

## REVIEW

# 50 YEARS FIELD ORIENTED CONTROL OF THREE-PHASE AC DRIVES IN THE PRACTICE - THE STATE-OF-THE-ART

NGUYEN PHUNG QUANG

*Institute for Control Engineering and Automation, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Ha Noi, Viet Nam*



**Abstract.** From DC motors (DCM) we know, it is possible to independently control the two currents of the flux and torque generating. Because the two DCM circuits are completely isolated, we obtain simple adjustment algorithms that require little computing time on the microprocessor. For this reason, DCM has been at the forefront of the application of digital controls in drive control systems in the early years, especially in high-performance systems. On the contrary, the three-phase AC motor (ACM) has a complex structure due to the winding system and three-phase power supply, and has caused significant difficulties in the mathematical description of the above decoupling characteristics. The purpose of the field-oriented control (FOC) is therefore to create a tool that allows the decoupling control of the flux and torque-producing current components from the three-phase AC currents flowing in the coil. The FOC drive system is a system based on the principle of decoupling the above power components thanks to the stator current feedback control (the innermost circuit of the drive system). The FOC-type control method belongs to the class of vector control methods for electrical machines.

On the occasion of the 50th anniversary of FOC, this paper aims to provide an overview of the development status of FOC in industrial practice. The content presented deals mainly with 3-phase induction motors.

**Keywords.** Three-phase AC motor; IM; FOC; Field oriented control; Direct FOC; Indirect FOC; Linear control; Nonlinear control; Exact linearization; Flatness-based control.

### Abbreviations

ACM	AC Motor
DCM	DC Motor
FOC	Field oriented control
IM	Induction motor
IGBT	Insulated-Gate Bipolar Transistor
MOSFET	Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistor
RFOC	Rotor Flux Oriented Control

---

Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* quang.nguyenphung@hust.edu.vn

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“*Electric drives*” is not just a scientific and technological field with a long history. Since its invention 200 years ago, the electric motor has always played an important role in promoting the development of human society through “*electrification*” and “*automation*”. Today, 200 years later, in the era of “*digitalization*” of all social activities, in the era of the 4th industrial revolution, the role of “*electric drives*” has not diminished, but is even more important: they have become “*intelligent actuators*” of production lines, of robot chains, of autonomous vehicles, etc., which can be accessed and controlled from anywhere on earth.

Throughout the development of three-phase AC drives, the term FOC, representative of the modeling and control method, cannot be separated. The idea called FOC first appeared in [1]. It was not until [2] that the FOC concept was confirmed as an official method, which has just turned 50 years old. Although FOC was “honoured and confirmed” by its master, professor Leonhard [3], this paper boldly sets out to “illuminate” the 50 years that FOC has been around.




Figure 1: Structure of a drive system in the practice [8]

Controlled three-phase drive systems (Figure 1), consisting of frequency converters or servo drives and three-phase motors, are currently the most economical choice for drive systems with power ratings above 100 W that can be used in automatic production [8]. The advantage of these systems is the possibility of direct power supply via the mains without the need for a transformer. The basis of this technology is the introduction of powerful, switchable semiconductor valves (IGBT, MOSFET) and microcontrollers with high computing power.

Low-voltage drives (small permanently excited DC motors, stepper motors) have a predominant share of low power. DC motors with thyristor power supply have been replaced by regulated three-phase drives, as three-phase drives require less maintenance and are cheaper.

### 1.1. Three-phase quantities as vector and choice of the coordinate system

After the introductory words, the question to be clarified includes two topic groups “FOC and FOC structure (Figure 1)” for controlling a 3-phase AC motor. To understand FOC, we actually just need to understand and remember the knowledge in the following 4 steps.

#### 1.1.1. Step 1: Three-phase quantities as complex vectors

All 3-phase AC quantities of the motor are converted into a complex vector representation. The 3-phase stator current is now considered in more detail as an example. The three sinusoidal phase

currents  $i_{su}$ ,  $i_{sv}$ , and  $i_{sw}$  of a star-point insulated three-phase machine fulfill the following relationship

$$i_{su}(t) + i_{sv}(t) + i_{sw}(t) = 0. \quad (1)$$




Figure 2: Transformation of the phase currents into the current vector

The complex vector results from formula (2). The transformation of other quantities such as the voltages  $\mathbf{u}_s$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_r$ , and flux  $\Psi_s$ ,  $\Psi_r$  is carried out similarly.

$$\mathbf{i}_s = \frac{2}{3} [i_{su}(t) + i_{sv}(t)e^{j\gamma} + i_{sw}(t)e^{j2\gamma}] \quad \text{with } \gamma = 2\pi/3. \quad (2)$$

Complex vectors like formula (2) can be represented in different Cartesian coordinate systems. However, only those systems that can demonstrate advantages in terms of modeling and controller design should be considered.

### 1.1.2. Step 2: Choice of rotor flux oriented dq-coordinate system

However, only those systems that can demonstrate advantages in terms of modeling and controller design should be considered. The choice of the coordinate system means defining the real axis to a concrete vector. In this case, it is the rotor flux vector (Figure 3). The term FOC now becomes RFOC.

Figure 3 indicates that successful rotor flux orientation requires precise knowledge of the rotor flux  $\Psi_r$  and flux orientation angle  $\theta_s$  (Figure 4). If the rotor flux linkage is to be kept constant using control, an actual value recording is necessary in any case.

- Since a *direct measurement* (the so-called *direct FOC*) of the rotor flux linkage requires the installation of measuring sensors in the motor and, in addition, no useful measured values are available at very low speeds.
- An *indirect actual value recording* (the so-called *indirect FOC*) of the rotor flux linkage with the aid of a flux model (FM) is usually provided in connection with digital controls. This approach is predominantly used in practice. Instead of a flux model, a Luenberger observer or a Kalman filter can also be used advantageously.

The stator current  $\mathbf{i}_s$  in the stator coordinate system and the mechanical angular velocity  $\omega$  of the motor are measured.






Figure 5: The concept of direct FOC (left) and indirect FOC (rights) to obtain the flux angle  $\theta_s$

Formula (3) shows the flux-forming effect of  $i_{sd}$  and the moment-forming effect of  $i_{sq}$ . These decoupled effects between  $i_{sd}$  and  $i_{sq}$  lead to the following important conclusion in step 4. After 50 years of “research - development - production”, you can now clearly see the trend that indirect FOC dominates (Figure 6) in industrial practical implementations.




Figure 6: The concept of indirect FOC dominates in practice

**1.1.4. Step 4: Conclusion**

If the innermost control loop (current vector control) guarantees the control performance “quickly - exactly - decoupled”, then it is possible to design the outer control loops (flux, speed) as in the drive system with externally excited DC motors.

We can assume that the 3-phase AC motor is powered by a current source inverter (CSI) that ensures the supply of two current components  $i_{sd}$  and  $i_{sq}$  according to the system requirements.

## 1.2. Introduction outlook

In reality, the induction motor is an object with a complex mathematical model. There are dynamic interactions between the dq-axis components of the stator current. Canonically, we must consider the motor as a two-dimensional control object. Therefore, this object can be well controlled only with a two-dimensional control matrix. In the structure of this control matrix (Figure 6: block  $\mathbf{R}_I$ ), besides the components lying on the diagonal (main branch), there are also components lying off the diagonal (branch with decoupling effect), which ensure the elimination of interaction effects.

At this point, we can say that although today, after 50 years, we have many methods at our disposal to design  $\mathbf{R}_I$  controllers, we still have to adhere to the requirements of “quickly - exactly - decoupled” (see [3, 5–7]).

## 2. MACHINE MODELS

According to [9], the IM is described by the following system

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_s^f = R_s \mathbf{i}_s^f + \frac{d\psi_s^f}{dt} + j\omega_s \psi_s^f \\ \mathbf{0} = R_r \mathbf{i}_r^f + \frac{d\psi_r^f}{dt} + j\omega_r \psi_r^f \\ \psi_s^f = L_s \mathbf{i}_s^f + L_m \mathbf{i}_r^f \\ \psi_r^f = L_m \mathbf{i}_s^f + L_r \mathbf{i}_r^f. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The system (4) can also be written in component notation as follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{di_{sd}}{dt} = -\left(\frac{1}{\sigma T_s} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r}\right) i_{sd} + \omega_s i_{sq} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r} \psi'_{rd} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \omega \psi'_{rq} + \frac{1}{\sigma L_s} u_{sd} \\ \frac{di_{sq}}{dt} = -\omega_s i_{sd} - \left(\frac{1}{\sigma T_s} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r}\right) i_{sq} - \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \omega \psi'_{rd} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r} \psi'_{rq} + \frac{1}{\sigma L_s} u_{sq} \\ \frac{d\psi'_{rd}}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_r} i_{sd} - \frac{1}{T_r} \psi'_{rd} + (\omega_s - \omega) \psi'_{rq} \\ \frac{d\psi'_{rq}}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_r} i_{sq} - (\omega_s - \omega) \psi'_{rd} - \frac{1}{T_r} \psi'_{rq}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$


Figure 7 shows an easy-to-understand IM model in the  $dq$  coordinate system.

### 2.1. State-space models of IM ([9])

For better access to control concepts, the state model of the control objects is used. The system (5) is rewritten for this purpose.

#### 2.1.1. Continuous state space models

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{x}^f}{dt} &= \mathbf{A}^f \mathbf{x}^f + \mathbf{B}^f \mathbf{u}_s^f + \mathbf{N} \mathbf{x}^f \omega_s, \\ \mathbf{x}^{fT} &= [i_{sd}, i_{sq}, \psi'_{rd}, \psi'_{rq}], \quad \mathbf{u}_s^{fT} = [u_{sd}, u_{sq}], \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$


Figure 7: Model of the IM in field synchronous  $dq$  coordinate system

with the state vector  $\mathbf{x}^f$ , the input vector  $\mathbf{u}_s^f$

$$\mathbf{A}^f = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} -\left(\frac{1}{\sigma T_s} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r}\right) & 0 & \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r} & \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \omega \\ 0 & -\left(\frac{1}{\sigma T_s} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r}\right) & -\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \omega & \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r} \\ \hline \frac{1}{T_r} & 0 & -\frac{1}{T_r} & -\omega \\ 0 & \frac{1}{T_r} & \omega & -\frac{1}{T_r} \end{array} \right], \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{B}^f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma L_s} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma L_s} \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & | & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & | & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & | & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The system (6) is *bilinear*. Here, the components  $u_{sd}$ , and  $u_{sq}$  of the stator voltage and the angular velocity  $\omega_s$  of the stator circuit are input quantities. Figure 8 illustrates the derived state model with their bilinearity.

Figure 8: State space model of the IM in  $dq$  coordinates

### 2.1.2. Discrete state space models

From the early days of the FOC idea, we knew that the future of FOC would definitely involve microcontrollers. Thirty years ago (see [3, 6, 7]) we saw the evidence and now it is confirmed. For this, we need appropriate starting points. These are the discrete state models.

According to [9], the model has the following form

$$\mathbf{x}^f(k+1) = \Phi^f \mathbf{x}^f(k) + \mathbf{H}^f \mathbf{u}_s^f(k). \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) can be rewritten into (9) using submatrices to clearly show the role of each submodel. From (8) we obtain the following two submodels like (9), illustrated in Figure 9a.

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{i}_s^f(k+1) = \Phi_{11}^f \mathbf{i}_s^f(k) + \Phi_{12}^f \psi_r^{f/}(k) + \mathbf{H}_1^f \mathbf{u}_s^f(k) \\ \psi_r^{f/}(k+1) = \Phi_{21}^f \mathbf{i}_s^f(k) + \Phi_{22}^f \psi_r^{f/}(k). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The technical, physical characteristics of the motor, shown in Figure 9a, state:

- The motor model includes two submodels as in formula (9) and Figure 9a.
- The upper submodel, the first equation in formula (9) or Figure 9b, represents the stator current model  $\mathbf{i}_s$  required for the controller design.
- The lower submodel, the second equation in formula (9) or Figure 9c, represents the rotor flux model  $\psi_r$  required for the design of flux calculation (for example, Luenberger observer or Kalman filter).

## 2.2. Nonlinear properties of the IM models ([9])

Due to their complex mechanical structure with a magnetic circuit containing many winding slots and air gaps, 3-phase AC machines exhibit many different non-linear characteristics. However, there are only two nonlinear properties that play an important role in control system design:


- *The nonlinear structure of the process models:* This nonlinearity is caused by products between state variables like current components  $i_{sd}, i_{sq}$ , and input variable  $\omega_s$ .
- *The nonlinear parameters:* Some parameters like the mutual inductance  $L_m$  depend on the rotor flux which is a state variable.

This article only introduces two possible methods in practice: Control using exact linearization and control based on flat characteristics of the object. These are two methods that help overcome nonlinear structural characteristics, allowing the design of nonlinear controllers to improve control quality in complex operating modes.

### 2.2.1. Idea of the exact linearization using state coordinate transformation

The basic idea of the *exact linearization* ([10, 11]) can be shortly summarized as follows: If the nonlinear MIMO system in the form (10)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Figure 9: Discrete state space model of the IM in  $dq$  coordinates

belongs to the class of processes with a vector of *relative difference orders*, the condition for exact linearization ([9]), then the system (10) can be transformed using the coordinate transformation (11)

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ m_{r_1}^1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ m_1^m(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ m_{r_m}^m(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ L_f^{r_1-1} g_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ g_m(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ L_f^{r_m-1} g_m(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

into the following linear MIMO system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dz}{dt} = \mathbf{A}z + \mathbf{B}w \\ \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}z. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The original input  $\mathbf{u}$  is then controlled by the coordinate transformation law

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{w}. \quad (13)$$

The vector  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})$  and the matrix  $\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$  in (13) look as follows

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} L_{h_1} L_f^{r_1-1} g_1(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & L_{h_m} L_f^{r_1-1} g_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ L_{h_1} L_f^{r_m-1} g_m(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & L_{h_m} L_f^{r_m-1} g_m(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \begin{pmatrix} L_f^{r_1} g_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ L_f^{r_m} g_m(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Formula (14) also requires the ability, concerning the coordinate transformation or the exact linearization, to invert the matrix  $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ . In equations (11) and (14), the term

$$L_f g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial g(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (15)$$

notifies the Lie derivation of the function  $g(\mathbf{x})$  along the trajectory  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ . Following equation (12) the process is now linear in the new state space  $\mathbf{z}$  so that only a linear controller must be designed (Figure 10). Besides the exact linearization, the *input-output decoupling* (decoupling between both axes  $dq$ ) *relations are totally guaranteed*. The so-called concept of *direct decoupling* is dynamically effective for the complete state space.




Figure 10: Concept of exact linearized process model ([9])

### 2.2.2. Flatness and the idea of the flatness-based control design

The concept of flat systems was introduced in [10, 11]. Specific application instructions for IM drive systems can be found in [9]. The application of the idea of flat systems can be re-iterated shortly as follows.

Given is the following nonlinear system

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), \quad (16)$$

with  $\dim \mathbf{x} = n$ ,  $\dim \mathbf{u} = m < n$  and  $\text{rank}(\partial \mathbf{f} / \partial \mathbf{u}) = m$ . The system (16) is differentially flat, or shortly flat, if the two following conditions are fulfilled:

- Condition 1: There exists an output vector  $\mathbf{y}$  and finite integers  $l$  and  $r$  such that

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix} = F \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^l \mathbf{u}}{dt^l} \right). \quad (17)$$

- Condition 2: Both input vector  $\mathbf{u}$  and state vector  $\mathbf{x}$  can be expressed in function of  $\mathbf{y}$  and its successive derivatives in finite number

$$\mathbf{x} = P \left( \mathbf{y}, \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^r \mathbf{y}}{dt^r} \right), \quad \mathbf{u} = Q \left( \mathbf{y}, \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^{(r+1)} \mathbf{y}}{dt^{(r+1)}} \right), \quad (18)$$

with  $dP/dt = f(P, Q)$ . The output vector  $\mathbf{y}$  is called a flat output. The 2<sup>nd</sup> equation in (18) is also called the “inverse” process model of the system (16) with the output (17). According to (17) and (18) it can be concluded that to every output trajectory  $t \mapsto \mathbf{y}(t)$  being enough differentiable, there corresponds a state and input trajectory

$$t \mapsto (\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) = \left( P \left( \mathbf{y}, \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^r \mathbf{y}}{dt^r} \right), Q \left( \mathbf{y}, \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^{(r+1)} \mathbf{y}}{dt^{(r+1)}} \right) \right), \quad (19)$$

that identically satisfies the system equations. Conversely, to every state and input trajectory  $t \mapsto (\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t))$  being enough differentiable and satisfying the system equations, a trajectory

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{y}(t) = F \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^l \mathbf{u}}{dt^l} \right), \quad (20)$$

should correspond. In the case that both conditions (17), (18) are fulfilled, and the system (16) and its output vector (17) are flat, we can figure out a general control structure as in Figure 11 which is engineer-friendly and easier to understand as the original nonlinear system.




Figure 11: The general flatness-based control structure ([9])

The operation of the concept in Figure 11 can be summarized as follows:

- If the process satisfies the conditions of flatness, the inverse model of the process may be used as a feed-forward component  $\mathbf{u}^f$  of a tracking control concept.
- The forward component  $\mathbf{u}^f$  is effective only when the input signal  $\mathbf{y}^*$  is so often differentiable like the output signal  $\mathbf{y}$  of the process. Therefore, the use of a trajectory set for  $\mathbf{y}^*$  is absolutely necessary.
- Thus, the output signal  $\mathbf{y}$  in the case of the perturbed system to the input signal  $\mathbf{y}^*$  along the trajectory exactly follows and the steady-state error is eliminated in the new position of rest, a third component  $\mathbf{u}^b$  is still needed as feedback. In the case of electrical machines, PI controllers will be sufficient.

### 3. FAST TORQUE IMPRESSION USING DYNAMIC CURRENT FEEDBACK CONTROL

Equation (3) and Figure 6 clearly state that an indirect FOC-based current control loop with the performance “fast - exact - decoupled” is absolutely necessary to turn the “inverter - motor” combination into an actuator. The linear controllers are varied and have been presented several times (see [6, 7, 9, 15–17]).

#### 3.1. Linear controller design

Figure 12 shows the process model with the compensation of the disturbance variable  $\psi_r$  and the dead time effect of the inverter.




Figure 12: The general compensated process model  $\mathbf{i}_s$  ([9])

Despite the variety of variants, the controller design basically uses the block structure presented in Figure 13. The design in the state space is presented in Figure 14.




Figure 13: Block structure of the current vector controller for IM ([9])

The latest and probably best variant  $\mathbf{R}_I$  in terms of starting behavior and accuracy was presented in [17]. The design uses the following process model (21) in Figure 9b (the 1<sup>st</sup> equation of (9))

$$\mathbf{i}_s(k+1) = \Phi_{11} \mathbf{i}_s(k) + \Phi_{12} \psi_r'(k) + \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{u}_s(k). \quad (21)$$



The direct decoupling concept in Figure 15 is dynamically effective for the entire state space. The two current controllers  $R_{I_{sd}}$  and  $R_{I_{sq}}$  do not need to have PI characteristics and can be designed with modern algorithms such as dead-beat control. A dynamic and almost delay-free imprinting of the motor torque can be ensured without interrupting a linearization condition.




Figure 15: The new control structure of the inner loop with direct decoupling designed by using the method of exact linearization ([9])




Figure 16: Substitute linear process model of the IM as starting point for controller design ([9])

In a more exact analysis the following essential knowledge can be learned:

- Besides the exact linearization achieved in the complete new state space  $\mathbf{z}$ , the *input-output decoupling relations are totally guaranteed*.
- The three transfer functions respectively contain only one element of integration.

### 3.2.2. Flatness-based control

Firstly, the controller design begins with proving that the motor meets the flatness conditions (17), (18) and the output vector  $\mathbf{y} = [\omega, \psi'_{rd}]$  is also flat. Then, the steps follow [9]:

- Design of set point trajectory  $\mathbf{y}^* = [\omega^*, \psi_{rd}^{/*}]$ .

- Design of feed-forward component  $\mathbf{u}_s^f$  of the stator voltage vector  $\mathbf{u}_s$ .

$$\begin{cases} u_{sd}^f = \sigma L_s \left[ \frac{di_{sd}^*}{dt} + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma T_s} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r} \right) i_{sd}^* - \omega_s^* i_{sq}^* - \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r} \psi_{rd}^{/*} \right] \\ u_{sq}^f = \sigma L_s \left[ \frac{di_{sq}^*}{dt} + \omega_s^* i_{sd}^* + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma T_s} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma T_r} \right) i_{sq}^* + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \omega_s^* \psi_{rd}^{/*} \right] \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

with  $\omega_s^* = \omega^* + i_{sq}^* / (T_r \psi_{rd}^{/*})$ .

- Design of feedback component  $\mathbf{u}_s^b$  of the stator voltage vector  $\mathbf{u}_s$  (Figures 17 and 18).




Figure 17: The block structure of the flatness-based IM control (concrete design steps can be seen in ([9])

For implementation, the block structure in Figure 17 is redrawn more concretely as in Figure 18. The flatness-based variant is characterized by high dynamics compared to other variants.




Figure 18: The detailed flatness-based cascaded control structure for IM drives ([9])

## 4. FOC-CONTROLLED THREE-PHASE AC DRIVE IN THE ROLE OF AN ACTUATOR

### 4.1. Two-mass system model

After torque  $m_M$  is generated with high dynamic drive quality (Figure 1) using the FOC-controlled innermost current control loop, the following question is how  $m_M$  can be provided to the work machine or the load side or load process. In many cases, it is sufficient to assume that the load side is connected to the motor side using an ideally rigid shaft (Figure 19a).

The starting point is the equation of motion of the system of equations describing the squirrel cage rotor induction motor

$$m_M = m_L + J \frac{d\omega}{dt}. \quad (26)$$

Equation (26) describes the rotational motion created by the motor for the working machine (load  $m_L$ ) through the torque  $m_M$  with the ideal assumption: The motor shaft (inclusive rotor with inertial mass  $J_1$ ) is ideally rigidly coupled with the working machine shaft (load with inertia  $J_2$ ). Therefore, we can calculate the conversion of  $J_2$  towards the motor shaft with  $J = J_1 + J_2$ . The term single-mass systems can be used to refer to this system.

However, in practice, that ideal situation rarely occurs. The coupling can be described as shown in Figure 19b below.




Figure 19: Coupling of the motor with the working machine in practice: a) rigid coupling; b) elastic coupling

Figure 19b illustrates the minimal typical structure of a transmission branch, briefly as follows. In the following instructions, we temporarily ignore the two nonlinear characteristics of gear backlash and friction. The following basic physical relationships will be used:

- Acceleration torque of the inertial mass J:  $m_B = J\ddot{\varphi}$ ,
- Transmitted torque due to the elastic component c:  $m_C = c\Delta\varphi$ ,
- Transmitted torque due to the damping component d:  $m_D = d\Delta\dot{\varphi}$ ,

with

$\ddot{\varphi}$	Angular acceleration	
$\dot{\varphi}$	Angular velocity (rotational velocity)	
$\varphi$	Angle of rotation	
c	Rotary spring <i>stiffness</i>	Parameters of the connecting shaft
d	Mechanical <i>damping</i>	

It is known that the block structure of the two-mass system (Figure 19b) can be derived using the parameters defined above. Using the above relationships, we can easily write the *equation of motion at the locations* of the transmission branch as follows:

- On the side of the inertia mass  $J_1$  of the drive motor rotor

$$\ddot{\varphi}_1 = \frac{1}{J_1}m_M - \frac{1}{J_1}(m_C + m_D). \quad (27)$$

- Connecting shaft between  $J_1$  and  $J_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\varphi &= \varphi_1 - \varphi_2, \\ \Delta\dot{\varphi} &= \dot{\varphi}_1 - \dot{\varphi}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

- On the side of the working machine (the load)  $J_2$

$$\ddot{\varphi}_2 = \frac{1}{J_2}(m_C + m_D) - \frac{1}{J_2}m_L. \quad (29)$$

- The torque components transmitted through the connecting shaft are calculated:

$$m_C + m_D = c\Delta\varphi + d(\dot{\varphi}_1 - \dot{\varphi}_2). \quad (30)$$

The *feedback torque component* according to (30) is substituted into the two equations of motion (27), (29). We obtain the following system of state equations (31)

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{\varphi}_1 &= -\frac{d}{J_1}\dot{\varphi}_1 - \frac{c}{J_1}\Delta\varphi + \frac{d}{J_1}\dot{\varphi}_2 + \frac{1}{J_1}m_M \\ \Delta\dot{\varphi} &= \dot{\varphi}_1 - \dot{\varphi}_2 \\ \ddot{\varphi}_2 &= \frac{d}{J_2}\dot{\varphi}_1 + \frac{c}{J_2}\Delta\varphi - \frac{d}{J_2}\dot{\varphi}_2 - \frac{1}{J_2}m_L. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Using equations (27)-(31), we can construct a structural diagram of a two-mass system with linear soft coupling, as shown in Figure 20.





$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{v}z(t) \\ \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(t). \end{cases} \quad (35)$$




Figure 23: The load-side speed control structure on the state space with field weakening

The model (35) of the electro-mechanical system has the following main characteristics:

- The drive system has a current control circuit that meets the requirements of “fast – precise – decoupled”. It can therefore be approximated by a dead time term or a first-order delay PT1, as shown in Figures 21 and 22.
- The model contains a term  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t})$ , reflecting bilinear nonlinear characteristics (the product between the state variable  $i_m$  and the input variable  $i_{sq}$ ).
- The nonlinear model (35) is the starting point for designing nonlinear controllers for the rotational speed of electro-mechanical systems (inverters, motors, working machines), especially important when the motor needs to be operated at a speed range above the nominal speed (range with field weakening).
- Model (35) is also the starting point for designing the necessary observer for the two-mass system.

## 5. CONCLUSION

On the occasion of the FOC - Field Oriented Controlled - turning 50 years old 1973 - 2023, it has gone through 50 years of “Research - Development - Application” to become the most popular method

in the industry. In the era of the 4th Industrial Revolution, when the entire society is passionately talking about AI or IoT, the article helps review the level of development of FOC. Paper content includes:

- Summary of the *idea of vector-based control* and the FOC method.
- *Modeling* of a three-phase squirrel-cage induction motor.
- *Fast torque impression* using dynamic current feedback control.
- FOC controlled 3-phase AC drive in the *role of an actuator* moving the load.

With content in the form of “State of the Art”, the article hopes to provide readers with a general view of the group of problems that need to be solved when drive problems appear in practice.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Hasse, “On the dynamics of speed-controlled drives with converter-fed asynchronous squirrel-cage machines,” German PhD Thesis, University Darmstadt, 1969.
- [2] F. Blaschke, “The principle of field orientation, as applied to the new transvector-closed loop control systems for rotating field machines,” *Siemens Review*, p. 217, 1972.
- [3] W. Leonhard, “30 Years Space Vectors, 20 Years Field Orientation, 10 Years Digital Signal Processing with Controlled AC-Drives, a Review,” *EPE Journal*, Part 1, vol. 1, no. 1, July 1991, pp. 13–19. DOI: 10.1080/09398368.1991.11463257; Part 2, vol. 1, no. 2, October 1991, pp. 89–101. DOI: 10.1080/09398368.1991.11463267
- [4] W. Leonhard, *Control of Electrical Drives*, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg NewYork, 3rd Edition, 2001.
- [5] N.P. Quang, *Practice of Field-Oriented Three-phase AC Drive Controls*. German Publishing House Expert, 1993.
- [6] N.P. Quang, R. Wirfs, “Multi-variable controller replaces PI controller: An inverter concept for three-phase AC drives,” *German Electronik*, vol. 7, pp. 106-110, 1995.
- [7] N.P. Quang, “Multi-variable controller replaces PI controller: From parameters to programmable controller equations,” *German Electronik*, vol. 8, pp. 112-120, 1996.
- [8] E. Kiel (Editor) et al, *Drive Solutions - Mechatronics for Production and Logistics*, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg NewYork, 2007.
- [9] N.P. Quang, J.A. Dittrich, *Vector Control of Three-Phase AC Machines – System Development in the Practice*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2nd Edition, 2015.
- [10] M. Fliess, J. Lévine, P. Martin, and P. Rouchon, “A Lie-Backlund approach to equivalence and flatness of nonlinear systems,” in *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 922-937, May 1999, Doi: 10.1109/9.763209
- [11] J. Lévine, *Analysis and Control of Nonlinear Systems – A Flatness-based Approach*. Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York, 2009.

- [12] F. Khorrami, P. Krishnamurthy, and H. Melkote, *Modeling and Adaptive Nonlinear Control of Electric Motors*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2003.
- [13] R. Ortega, A. Loría, P.J. Nicklasson, and H. Sira-Ramírez, *Passivity-based Control of Euler-Lagrange Systems: Mechanical, Electrical and Electromechanical Applications*. Springer London Berlin Heidelberg, 1998.
- [14] M. Bodson and J. Chiasson, “Differential-Geometric Methods for Control of Electric Motors,” *Intern. Journal Robust Nonlinear Control*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 923-954, 1998. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1239\(199809\)8:11<923::AID-RNC369>3.0.CO;2-S](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1239(199809)8:11<923::AID-RNC369>3.0.CO;2-S)
- [15] N.P. Quang and R. Schönfeld, “Dynamic current control for torque impression in three-phase AC drives with pulse inverters,” *German Archive of Electrical Engineering*, vol. 76, pp. 317-323, 1993.
- [16] N.P. Quang, R. Schönfeld, “A current vector control with finite response time for dynamic three-phase AC drives,” *German Archive of Electrical Engineering*, vol. 76, pp. 377-385, 1993.
- [17] N.P. Quang, V.T. Ha, and T.V. Trung, “A new control design with dead-beat behavior for stator current vector in three-phase AC drives,” *SSRG International Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering IJEEE*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1-5, April 2018.

*Received on October 18, 2023*  
*Accepted on November 09, 2023*