

## ON THE STOPAGE RULE IN SOLUTION FOR MONOTONE ILL-POSED PROBLEMS

NGUYEN BUONG

**Abstract.** The purpose of this note is to present an iterative method for solving a regularized equation for nonlinear monotone ill-posed problems in Banach space and to study its stoppage rule so that iteration sequence converges to a solution of initial problem, as the noisy data of the right-hand side converges to its exact.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a real reflexive Banach space and  $X^*$  be its dual space. For the sake of simplicity norms of  $X$  and  $X^*$  will be denoted by one symbol  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $A$  be a continuous and monotone operator with domain of definition  $D(A) = X$  and range  $R(A) \subseteq X^*$ . Let  $f_0$  be an element of  $R(A)$ .

Consider the nonlinear ill-posed problem.

$$A(x) = f_0. \quad (1.1)$$

By ill-posedness we mean that solution of (1.1) do not depend continuously on the data  $f_0$ . To solve (1.1) we can use variational method of Tikhonov regularization that consists of minimizing the functional

$$\|A(x) - f_\delta\|^2 + \alpha\Omega(x), \quad \text{over } D(A), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Omega(x)$  is a some functional that plays the role of regularization,  $\alpha > 0$  is a small parameter and the noisy data  $f_\delta$  satisfies the condition

$$\|f_0 - f_\delta\| \leq \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

In [1] and [2] they showed another version of Tikhonov regularization, that is the use of regularized equation

$$A(x) + \alpha U(x) = f_\delta \quad (1.3)$$

instead of (1.2), where  $U$  is a uniformly monotone operator or dual mapping of  $X$ . The regularized equation (1.3) is difficultly solved by iteration methods, because iterative parameters must satisfy very complex condition (see [3]). To overcome this difficulty [4] we showed another approach of regularization for (1.1). That is the use of linear and strongly monotone operator  $B$  instead of  $U$  in (1.3), i.e. the use of equation

$$A(x) + \alpha Bx = f_\delta, \quad (1.4)$$

with  $\overline{D}(B) = X$ ,  $S_0 \subset D(B)$ ,  $S_0$  denotes the set of solutions of (1.1),  $B$  is linear and

$$|x|^2 := \langle Bx, x \rangle \geq m_B \|x\|^2, \quad x \in D(B), \quad m_B > 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Without loss of generality, assume that  $m_B = 1$ .

In [4], we present an iteration method for solving (1.4) with variable parameters of iteration. In this note, we consider another one for (1.4) and the stoppage rule for it, when  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\alpha$  is chosen such that  $\delta/\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . Our method is a generation of [5] and [6] in Hilbert spaces for a Banach spaces.

Below the symbols  $\rightarrow$  and  $\rightharpoonup$  denote the strong and the weak convergence for any sequence, respectively.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

As in [5], we require an additional condition on  $A$ :

For each  $N > 0 \exists C_B(N) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(x) - A(y), w \rangle &\leq C_B(N) |x - y| |w|, \quad x, y \in D(B), \\ |x|, |y| &\leq N, \quad \forall w \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Note that this condition was considered in [5] under  $D(B) = X = H$ , is a Hilbert space, and  $B$  is bounded.

For finding  $x_\alpha^\delta$ , the regularized solution of (1.4), we study the iteration method

$$x^{n+1} = x^n - \rho B^{-1}(A_\alpha(x^n) - f_\delta), \quad A_\alpha = A + \alpha B, \quad (2.2)$$

$\alpha > 0$  and  $f_\delta$  are fixed ( $x^0 \in D(B)$ ).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\langle Bx, y \rangle = \langle x, By \rangle$ ,  $x, y \in D(B)$  and  $0 < \rho < \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha + C_B(N_0))^2}$ ,  $N_0 : \|x_\alpha^\delta\| \leq N_0/2$ . Then*

$$x^n \rightarrow x_\alpha^\delta, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

*Proof.* From (2.2) it implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{n+1}^2 &:= \langle B(x^{n+1} - x_\alpha^\delta), x^{n+1} - x_\alpha^\delta \rangle \\ &= \langle B(x^{n+1} - x^n), x^{n+1} - x^n \rangle + \lambda_n^2 + 2\langle B(x^{n+1} - x^n), x^n - x_\alpha^\delta \rangle \\ &= \lambda_n^2 - 2\rho \langle A_\alpha(x^n) - A_\alpha(x_\alpha^\delta), x^n - x_\alpha^\delta \rangle \\ &\quad + \rho^2 \langle A_\alpha(x^n) - A_\alpha(x_\alpha^\delta), B^{-1}(A_\alpha(x^n) - A_\alpha(x_\alpha^\delta)) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_\alpha(x^n) - A_\alpha(x_\alpha^\delta), x^n - x_\alpha^\delta \rangle &\geq \alpha \langle B(x^n - x_\alpha^\delta), x^n - x_\alpha^\delta \rangle = \alpha \lambda_n^2, \\ \langle A_\alpha(x^n) - A_\alpha(x_\alpha^\delta), B^{-1}(A_\alpha(x^n) - A_\alpha(x_\alpha^\delta)) \rangle &= \\ &= \langle A_\alpha(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta) + \alpha B(x^n - x_\alpha^\delta), B^{-1}(A(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta)) + \alpha(x^n - x_\alpha^\delta) \rangle = \\ &= \alpha^2 \lambda_n^2 + 2\alpha \langle A(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta), x^n - x_\alpha^\delta \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle A(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta), B^{-1}(A(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta)) \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{n+1}^2 &\leq \lambda_n^2 - 2\rho\alpha\lambda_n^2 + \rho^2 \times \\ &\quad [\alpha^2\lambda_n^2 + 2\alpha\langle A(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta), x^n - x_\alpha^\delta \rangle + \langle A(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta), B^{-1}(A(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta)) \rangle].\end{aligned}$$

Let  $N_0$  be a number so that  $|x_\alpha^\delta| \leq N_0/2$  and  $C_0 = C_B(N_0)$ . We suppose that the recurrent hypothesis  $|x^n - x_\alpha^\delta| \leq N_0/2$ , then  $|x^n| \leq N_0$  and

$$\langle A(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta), B^{-1}(A(x^n) - A(x_\alpha^\delta)) \rangle \leq C_0^2 \lambda_n^2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{n+1}^2 &\leq \lambda_n^2 - 2\rho\alpha\lambda_n^2 + \rho^2[\alpha^2\lambda_n^2 + 2C_0\alpha\lambda_n^2 + C_0\lambda_n^2] \\ &= [1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha C_0 + C_0^2)]\lambda_n^2.\end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $0 < \rho < 2\alpha/(\alpha + C_0)^2$ , then  $\theta_S = 1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2(\alpha + C_0)^2 < 1$ . Therefore, the hypothesis is verified. Consequently,  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, the convergence of  $x^n$  to  $x_\alpha^\delta$  follows from (1.5) and the definition of  $\lambda_n$ .

*Remark.* In much cases, we can choose the unbounded operator  $B$  such that  $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq N \rightarrow |x|, |y| \leq N$  in condition (2.1). In fact, for example  $Bx(t) = -d^2x(t)/dt^2 + c_0x(t)$ ,  $c_0 > 0$ , where  $D(B)$  is the closure in the norm  $W_q^2$ ,  $1 < q < 2$  of all functions from  $C^2[0, 1]$  satisfying the condition  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ . Then  $B^{-1}v(t) = \int_0^1 g(t, s)v(s)ds$  with

$$g(t, s) = \begin{cases} u_1(t)u_2(s), & t \leq s, \\ u_2(t)u_1(s), & t \geq s, \end{cases}$$

where  $u_1, u_2$  are the nontrivial solutions of  $Bu = 0$  such that  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ . The derivatives are understood in generalized. Then,  $|x|^2 = \langle Bx, x \rangle = \int_0^1 c_0 x(t)^2 dt = c_0 \|x\|_{L_2[0,1]}^2 \geq c_0 \tilde{c}_p \|x\|_{L_p[0,1]}^2$ ,  $2 < p < +\infty$  with some  $\tilde{c}_p > 0$ , since in these cases  $L_p[0,1]$  is continuously embedded in  $L_2[0,1]$ .

For each  $\delta > 0$ , the value  $\alpha = \bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}(\delta)$  is chosen that  $\delta/\bar{\alpha}(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $x_{\bar{\alpha}(\delta)}^\delta \rightarrow x_0$ , the solution of (1.1), as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . In order to approximate the solution  $x_{\bar{\alpha}(\delta)}^\delta$  of (1.3) with  $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ , we can use the iterative process (2.2). It is important to indicate how many iterations (depending on  $\delta$ ) are performed. Choices of  $n = n(\delta)$  are also called "stopping rules" in the literature.

We have the result

**Theorem 2.2.** *If the first integer  $n = n(\delta)$  satisfying the condition  $|x^{n+1} - x^n| \leq a\delta$ , where  $a > 0$ , then  $x^{n(\delta)} \rightarrow x_0$ , as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{n+1} - x^n| &= \langle B(x^{n+1} - x^n), x^{n+1} - x^n \rangle \\ &= \rho^2 \langle A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^n) - f_\delta, B^{-1}(A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^n) - f_\delta) \rangle \\ &= \rho^2 \langle A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^n) - f_0 + f_0 - f_\delta, B^{-1}(A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^n) - f_0 + f_0 - f_\delta) \rangle \\ &\geq \rho^2 \{ \delta^2 - 2\delta\rho |x^{n+1} - x^n| + \langle A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^n) - A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x_{\bar{\alpha}}), B^{-1}(A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^n) - A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x_{\bar{\alpha}})) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_{\bar{\alpha}} : A(x_{\bar{\alpha}}) + \bar{\alpha}Bx_{\bar{\alpha}} = f_0$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^n) - A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x_{\bar{\alpha}}), B^{-1}(A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^n) - A_{\bar{\alpha}}(x_{\bar{\alpha}})) \bar{\alpha} |x^n - x_{\bar{\alpha}}| \\ &+ \bar{\alpha} \langle A(x^n) - A(x_{\bar{\alpha}}), x^n - x_{\bar{\alpha}} \rangle \geq \bar{\alpha}^2 |x^n - x_{\bar{\alpha}}| \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|x^{n+1} - x^n|^2 \geq \bar{\alpha}^2 \rho^2 |x^n - x_{\bar{\alpha}}|^2 - 2\rho\delta |x^{n+1} - x^n| - \rho^2 \delta^2.$$

Therefore,

$$|x^n - x_{\bar{\alpha}}| \leq \frac{2\delta}{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

This means that  $x^n \rightarrow x_0$ , as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

## REFERENCES

1. J. I. Alber, *On solution of nonlinear problem involving monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Sibirskii Math. J., **16** (1975) 3-11 (Russian).
2. J. I. Alber and I. P. Riazanseva, *On the solution of the nonlinear equations with monotone and discontinuous operators*, URSS Differential Equations, **15** (2) (1979) 331-342 (Russian).



3. J. I. Alber and A. I. Notik, *The geometrical characteristics of the Banach spaces and the method of approximation for the nonlinear problems*, URSS Dokl. Acad. Nauk, **276** (5) (1984) 1033-1037 (Russian).
4. Nguyen Buong, *Linear and strongly monotone operator in regularization for ill-posed problems*, Proceedings of the NCST of Vietnam, **7** (1995), 9-18.
5. M. Sibony, *Analyse numerique III, Iteration et approximations*, Hermann, ed. des Sciences et des Arts, 476 p, 1988.
6. I. P. Ryazatseva, *On the stopage rule in solution of nonlinear ill-posed problems*, AIT, **10** (1985) 27-30.

*Institute of Information Technology*

*Nghia Do, Tu Liem, Hanoi.*

*Received: August 14, 1997*