

## Extracting Invariants Based on Coordinate Transformations

Ngo Quoc Tao

Institute of Informatics

National Centre for Scientific Research of Vietnam

### 1. The statistic invariants

Let  $f(x, y) \geq 0$  be a real bounded function with the support on finite region  $R$  we define  $(p + q)$ th-order moments by

$$m_{pq} = \int \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) x^p y^q dx dy, \quad p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

Note that, setting  $f(x, y) = 1$  the equation (1) gives the moments of region  $R$  that could represent a shape. Thus, the results presented here would be applicable to arbitrary objects as well as the shape.

**Theorem:** *The finite set moments  $\{m_{pq}, p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  uniquely determine  $f(x, y)$ , and vice versa [4].*

The center moment  $\mu_{pq}$  is defined as follows:

$$\mu_{pq} = \int \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) (x - \bar{x})^p (y - \bar{y})^q dx dy, \quad p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

where  $\bar{x} = \frac{m_{10}}{m_{00}}$ ,  $\bar{y} = \frac{m_{01}}{m_{00}}$  and the standard moment  $\eta_{pq}$  for scaling transformation is defined follows:

$$\eta_{pq} = \frac{\mu_{pq}}{\mu_{00}^\gamma}, \quad \gamma = 1/(2(p+q)) + 1.$$

7 invariants were indicated by Hu [1] for above transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_1 &= \mu_{20} + \mu_{02} \\
 \Phi_2 &= (\mu_{20} - \mu_{02})^2 + \mu_{11}^2 \\
 \Phi_3 &= (\mu_{30} - 3\mu_{12})^2 + (\mu_{03} - 3\mu_{21})^2 \\
 \Phi_4 &= (\mu_{30} + \mu_{12})^2 + (\mu_{03} + \mu_{21})^2 \\
 \Phi_5 &= (\mu_{30} - 3\mu_{12})(\mu_{30} + \mu_{12})((\mu_{03} + \mu_{12})^2 - 3(\mu_{21} + \mu_{03})^2) \\
 &\quad + (3\mu_{21} - \mu_{03})(\mu_{03} + \mu_{21})((\mu_{03} + \mu_{12})^2 - 3(\mu_{12} + \mu_{30})^2) \\
 \Phi_6 &= (\mu_{20} - \mu_{02})[(\mu_{30} + \mu_{12})^2 - (\mu_{21} + \mu_{03})^2] + 4\mu_{11}(\mu_{30} + \mu_{12})(\mu_{21} + \mu_{03}) \\
 \Phi_7 &= (3\mu_{21} - \mu_{03})(\mu_{30} + \mu_{12})((\mu_{30} + \mu_{12})^2 - 3(\mu_{21} + \mu_{12})^2) \\
 &\quad - (3\mu_{12} - \mu_{30})(\mu_{03} + \mu_{21})((\mu_{03} + \mu_{21})^2 - 3(\mu_{21} + \mu_{03})^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the paper we use polar coordinate transformations for proving their correctness.

Let us denote

$$x - \bar{x} = r \cos \phi,$$

$$y - \bar{y} = r \sin \phi$$

We can obtain the centre moments in polar coordinate as follows:

$$\mu_{pq} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(r, \phi) r^{p+q+1} \cos^p \phi \sin^q \phi dr d\phi.$$

Let  $g_\theta(\phi)$  is the function

$$g_\theta(\phi) = \int_0^{\infty} r^\theta f(r, \phi) dr \quad (2b)$$

then we obtain the expression

$$\mu_{pq} = \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^{p+q+1}(\phi) d\phi \quad (3)$$

It is not difficult to prove that  $g_\theta(\alpha)$  is circulating with period  $2\pi$ .

If the range  $R$  is rotated around point  $(x, y)$  with angle  $\alpha$ , then  $m_{pq}$  will be defined by:

$$\mu_{pq}(\alpha) = \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^{p+q+1}(\phi + \alpha) d\phi \quad (4)$$

Obviously, we have the results:

$$\Phi_1 = \mu_{20}^2(\alpha) + \mu_{02}^2(\alpha) \quad (5)$$

$$= \left| \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^3(\alpha + \phi) e^{i\phi} d\phi \right|^2 = \left| e^{-i\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^3(\phi) e^{i\phi} d\phi \right|^2 = \left| \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^3(\phi) e^{i\phi} d\phi \right|^2$$

$$\Phi_2 = (\mu_{20}(\alpha) - \mu_{02}(\alpha))^2 + \mu_{11}^2 \quad (6)$$

$$= \left| \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^3(\alpha + \phi) e^{2i\phi} d\phi \right|^2 = \left| e^{-2i\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^3(\phi) e^{2i\phi} d\phi \right|^2 = \left| \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^3(\phi) e^{2i\phi} d\phi \right|^2$$

$$\Phi_3 = (\mu_{30}(\alpha) - 3\mu_{12}(\alpha))^2 + (\mu_{03}(\alpha) - 3\mu_{21}(\alpha))^2$$

$$= \left| \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^3(\alpha + \phi) e^{3i\phi} d\phi \right|^2 = \left| e^{-3i\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^3(\phi) e^{3i\phi} d\phi \right|^2 = \left| \int_0^{2\pi} g_\theta^3(\phi) e^{3i\phi} d\phi \right|^2$$

To prove the reality of the remain invariant we can use the Euler formula as follows:

$$\cos \phi = \frac{(\epsilon^{i\phi} + \epsilon^{-i\phi})}{2}; \quad \sin \phi = \frac{(\epsilon^{i\phi} - \epsilon^{-i\phi})}{2}; \quad (7)$$

It is easy to obtain the invariant moments  $\Phi_4, \Phi_5, \Phi_6, \Phi_7, \dots$

From the equations (5), (6), (7), (4) we see that

$$\text{vert} \int_0^{2\pi} g_{\theta}(\phi) \epsilon^{it\phi} d\phi \quad (8)$$

is invariant for the translation and rotation, where  $\theta$  and  $t$  are the arbitrary parameters. When  $\theta = 3, t = 1$  and  $\theta = 3, t = 2$ , we obtain the moments  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$ , respectively.

## 2. Extracting the invariants based on the contour

If  $f(r, \phi) = 1$ , from equations (2), (8) we can rewrite (8) as follows:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{r_{\max}(\phi)} r^{\theta} \epsilon^{it\phi} d\phi \quad (9)$$

where  $r_{\max}(\phi)$  is a distance from the central point of the range  $R$  to contour with the polar angle  $\phi$ . When  $\theta = 2$ , from equation (9), the expression

$$\left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{r_{\max}(\phi)} \epsilon^{it\phi} d\phi \right| \quad (10)$$

is invariant for rotation. It is a characteristic function of  $\frac{1}{r_{\max}(\phi)}$ . When  $t$  takes the values  $0, 1, 2, \dots$  we have the Fourier effects on the expansion of function  $\frac{1}{r_{\max}(\phi)}$ .

If the range  $R$  is a discrete set of contour points  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$  and the central point lies on the coordinate of origin. We can calculate  $r(\phi)$  - the distance from center point to the contour with the angle  $\phi$  as follows:

$$r(\phi) = l_i \cos \phi + r_i \sin \phi, \quad (11)$$

where

$$l_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - x_i}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)x_i - (x_{i+1} - x_i)y_i}; \quad r_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - x_i}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)x_i - (x_{i+1} - x_i)y_i} \quad (12)$$

and  $\phi_i \leq \phi \leq \phi_{i+1}$ ;  $\phi_i = \arctan \frac{y_i}{x_i}$ ;  $i = 0, 1, \dots$

The effects  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are calculated by expressions:

$$a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \left[ \frac{\sin(k+1)\phi}{2(k+1)} + \frac{\sin(k-1)\phi}{2(k-1)} \right] \Big|_{\phi=\phi_i}^{\phi=\phi_{i+1}} + \quad (13)$$

$$r_i \left[ \frac{\sin(k-1)\phi}{2(k-1)} - \frac{\sin(k+1)\phi}{2(k+1)} \right] \Big|_{\phi=\phi_i}^{\phi=\phi_{i+1}}$$

$$b_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \left[ \frac{\cos(k-1)\phi}{2(k-1)} - \frac{\cos(k+1)\phi}{2(k+1)} \right] \Big|_{\phi=\phi_i}^{\phi=\phi_{i+1}} \right. \quad (14)$$

$$\left. r_i \left[ \frac{\sin(k-1)\phi}{2(k-1)} - \frac{\sin(k+1)\phi}{2(k+1)} \right] \Big|_{\phi=\phi_i}^{\phi=\phi_{i+1}} \right\}$$

$$a_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n (l_i \sin \phi - r_i \cos \phi) \Big|_{\phi=\phi_i}^{\phi=\phi_{i+1}}. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, the expression  $z_k = \sqrt{a_k^2 + b_k^2}$  is invariant for the rotation and translation. Furthermore, the ratio  $\frac{z_k}{a_0}$  is invariant for the scale transformation.

### The SCC model (SCC- Star Contour Code)

This model is based on a very simple fact that the convexity is unchangeable under projection:

1. Angles that are greater than 180 are always greater than 180
2. Angles that are less than 180 are always less than 180
3. Angles that are equal to 180 are always equal to 180

The SCC is used for every vertex. The codes are assigned according to the following:

Each point in the set is considered as a central point and all others around it are connected by straight lines.

1. Convex vertex point is assigned '0'
2. Concave vertex point is assigned '1'
3. The point on a line (vertex angle equal to 180) is assigned '2'.
4. Lines are drawn in a certain direction, say clockwise. If several points lie on the straight line with the central point, they will be drawn from far side to near side of the central point.
5. Points located on the border of the set may only have a partial contour. In this case, the terminal point will be assigned '3'.

Matching by use of SCC: Let set 1 and set 2 be two  $n$ -point sets (this limit is actually unnecessary but it makes computation simple). There are  $k$  points in set 1 different from points  $s$  in set 2 and  $n-s$  are the same for each other. Two sets suffer different perspective transformations. An algorithm for matching by SCC is given as follows.

Give names to the points in two sets, say  $A, B, C, \dots$  and  $a, b, c, \dots$  computer chain code for every point in set 1 and set 2. List the names of every contour point with them together.

Estimate the value of  $k$  or  $k/n$ , the degree of distortion, from the ratio of signal to noise:

Matching is progressed in two levels: compare every chain code of set 1 with that of set 2 in a circular manner. Record the number of codes in the greatest common part. Set up a threshold.

When the number of common codes is greater than  $T$ , the matching of these two points will be acceptable. The second level: for each accepted matched pair of points, compare every pair of their contour points in the common part in the same way as in the first level. For an acceptable pair of contour points judged by the same  $T$ , add 1 to the corresponding element of matrix  $M$ .

Select  $L$  pairs of points that have the  $L$  greatest values of elements in  $M$ . We will see that  $L$  is around  $2k$ . For every pair of these  $L$  pairs, use any three couples of their contour points in common to set up the restoring transformations and use them to convert set 2 into the space of set 1. Now there are new  $L$  pictures of set 2.

Compare each one of the  $L$  pictures of set 2 with set 1 to see how many couples of points are coincident. If the number of couples of points is near  $n - k$ , the matching of set 1 with set 2 will be acceptable.

#### 4. Conclusion

This paper has presented the correctness of invariant moments and given a method for computing invariant moments from coordinate transformation with an invariant extracting based on the contour points, this is a simple calculation and can be used for industrial objects identification. Some evaluations of statistical matching, fourier expansion based matching and graphical matching are given in the last section of the paper. This paper is fulfilled by the aiding of the basic researching program in the nature science field.

**Acknowledgement:** The author would like to express thank to Prof. Dr. Bach Hung Khang and Associate Prof. Dr. Hoang Kiem for valuable and encouragement, and to Dr. Luong Chi Mai for her advice in preparing the paper.

#### Reference

1. Hu, M.K. IRE Trans. Inf. Theory. IT-8, Feb 1962, 179-187.
2. Toussaint, Godfried T, proceeding 5 th ICPR, Miami. Florida , 1324-1347.
3. Ding-Zi Zhang, Perspective invariant Description of planar point set and  $\mu R_i$  their application in matching, CH2342-4/86, 1266-1268.
4. Gurevich G.B., Founddation of the theory of Algebraic invariants, Gronigen, the Netherlands: P.Noordhoff, 1964.

#### Abstract

##### Extracting Invariants Based on Coordinate Transformations

*This paper deals with some methods extracting invariants for the translation, rotation and scaling and finding invariants which are depended on statistic invariants. It collates them with the Fourier transform. For discrete point set we can use the polar coordinate to construct SCC (Star Contour Code) and give match algorithm for SCC.*