z 218 in the EI-MS spectrum of 4 which was in agreement with a C12-C13 double bond.

The ¹H-, ¹³C-NMR, and DEPT spectra for **5** exhibited the presence of a tetrasubstituted double bond [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 133.5 (s) và 135.1 (s)], an oxymethine group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.23 (1H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 76.8 (d)], and eight tertiary methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.8 (6H, s), 0.96 (3H, s), 0.97 (3H, s), 0.99 (3H, s), 0.998 (3H, s), 1.06 (3H, s), and 1.07 (3H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 15.6 (q), 18.9 (q), 19.8 (q), 24.7 (q), 28.1 (q), 31.5 (q), 33.3 (q), and 34.5 (q)]. Thus, a pentacyclic triterpenoid structure possessing a double bond

which was deduced to be situated between two fused rings of the skeleton. Comparison of the chemical shifts of the methyl groups with those of model triterpenoid compounds revealed the multiflorane skeleton of **3**. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data of **5** determined the structure of **5** to be isomultiflorenol (5 α multiflor-8-en-3 β -ol) [7]. The orientation of the hydroxyl group at C-3 was determined to be β on the basis of the large coupling constant J_{2a-3a} (~ 12.0 Hz).

6-Methoxybenzoxazolinone (6) exhibited a Dragendorff-Munier color reaction. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data of 6 showed the presence of a methoxyl group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.8 (s); $\delta_{\rm C}$

56.4)], a 1,2,4-trisubstituted benzene ring $[\delta_{\rm H}]$ 6.98 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 6.9 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), and 6.76 (dd, J = 8.5 Hz, 2.5 Hz); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 98.1, 110.7, and 111.0], three aromatic carbons bearing nitrogen ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 125.0) and oxygen ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 146.1 and 157.6), and an amide group ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 157.7). Thus, the NMR spectroscopic data of 6 were in good accordance with a benzoxazolinone skeleton. Literature search for benzoxazolinone compounds determined 6-6 as methoxybenzoxazolin-2(3H)-one (MBOA) which was found in the plants of families Gramineae [8]. Acanthaceae [9], Scrophulariaceae (Scoparia dulcis) [9], and Ranunculaceae [10].



Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of **6** in the CH_2Cl_2 -soluble fraction using ¹H-NMR method

Benzoxazolinones were identified as thermal degradation products of cyclic hydroxamic acids (1,4-benzoxazin-3-ones) in aqueous or aprotic organic solutions. 1,4-Benzoxazin-3-ones are the natural chemical resistance factors against bacteria and insects; these compounds were liberated from the corresponding stable β -glucosides by enzymatic hydrolysis reactions when plants were disturbed and cells were ruptured. MBOA was the major nitrogencontaining compound in the *S. dulcis* and may be formed similarly from 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazin-3(4*H*)-one

(DIMBOA) β -D-glucoside, therefore, the collection, processing, and extraction procedures have great influence on the content of MBOA obtained. Consequently, a rapid, simultaneous, and non-destructive qualitative and quantitative analysis of **6** in the CH₂Cl₂-soluble fraction by using ¹H-NMR method [11,

12] was developed in this study. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of the fraction was recorded in CD₃OD and showed clearly resolved aromatic signals of the main compound 6 [$\delta_{\text{H-4}}$ 6,99 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), $\delta_{\text{H-7}}$ 6.91 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), $\delta_{\text{H-5}}$ 6.77 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2.5 Hz), and δ_{6-OMe} 3.80 (s)] from the crowded aliphatic and oxygenated region ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0,8-4.2). Due to very high intensities of all resonances of 6 in comparison with those of the other compounds of the mixture the complete assignment of all protons signals of 6 was an easy task making clear confirmation of the presence of 6 by the 1 H-NMR method. Quantification was performed by calculating the relative ratio of the peak areas of selected proton signals of the target compound 6 to the known amount of the internal standard, maleic acid. The signal due to H-7 of 6 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.91, 1H, intensity 0.722) was resolved from other minor aromatic signals of 6 and was selected as the target signal for the quantification of 6. The signal of maleic acid appeared at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.32 ppm (s, 2H, intensity 1.656). The content of MBOA was

calculated to be 16.1% (w/w) in the CH₂Cl₂-soluble fraction and 0.016% (w/w) in the dried aerial parts of *S. dulcis*.

Evaluation on antibacterial and antifungal activity of the three soluble fractions and MBOA

Antimicrobial activity of the three soluble fractions and MBOA (6) was tested on a 96-well plate using the method described by Vander Berghe and Vlietinck [13]. The laboratory strains were two Gram (–) bacteria strains, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, two Gram (+) bacteria strains, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus* aureus, and four fungi/yeasts Aspergillus niger, Fusarium oxysporum. Candida albicans, and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The results were summarized in table 2. 6 was active against E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and S. cerevisiae at the same MIC at 50 mg/ml. The increased activity of 6 against E. coli in comparison with that of the CH₂Cl₂-soluble fraction (MIC at 200 mg/ml) was noticeable. The weak activity of the EtOAcsoluble fraction against P. aeruginosa (MIC at 200 mg/ml) and S. cerevisiae (MIC at 200 mg/ml) was also detected.

Table 2: Antimicrobial activity of the soluble fractions and MBOA

No.	Soluble fraction/ Compound	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC, mg/ml)								
		E. coli	<i>P</i> .	<i>B</i> .	S.	<i>A</i> .	<i>F</i> .	S.	С.	
			aeruginosa	subtillis	aureus	niger	oxysporum	cerevisiae	albicans	
1	Hexane-	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	
2	CH ₂ Cl ₂ -	200	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	
3	EtOAc-	(-)	200	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	200	(-)	
4	MBOA	50	50	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	50	(-)	

(-): no inhibition on microorganism growth.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Special Project of Vietnam National University, Hanoi (Grant Code No. QG-08-05) and a Research Grant of the International Foundation for Science (IFS, Stockholm, Sweden) to P. M. G. The antimicrobial tests were carried out in the Laboratory of Bioactivity Tests, Institute of Natural Products Chemistry, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam.

REFERENCES

- S. Y. Yang, H. K. Kim, A. W. M. Lefeber, C. Erkelens, N. Angelova, Y. H. Choi, R. Verpoorte. Planta Med., 72, 364 - 369 (2006).
- (a) Phan Tong Son, Phan Minh Giang, W. C. Taylor, Aust. J. Chem., 53, 1003 - 1005 (2000); (b) Phan Minh Giang, Phan Tong Son, H. Otsuka. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 53,

232 - 234 (2005); (c) Phan Minh Giang, Phan Tong Son, K. Matsunami, H. Otsuka. Chem. Pharm. Bull., **53**, 1600 - 1603 (2005); (d) Phan Minh Giang, Phan Tong Son, K. Matsunami, H. Otsuka. Chem. Pharm. Bull., **54**, 546 - 549 (2006); (e) Phan Minh Giang, Phan Tong Son, K. Matsunami, H. Otsuka. Heterocycles, **74**, 977 - 981 (2007).

- 3. V. C. Vo. The Dictionary of Vietnamese Medicinal Plants, p. 1234, Publishing House Medicine, Ho Chi Minh city (1999).
- 4. S. Matsunaga, R. Tanaka, M. Akagi. Phytochemistry, **27**, 535 - 537 (1988).
- S. M. Frere, L. M. Torres, N. F. Roque, C. Souccar, A. J. Lapa. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz., 86 (Suppl. 2), 149 - 151 (1991).
- M. Ali, A. Heaton, D. Leach. J. Nat. Prod., 60, 1150 - 1151 (1997).
- 7. T. Itoh, T. Shigemoto, N. Shimizu, T.

Tamura, T. Matsumoto. Phytochemistry, **21**, 2414 - 2415 (1982).

- 8. A. Gierl, K. Frey. Planta, **213**, 493 498 (2001).
- K. Pratt, P. Kumar, W. S. Chilton. Biochem. Syst. Ecol., 23, 781 - 785 (1995).
- 10. S. Özden, T. Özden, I. Attila, Küçükislamoglu, A. Okatan. J.

Chromatog., 609, 402 - 406 (1992).

- U. Holzgrabe, B. W. K. Diehl, I. Wawer. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 17, 557 - 616 (1998).
- 12. G. F. Pauli. Phytochem. Anal., **12**, 28 42 (2001).
- D. A. Vanden Bergher, A. J. Vlietlink in Methods in Plant Biochemistry, ed. Hostettman K., Vol. 6, p. 47 - 68, Academic Press, London (1991).