SQL QUERY EXPRESSED IN RELATiONAL CALCULUS

HO THUAN, TRAN THIEN THANH

Abstract. In this paper, we present some results on the equivalence between SQL queries and relation calculus expressions. These results are used to translate SQL queries into equivalent expressions of relational algebra.

I. INTRODUCTION

Stefano Ceri and Georg Gottlob, in [1] presented a translator from a subset of SQL queries into relational algebra. We have extended the results in [1]for subqueries with GROUP BY clause which can be nested at any level of a SQL query, and some extensions to condition of HAVING clause. This work is a basis step for this translation. In this paper, we have proved some results on the equivalence between SQL query and relational calculus expression.

II. BASIS KNOWLEDGE

1. Relational calculus

A relational calculus expression is of the form

 $\{t(\langle components\rangle)|\psi(t)\},\$

where

 \bullet *t* is a tuple variable;

• components is a list of components of the form:

 $+$ A_i - is an attribute,

 $+$ *R.A_i* - *R* is a relation name, A_i is an attribute of *R*;

• $\psi(t)$ is a formula building from the atoms and collection of logical operators.

In order to use aggregate function in relational calculus, we extended the *components* to accept the form $F_i[A_j]$, where F_i is a function and A_j is an attribute, and other extensions to the atoms of formula ψ of some types such that: $F_i[A_j](s) \Theta u[A_i], F_i[A_j](s) \Theta a$, where $F_i[A_j](s)$ is value of function F_i computed on attribute A_j for the tuple *s*, *a* is a constant, and $\Theta \in \{ =, \neq, >, \geq, <, \leq \}$.

2. Structured Query Language (SQL)

a. Syntax of *SQL query*

SELECT $\langle selector \rangle | * \text{ FROM } \langle relation_list \rangle | \text{WHERE } \langle predicate \rangle |$ [GROUP BY *(gb_attr)* [HAVING *(hav_condition)]l*

b. *The meaning* of *clauses*

The SELECT clause indicates attributes and functions are selected. The asterisk denote for all attributes of *(relation_list).*

The FROM clause indicates relations used for query.

Note: Every SQL query must have at least the SELECT clause and the FROM clause.

The WHERE clause indicates condition used to select tuples, only select tuples that satisfying the condition.

The GROUP BY clause indicates attributes, those used to group the tuples.

The HAVING clause indicates condition used to select groups, only select groups satisfying the condition.

c. *The* operators

The operators used to combining results of SQL queries: INTERSECT, UNION, MINUS.

3. Notation and relations used to illustrate

□*Notation*

- *• car(list of relational expressions)* indicates the Cartesian product of all the relational expres-SIOns.
- *• attr(list of relations)* is the set of the attributes in the attributes schema of the specified relations.
- *• attr(relational expressions)* is the set of attributes occurring in the results produced by the evaluation of a relational expression.
- *• rels(list of attributes)* is the set of relations having the specified attributes.
- *• rels(relational expression)* is the set of the relations, whose attributes appear in the relational expression.
- *• extrattr(predicate)* is the set of attributes which appear in the *predicate.*
- *• extrels(predioote)* is the set of relations whose attributes appear in the *predicate.*
- *• meaning(Q)* is the relation results of query *Q.*

o *The relations* used to *iIlustrate*

To illustrate, we use the database relations in Date's book [21.

- Relations S - Suppliers

- Relation P - Products

- Relation SP - Supplier-Product

Ill. SQL QUERIES EXPRESSED IN RELATIONAL CALCULUS

1. Notation and definition

Let *Q* be a SQL query of the form:

SELECT *(selector)* FROM *(relarion_list)* WHERE *(predicate)* GROUP BY *(gb_attr)* HAVING *(hav_condition)*

- *• Q.(component)* denotes the corresponding components of *Q,*
- *• Q.(componenL 1).(componenL2)* denotes the corresponding component of *Q.(componenL* 1),
- *• Q.(selector).(attrs)* is list of attributes in *Q.(selector),*
- *Q.(selector).(function_list)* is list of functions in *Q.(selector).*

In this paper we assume *Q.(selector).(function_list)* = ${F_i[A_i]}$, $i = 1, ..., k, F_i[A_i]$ is a function F_i computed on attribute A_i .

Example: Let *R* be relation, *R* has the schema *R(A, B). Q* be a SQL query of the form SELECT *R.A, R.B, SUM(R.A)* FROM *R,* then

 $Q.\langle selector \rangle = \{R.A, R.B, SUM(R.A)\}\rangle$ $Q.$ (selector).(attrs) = {R.A, R.B} $Q.$ (selector).(function_list) = {SUM(R.A)}

• $F_i[R; U; A_j](t)$ (where *R* is a relation, *U* is a subset of $attr(R)$, A_j is a attribute of *R,* $A_j \notin U$, t is a tuple in R): is the value of function F_i computed on attribute A_j of relation R with group-attribute *U* for tuple t of *R.·*

Note: The values of $F_i[A_i]$ are same with tuples in a group (Fig. 1). $\forall t, t' \in R$, if $t'(U) = t(U)$ then $F_i[R; U; A_j](t') = F_i[R; U; A_j](t)$

Definition 1. Let *Q* be a SQL query and *E* be a relational calculus expression, we say that *Q* is equivalent to *E* iff the results of *Q* and *E* are the same when we substitute the same relations for identical name in the two expressions.

When *Q* is equivalent to *E*, we say that *E* is *Q* expressed in relational calculus and denoted $Q = E$.

Definition 2. Let *Q*, *Q'* be SQL queries. We say that *Q* is equivalent to *Q'*, denoted $Q = Q'$, iff when we substitute the same relations for identical name in the two expressions, we get the same result.

We have $Q = Q' \Leftrightarrow meaning(Q) = meaning(Q').$

2. The top level query

Let *Q* be a SQL query of the form:

SELECT *(selector)* FROM *(relation_list)* WHERE *(predicate)* GROUP BY *(gb_attr)* HAVING *(hav_condition)*

Based on the meaning of *Q,* we have:

$$
Q = \{t(Q. \langle selector \rangle) \mid \exists t' (R(t') \land t(Q. \langle selector \rangle. \langle attrs \rangle)) =\n t'(Q. \langle selector \rangle. \langle attrs \rangle) \land F(t') \land \forall r (R(r) \land (r(Q. \langle gb_ - attr \rangle)) =\n t'(Q. \langle gb_ - attr \rangle) \rightarrow H(r)) \land (t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[R^{ij}; Q. \langle gb_ - attr \rangle; A_j | (t') i = 1, ..., k) \}
$$
\n(1)

where

 $R = \text{car}(Q. \langle \text{relation_list} \rangle),$ *F(t)* is predicate *Q.(predicate)*,

 $H(t)$ is condition $Q.$ (hav_condition),

 $R' = \{t | R(t) \wedge F(t)\}$ - set of tuples of *R*, those are satisfying *Q.(predicate)*,

 $R'' = \{t | R'(t) \wedge \forall t' (R'(t') \wedge (t' (Q.\langle gb_{-} attr \rangle)) = t(Q.\langle gb_{-} attr \rangle) \rightarrow H(t')))\}.$

We rewrite equation (1):

$$
Q = \{t(Q.\langle selector \rangle) \mid \exists t'(R''(t') \land t(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attr \rangle)) = t'(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attr \rangle) \land (t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[R''; Q.\langle gb_attr \rangle; A_j](t') i = 1,...,k) \}
$$
 (2)

3. The subquery

The subqueries may be used in conjunction with the IN, ALL, EXISTS, ... operators.

Example. *Find the name of suppliers, those do not supply product Pl .*

Q= SELECT SNAME FROM S WHERE "PI" NOT IN

(SELECT PCODE FROM SP WHERE SCODE=S.SCODE)

We consider the subquery:

Q' = SELECT PCODE FROM SP WHERE SCODE=S.SCODE

Remark.

1) The sub query *Q'* is dependent on S.SCODE of *S.*

2) To have *meaning (Q),* we need to have *meaning (Q').*

Definition 3 (External relation, External attribute of subquery).

Let *Q* be a sub query, *R* be a relation, if there exists attribute(s) of *R* appear in *Q,* but *R* not in *Q.(relation_list)* then *R* is called external relation of *Q.*

Every attribute of *Q's* external relation is called external attribute of *Q.*

The set of all external attributes of *Q* is denoted by *Other(Q).*

Definition 4 (The relation result of subquery).

Let *Q* be a subquery, $Other(Q)$ is set of all external attributes of *Q.* $S = car(rels(other(Q))).$ For each $s \in S$, $Q(s)$ is a subquery, it is obtained by replace each attribute $A_i \in Other(Q)$ by $s(A_i)$. Let $R_s = meaning(Q(s)).$

Definition: The relation result of subquery *Q* is defined by expression:

$$
\{(r,s) \mid S(s) \wedge R_s(r)\}\tag{3}
$$

SQL QUERY EXPRESSED IN RELATIONAL CALCULUS 77

Example: Let *Q* be a subquery

SELECT PCODE FROM SP WHERE SCODE=S.SCODE $Other(Q) = {SCODE, SNAME, STATUS, CITY}$

The relation result of sub query *Q*

By equation (1), we have:

$$
R_s = \{t(Q.\langle selector \rangle) \mid \exists t'(R_s^*(t') \land t(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle)) =
$$

$$
t'(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle) \land (t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[R_s^*; Q.\langle gb_attr \rangle; A_j](t') i = 1,...,k))\},
$$

where

$$
R_s^* = \{r \mid R(r) \land F(r,s) \land \forall r' (R(r') \land F(r',s) \land (r(Q.(gb_attr)) = r'(Q.(gb_attr)) \rightarrow H(r',s)))\}
$$

IV. RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Let Q be a subquery of the form*

SELECT *(selector)* FROM *(relation_list)* WHERE *(predicate)* GROUP BY *(gb_ attr)* HAVING *(hav_ condition)*

then

$$
Q = \{t(Q.\langle selector \rangle \cup Other(Q)) \mid \exists (P_{FH}(p) \land t(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q)) =
$$

$$
p(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q)) \land
$$

$$
(t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[P_{FH}; Q.\langle gb_attr \rangle \cup Other(Q); A_j](p) \ i = 1, ..., k))\}
$$

where

 $P = \text{car}(Q.\langle \text{relation_list} \rangle \cup \text{rels}(\text{Other}(Q))\rangle)$ $P_F = \{p | P(p) \wedge F(p)\},\$ P_{FH} = ${p \mid P_F(p) \land \forall p'(P_F(p') \land p'(Q.(gb_-attr) \cup Other(Q)) = p(Q.(gb_-attr) \cup Other(Q)) \rightarrow H(p'))}.$

Define $P^* = \{(t, s) | S(s) \wedge R_s^*(t)\}.$ The proof of Theorem 1 is based on Lemma 1, and Lemma 2. We omit here the proof of these lemmas.

Lemma 1. We have $P^* = P_{FH}$.

Lemma 2. For every $p \in P^*$, $p = (t, s)$, where $s \in S$, $t \in R^*$. Let F_i be a function, $A_i \in$ *attr(Q.(relation_list))* we *have:*

 $F_i[R_i^*, Q_{\cdot}(gb_{-}attr); A_i](t) = F_i[P^*; Q_{\cdot}(gb_{-}attr) \cup Other(Q); A_i](p)$ (*)

Proof of Theorem 1. By equation (3) we have the equivalence of *Q* with the expression *E* defined by:

$$
E = \{t(Q.\langle selector \rangle \cup Other(Q)) \mid \exists s (S(s) \land t(Other(Q)) = s \land \exists r (R_s(r) \land t(Q.\langle selector \rangle) = r))\}
$$

= $\{(r,s) | S(s) \land R_s(r)\},$

where

$$
R_s = \{t(Q.\langle selector \rangle) \mid \exists t'(R_s^*(t') \land t(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle)) = t'(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle) \land (t(F_i[A_j] = F_i[R_s^*; Q.\langle gb_attr \rangle; A_j](t') i = 1,...,k))\}.
$$

Let

$$
E' = \{t(Q.\langle selector \rangle \cup Other(Q)) \mid \exists p(P_{FH}(p) \land t(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q)) = p(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q)) \land (t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[P_{FH}; Q.\langle gb_{attr} \rangle \cup Other(Q); A_j](p) \{t = 1, ..., k)\}\}.
$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$
E' = \{t(Q.\langle selector \rangle \cup Other(Q)) \mid \exists p(P^*(p) \land
$$

$$
t(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q)) = p(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q)) \land
$$

$$
(t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[P^*; Q.\langle gb_attr \rangle \cup Other(Q); A_j](p) \quad i = 1, ..., k))\}.
$$

We show that $E = E'$

a) First we show that $E' \subseteq E$ $\forall t \in E' \Rightarrow \exists p \in P^*$. $t(Q. \langle selector \rangle \cdot \langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q)) = p(Q. \langle selector \rangle \cdot \langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q))$ $t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[P^*; Q.\langle gb_{-}attr \rangle \cup Other(Q); A_j](p)$ $i = 1, ..., k$.

Since $p \in P^*$ then $p = (r, s)$ where $s \in S, r \in R_*^*$.

We have $t(Other(Q)) = p(Other(Q)) = s \in S$

 $t(Q. \langle selector \rangle \langle attrs \rangle) = p(Q. \langle selector \rangle \langle attrs \rangle) = r(Q. \langle selector \rangle \langle attrs \rangle)$ $t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[P^*; Q.\langle gb_ - attr \rangle \cup Other(Q); A_j|(p) \,\forall i = 1, ..., k.$

By Lemma 2, we have $t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[R_s^*; Q.(gb_-attr); A_j](t) \forall i = 1, ..., k.$ Let $t' = t(Q. \langle selector \rangle)$ then

 $t'(Q.\langle selector\rangle.\langle attrs\rangle) = t'(Q.\langle selector\rangle.\langle attractor\rangle) = r(Q.\langle selector\rangle.\langle attractor\rangle)$ and $t'(F_i|A_i) = F_i[P^*; Q.(gb_-attr) \cup Other(Q); A_i](p) = F_i[R^*_{s}; Q(gb_-attr); A_i](r) \forall i = 1, ..., k$ so $t' \in R_s$.

Clearly
$$
t = (t', s) \Rightarrow t \in EsoE' \subseteq E
$$
 (*)

b) Now we have to show that $E \subseteq E'$ $\forall t \in E \Rightarrow t = (r, s)$ where $s \in S, r \in R_s$.

SQL QUERY EXPRESSED IN RELATIONAL CALCULUS 79

Since $r \in R_s$ then $\exists t' \in R_s^*$, $r(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle) = t'(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attractor \rangle)$ and

 $r(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[R_s^*; Q.\langle gb_ - attr \rangle; A_j](t') \,\forall i = 1, ..., k.$ Let $p = (t', s)$, we have $p \in P^*$ and $t(Q. \langle selector \rangle \cdot \langle attrs \rangle) = r(Q. \langle selector \rangle \cdot \langle attrs \rangle) = t'(Q. \langle selector \rangle \cdot \langle attrs \rangle)$ *= p(Q.(selector}.(attrs})* $t(F_i[A_j]) = r(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[R_s^*; Q.(gb_attr); A_j](t') \,\forall i = 1, ..., k$ By Lemma 2, we have $t(F_i[A_i]) = F_i[P^*; Q(qb_ - attr) \cup Other(Q); A_i](p) \forall i = 1, ..., k$ so $t \in E' \Rightarrow E' \subseteq E$ (**) From $(*)$ and $(*)$ \Rightarrow $E = E'$ \square By Theorem 1, we have the following remark: Remark 1. Let Q be a query of the form SELECT *(selector)* FROM *(relation_list)* WHERE *(predicate)* GROUP BY *(gb_attr)* HAVING *(hav_ condition)* then Q is equivalent to the query Q' of the form SELECT *Q.(selector}* U *Other(Q)* FROM *Q.(relation_list}* U *rels(Other(Q))* WHERE *Q.(predicate}* GROUP BY *Q.(gb_attr}* U *Other(Q)* HAVING *Q.(hav_condition}.* Theorem 2. *Let Q* be *a query of the form* SELECT *(selector)* FROM *(relation,.list)* WHERE *(predicate)* GROUP BY *(gb_ attr)*

HAVING *(hav_ condition)*

then we have

 $Q = \{t(Q.\langle selector \rangle \cup Other(Q)) | \exists r(R^*(r) \land t(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langleatters \rangle \cup Other(Q)) =$ $r(Q.\langle selector\rangle.\langle attrs\rangle \cup Other(Q))\wedge$ $\{t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[R^*; Q.\langle gb_ - attr \rangle \cup Other(Q); A_j | (r) \, i = 1, ..., k) \}$

where Q.(ngLquery) denotes the query of the form*

SELECT * FROM *Q.(relation_list)* WHERE *Q.(predicate)*

 $R = car(meaning(Q.\langle ngb _ query \rangle^*) \cup (rels(Other(Q))$ - $rels(meaning(Q.\langle ngb _ query \rangle^*))$

 $R^* = \{r \mid R(r) \wedge \forall r' (R(r') \wedge (r'(Q.(gb_attr) \cup Other(Q))) = r(Q.(gb_attr) \cup Other(Q)) \rightarrow H(r')))\}.$

Theorem 2 allows to express queries with GROUP BY clause by the result of queries without GROUP BY clause.

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the Lemma 3. As above, we omit here the proof of Lemma 3.

Lemma 3. We have $R = \{p | P(p) \wedge F(p)\}.$

Proof of Theorem 2.

By Lemma 3 we have $R^* = P_{FH}$. By Theorem 1 clearly

$$
Q = \{t(Q.\langle selector \rangle \cup Other(Q)) \mid \exists r(R^*(r) \land t(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q)) = r(Q.\langle selector \rangle.\langle attrs \rangle \cup Other(Q)) \land (t(F_i[A_j]) = F_i[R^*; Q.\langle gb_{attr} \rangle \cup Other(Q); A_j](r) = 1, ..., k))\}.
$$

By Theorem 2, we have the following remark:

Remark 2. Let Q be a query of the form

SELECT *(selector)* FROM *(relation_list)* WHERE *(predicate)* GROUP BY *(gb_ attr)* HAVING *(hav_ condition)*

then the following queries are equivalent:

i) Q

ii) $Q' = \text{SELECT } Q.\{\text{selector}\} \cup \text{Other}(Q) \text{ FROM TEMP1 GROUP BY } Q.\{\text{gb_attr}\} \cup \text{Other}(Q),$ where $\text{TEMP1} = meaning(Q^*)$

- with Q* = SELECT FROM *Q.(relation_list}* WHERE *Q.(predicate}* GROUP. BY *Q.(gb_attr)* HAVING *Q.(hav_condition).*
- iii) Q" = SELECT *Q.(selector)* U *Other(Q.(ngb _query})* FROM TEMP2 GROUP BY *Q.(gb_attr)* $\cup Other(Q.\langle ngb _query \rangle)$ HAVING *Q.(hav_condition)*,

where $\text{TEMP2} = meaning(Q.\langle ngb_query\rangle^*).$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ceri, G. Gottlob, Translating SQL into Relational Algebra: Optimization, Semantics, and Equivalence of SQL Queries, *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, Vol. SE-11, No. 4 (1985) 324-345.
- [2] C. J. Date., *An introduction to Database System,* 2nd ed., Addision-Wesley Publishing Company 1997. (The translation to Vietnamese by Ho Thuan, Nguyen Quang Vinh, Nguyen Xuan Huy).
- [3] R. F. Lans., *Introduction to SQL,* Addision-Wesley Publishing Company, 1988.
- [4] J. Paredaens, P.~. Bra, M. Gyssen, D. V. Gucht., *The structure of the Relational Databa~e Model,* Springer Verlag, 1989.
- [5] J. Ulman, *Principles of Database Systems,* Computer Science Press, 1980.

Tóm tắt. Trong bài báo này chúng tôi trình bày một số kết quả về sự tương đương giữa những câu hỏi của SQL và biểu thức trong phép tính quan hệ, một số tính chất của câu hỏi trong \mathbb{S}^{QV} . Những kết quả này được sử dụng cho việc chuyển dịch câu hỏi của SQL vào đại số quan hệ.

Received: March 11, 199

 $11¹$

 ub a

1È. ∙ái

(1) Institute of Information Technology. (f) *Pedagogical Institute of* Quy *Nhon,*