Communications in Physics, Vol. 26, No. 2 (2016), pp. 159-164 DOI:10.15625/0868-3166/26/2/8487

# METAL-INSULATOR PHASE DIAGRAM FOR THE FULLY DIAGONAL DISORDERED HUBBARD MODEL AT HALF-FILLING

HOANG ANH TUAN<sup>†</sup> AND NGUYEN THI HAI YEN Institute of Physics, VAST, Vietnam

<sup>†</sup>*E-mail:* hatuan@iop.vast.vn

Received 12 July 2016 Accepted for publication 28 August 2016

**Abstract.** The electronic properties of strongly correlated systems with binary type of disorder are investigated using the coherent potential approximation. For half-filled system, two transitions from a band insulator via a metallic state to a Mott insulator are found with increasing the correlation strength of only one of the constituents. Our phase diagram is consistent with those obtained by the dynamical mean field theory.

Keywords: metal-insulator transition; phase diagram; disordered Hubbard model.

Classification numbers: 71.27.+a.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In many materials, both the disorder and the correlation effects are present at the same time. The strong correlation effect between electrons has provided us a lot of interesting phenomena, such as high transition temperature superconductivity, metal-insulator transition (MIT), spin-charge-orbital orderings and so on. On the other hand, real materials are always subject to different kinds of disorder, such as vacancies, impurities and non-stoichiometric composition. Therefore, the disorder and the electron correlation effects should be considered together to understand the electronic properties of the system.

A generic model to study the common influence of disorder and correlations is the Hubbard model including diagonal disorder. For this model, the MIT at noninteger filling have been found by Byczuk et al. [1,2]. They have shown that at a particular density, equal to the disorder concentration x (or 1 + x), the interplay between disorder-induced band splitting and correlation-induced Mott transition gives rise to a new type of MIT. Recently, a very interesting study on MIT in the disordered and correlated system  $\text{SrTi}_{1-x}\text{Ru}_x\text{O}_3$  has been performed [3]. To explain this experiment, Lombardo et al. [4] proposed a model Hamiltonian where both Ru and Ti sites are included. Here, besides the difference between local energies of Ru and Ti ( $\varepsilon_A \neq \varepsilon_B$ ), a difference between

©2016 Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology

local Coulomb repulsion  $U_A \neq U_B$  is introduced. This model was solved using the dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) with the non-crossing approximation (NCA) as the impurity solver. The disorder was treated by the coherent potential approximation (CPA). For half-filled systems, various metal-insulator transitions were described by spectral analysis and a phase diagram was obtained.

It should be noted that the NCA is performed at finite temperature, therefore we propose now to consider the phase diagram at zero temperature using the other method. In this paper we employ the CPA to handle both disorder and correlations in the fully diagonal disordered Hubbard model. Our results support the main results obtained in Ref. [4] and show the simple way to study disordered transition metal oxides where the strong correlated 3d orbitals hybridize with non-correlated 2d orbitals.

### **II. MODEL AND SOLVING METHOD**

We consider the following Hamiltonian with correlations and binary type of disorder

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_{i\sigma} \varepsilon_{i} n_{i\sigma} + \sum_{i} U_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $c_{i\sigma}(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger})$  annihilates (creates) an electron with spin  $\sigma$  at site *i*,  $n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{i\sigma}$  and the sum  $\langle ij \rangle$  is the sum over nearest neighbor sites of a Bethe lattice. A fraction *x* of sites (sites *A*) have a local on-site energy  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_A$  and a local on-site Coulomb repulsion  $U_i = U_A$  and a fraction 1 - x of sites (sites *B*) have a local on-site energy  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_B$  and a local on-site Coulomb repulsion  $U_i = U_A$  and a fraction  $U_i = U_B$ . In addition, we define  $\Delta = \varepsilon_A - \varepsilon_B$  the energy difference between the two types of sites. This model describes to an  $A_x B_{1-x}$  alloy with fully diagonal disorder.

In the alloy-analog approach the many-body Hamiltonian (1) is replaced by a one-particle Hamiltonian of the form

$$\tilde{H} = \sum_{i\sigma} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{i\sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} - t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} + \text{H.c.}), \qquad (2)$$

where the random potential  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i\sigma}$  takes the values  $\tilde{\varepsilon}^{\gamma}(\gamma = \overline{1,4})$  with the probabilities  $p_{\sigma}^{(\nu)}$  [5]

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i\sigma} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{A} &= \tilde{\varepsilon}^{(1)}, \quad p_{\sigma}^{(1)} = x(1 - n_{A-\sigma}), \\ \varepsilon_{A} + U_{A} &= \tilde{\varepsilon}^{(2)}, \quad p_{\sigma}^{(2)} = xn_{A-\sigma}, \\ \varepsilon_{B} &= \tilde{\varepsilon}^{(3)}, \quad p_{\sigma}^{(3)} = (1 - x)(1 - n_{B-\sigma}), \\ \varepsilon_{B} + U_{B} &= \tilde{\varepsilon}^{(4)}, \quad p_{\sigma}^{(4)} = (1 - x)n_{B}. \end{cases}$$
(3)

The mean occupation numbers  $n_{A-\sigma}$  and  $n_{B-\sigma}$  must be determined self-consistently. Hereafter, we focus on the paramagnetic case, for which  $n_{A\sigma} = n_{A-\sigma} = n_A/2$ ,  $n_{B\sigma} = n_{B-\sigma} = n_B/2$  and all the oneelectron quantities become spin-independent. The local coherent Green function corresponding to the Hamiltonian (2) takes the form [6]

$$G(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{2}{W} \left[ \boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - \sqrt{(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^2 - W^2} \right],\tag{4}$$

provided that a semi-elliptic density of states (DOS) is made for non-interacting electrons  $\rho_0(z) = \frac{2}{\pi W^2} \sqrt{W^2 - z^2}$  (*W* is the half-width of the band) and  $\Sigma(\omega)$  denotes the coherent potential which is determined self-consistently. The CPA demands that the scattering matrix vanishes on average over all possible disorder configurations.

This is equivalent to

$$G(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{4} p^{(\nu)} G(\boldsymbol{\omega})^{(\nu)}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$G^{\nu}(\omega) = \frac{G(\omega)}{1 - (\tilde{\varepsilon}^{(\nu)} - \Sigma(\omega))G(\omega)}.$$
(6)

For a given mean electron number (per site) n the Fermi energy  $E_F$  at zero temperature is determined by

$$n = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{E_F} \rho(\omega) d\omega = x n_A + (1 - x) n_B, \tag{7}$$

where

$$n_A = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{E_F} d\omega \left[ (1 - n_A/2) \rho^{(1)}(\omega) + (n_A/2) \rho^{(2)}(\omega) \right],$$
(8)

$$n_B = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{E_F} d\omega \left[ (1 - n_B/2) \rho^{(3)}(\omega) + (n_B/2) \rho^{(4)}(\omega) \right], \tag{9}$$

$$\rho^{(\nu)}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} G^{(\nu)}(\boldsymbol{\omega}).$$
(10)

From the self-consistent CPA equations (4) - (10) one can determine the local one-particle DOS  $\rho_{A/B}(\omega)$ , the occupation numbers  $n_A, n_B$  and the double occupancies  $d_A, d_B$  as functions of the model parameters  $\Delta, U_A$  and  $U_B$ . A metal is distinguished from an insulator by a finite total DOS at the Fermi level  $\rho(E_F) = x\rho_A(E_F) + (1-x)\rho_B(E_F)$ .

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

For numerical results the input parameters are the local on-site energies  $\varepsilon_A = \Delta/2$ ,  $\varepsilon_B = -\Delta/2$ , the interaction strengths  $U_A, U_B$  and the band filling *n*. We focus on the half-filled case with x = 0.5 and n = 1. Through this work we set *W* as the unit of the energy. Figure 1 shows the local DOS for each type of sites  $\rho_A(\omega)$  and  $\rho_B(\omega)$  for  $U_A = 1.25, \Delta = 1.5$  and four values of  $U_B$ . The general appearance of the DOS displays four structures. Two of these structures are mainly composed of *A* states (solid lines) and the other two of *B* states (dotted lines). For  $U_B = 0.1$  and 4.0, corresponding to the band insulating and Mott insulating phases, the DOS show a gap around the Fermi level  $E_F$ . In contrast, the DOS at  $E_F$  for  $U_B = 1.0$  and 2.0 are nonzero, which indicate a metallic phase. It should be noted that, despite  $U_A$  keeps a constant value, the A - DOS evolves remarkably when  $U_B$  changes. Similar behaviors of the DOS were also found in the DMFT study of this system [4].

Figure 1 demonstrates that for fixed  $\Delta$  and  $U_A$  two transitions from a band insulator via a metallic state to a Mott insulator are found with increasing  $U_B$ . To clarify the nature of these insulating states we calculate the occupation numbers  $n_{\alpha\sigma}$  and double occupancies  $d_{\alpha} = \langle n_{\alpha\uparrow} n_{\alpha\downarrow} \rangle$  with  $\alpha$  being A and B. These quantities are plotted in Fig.2 for  $U_A = 1.25$  and  $\Delta = 1.5$ . For small  $U_B$ , only B sites are occupied and A sites are almost empty, correlation effects are weak in the occupied B band and  $d_B$  is large. The corresponding insulating state is clearly a band insulator. As  $U_B$  is increased, the double occupancy rapidly decreases for B sites. For larger  $U_B$ , both types of site are strongly correlated with almost zero double occupancies and  $n_{A\sigma} \approx n_{B\sigma} \rightarrow 1/2$ . Therefore, the insulating state is a Mott-Hubbard insulator.

161



**Fig. 1.** Density of states for various values of  $U_B$ , for  $U_A = 1.25$  and  $\Delta = 1.5$  for the model at half-filling. Energy  $\omega$  and parameters  $\Delta$ ,  $U_A$ ,  $U_B$  are in energy unit set by  $W = 1.E_F$  refers to the position of Fermi energy.



Fig. 2. Electronic occupation numbers and double occupancies as functions of  $U_B$  for  $U_A = 1.25$  and  $\Delta = 1.5$ .



Fig. 3. Metal-insulator phase diagram at zero temperature for  $U_A = 1.25$  for the fully diagonal disordered Hubbard model at half-filling.

Repeating the calculations for a fixed  $U_A$  and different values of  $\Delta$  and  $U_B$  we constructed the metal-insulator phase diagram for the fully diagonal disordered Hubbard model at half-filling in the  $(\Delta, U_B)$  plane, which we report in Fig.3. The shape of our metallic region is similar to those obtained in [4], keeping in mind that in our study the energy unit is the half-bandwidth W. It is interesting to note that paramagnetic solutions of the ionic Hubbard model at half-filling in dimensions D > 2 also indicate that the band and the Mott insulator phases are separated by a metallic phase [7-10].

### **IV. CONCLUSION**

We have studied the MIT in the half-filled Hubbard model with full diagonal disorder by means of the coherent potential approximation. Within this approximation in combination with the semi-elliptical model for the bare DOS we calculate the site selective DOS, the corresponding occupation numbers and the double occupancies. For a fixed  $U_A$ , we derive the  $U_B - \Delta$  phase diagram at zero temperature which is consistent with those obtained from DMFT by the NCA as the impurity solver at finite temperature.

The calculations presented here can be extended to the case away from half-filling and/or at finite temperature. Within the CPA one can also evaluate the temperature dependence of the conductivity and derive a phase diagram on the disorder-interaction plane. This is left to future work.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is funded by National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under Grant No. 103.01-2014.23.

164 METAL-INSULATOR PHASE DIAGRAM FOR THE FULLY DIAGONAL DISORDERED HUBBARD MODE ...

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Byczuk, M.Ulmke, and D.Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 196403.
- [2] K. Byczuk, M.Ulmke, Eur. Phys. J. B 45 (2005) 449.
- [3] K. W. Kim et al., Phys. Rev. B 71 (2005) 125104.
- [4] P. Lombardo, J. C. Guisiano, R. Hayn, Physica B 403 (2008) 3485.
- [5] K. Elk, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 33 (1976) 275.
- [6] B. Velicky, S. Kirkpatrick, and H. Ehremreich, Phys. Rev. 175 (1968)747.
- [7] A. Garg, H. R. Krishnamurthy, and M. Randeria, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 046403.
- [8] L. Craro, et al., Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 075121.
- [9] K. Byczuk, et al., Phys. Rev. B 79 (2009) 121103 (R).
- [10] A. T. Hoang, J. Phys.: Cond. Matt. 22 (2010) 095602.