

## SCREENING EFFECT IN $(p, n)$ REACTIONS ON HEAVY ELEMENT TARGETS ${}_{82}^{206}\text{Pb}$ , ${}_{92}^{308}\text{U}$ , ${}_{74}^{184}\text{W}$ , ${}_{79}^{197}\text{Au}$

NGUYEN MONG GIAO, NGUYEN THI AI THU, AND LE THI THANH TRUC  
*Institute of Physics, 1 Mac Dinh Chi Street, District 1- Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

**Abstract.** *We present a simple model to calculate multiplicities of the neutrons emitted in the interaction of a proton beam energy from 0.5 GeV to 1.5 GeV on some targets such as  ${}_{82}^{206}\text{Pb}$ ,  ${}_{79}^{197}\text{Au}$ ,  ${}_{92}^{238}\text{U}$  using database of JENDL-HE library. The results are compared with the available experimental data [8]. The agreement is satisfactory.*

### I. INTRODUCTION

One of the main problems of nuclear power is nowadays radioactive waste management. Some of the produced radioactive isotopes have a very long lifetime, potentially representing long term radiation hazards. Effective transmutation of these isotopes into the short lived or stable ones needs continuous neutron fluxes with intensity in the  $10^{16}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> range [3]. Such intensity is approximately 100 times larger than intensities typically available in a large scale reactor.

In currently proposed Accelerator Driven Systems (ADS), the accelerator bombards heavy target with high intensity (about 100 mA) and energy around 1GeV proton beam to produce above mentioned high intensity of neutrons. The neutrons can be further multiplied in a sub-critical reactor which surrounds the spallation target and in which long lived nuclear waste is transmuted.

Although the  $(p, n)$  reaction mechanism has been known for many years, the actual understanding is not sufficient when one has to face the design of the realistic target-blanket systems. Hence, new accurate studies of neutron production in proton induced reactions as well as of neutron's propagation through different materials are needed.

In the past, a few experiment results are available for thin target [15], in which the incident proton beam is of equal energy and the target is considered homogeneous. The problems as screening effect, the loss of projectile energy and the number of residual protons on target have not been mentioned yet, but for massive target additional studies of neutron spectra and cross-section are needed.

In this work, spallation neutrons were generated by bombarding about 50 cm long cylindrical target with the incident energy 1 GeV. Neutron multiplicities generated by an incident proton beam on  ${}_{82}^{206}\text{Pb}$  target of length 50 cm and the number of residual protons on target was calculated. The obtained results have been discussed and compared with the experimental data taken from the literatures [8]

The main idea of this paper is the target is divided into layers to calculate the proton energy loss and neutron yields from ( $p, n$ ) reaction on each sub-layer of target. It's the difference between our work with other works have been published [9, 13, 16].


## II. CALCULATION MODEL

All calculations were previously considered that the target is homogeneous and the incident proton energy does not change during interaction with target nuclei and it called the homogeneous model. With the concept, we used JENDL-HE nuclear library to calculate the neutron multiplicity from spallation reaction on the targets  $^{206}_{82}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{197}_{79}\text{Au}$ ,  $^{238}_{92}\text{U}$ .

Calculation results from homogeneous model on the lead target with thickness from 10 cm to 100 cm shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1 below:

**Table 1.** The neutron multiplicity on targets Pb-206

Thickness (cm)	Neutron multiplicity (n/p)	
	Homogeneous model	LAHET [6]
10	11.38784	9.08
20	26.02778	16.087
30	34.1632	20.859
40	45.55091	23.480
50	56.93696	24.954
60	68.32704	25.769
70	79.71456	26.028
80	91.10336	26.238
90	102.489	26.322
100	113.8784	26.417



**Fig. 1.** Neutron multiplicity on lead target in homogeneous and LAHET code model

The calculations have been compared with the calculated data from LAHET- International code [6]

From obtained results, we can draw that the homogeneous model used in this study does not give results in good agreement with the calculated data of international code.

To improve, we offer a new calculation model.

As we know, when protons enter to a medium, they lose energy for interaction with target nuclei. This loss can be calculated from Bethe Bloch formula.

Assuming the target is divided into n layers, proton energy comes to the target be  $E_0$ . Neutrons produced in the first layer are due to interaction of proton with target nuclei. When passing the first layer, proton energy reduced to  $E_1$ - it is the incident energy at the second layer of target, where:  $E_1 = E_0 - \frac{dE_0}{dx}$ . At that time, the number of neutrons produced in the second layer is  $N_{n_1}$ .

The interaction process will continue, lastly, the number of neutrons generated is:

$$N_n = \sum_i N_{n_i}$$

In this problem, we assume that the target thickness is 50 cm and divided into 50 layers, of 1 cm thickness each. Thus the number of neutrons generated is:

$$N_n = \sum_{i=1}^{50} N_{n_i}$$

With this model, we also used the JENDL library to calculate the neutron multiplicity on the target  $^{206}_{82}\text{Pb}$ .


Calculation results from new model on the lead target with thickness from 10 cm to 50 cm shown in table 2 and figure 2 below

**Table 2.** The neutron multiplicity on targets Pb-206

Thickness (cm)	Neutron multiplicity (n/p)	
	New model	LAHET [6]
10	11.38784	9.08
20	20.08605	16.087
30	23.58647	20.859
40	25.54404	23.48
50	26.74367	24.954

It is evident from the figure that the calculated values for Pb are in relatively good agreement with international code values. The new model results relatively coincide with the LAHET code data using both the Bertini and the ISABEL model and with experiment data.

And we also calculate number of residual proton after particle beam ( $E_p = 1 \text{ GeV}$ ) goes through  $x$  cm target thickness. Table 4 below illustrates this:




**Fig. 2.** The envisaged model of spallation neutron target


We used the new model to calculate the spallation neutron yield on some targets  $^{184}_{74}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}_{79}\text{Au}$ ,  $^{238}_{92}\text{U}$ . Some figures below illustrate neutron multiplicities generated by an incident proton beam at different energies on targets  $^{206}_{82}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{197}_{79}\text{Au}$ ,  $^{238}_{92}\text{U}$ ,  $^{184}_{74}\text{W}$ ,  $^{60}_{28}\text{Ni}$ ,  $^{51}_{23}\text{V}$

**Table 3.** Number of residual proton after particle beam goes through  $x$  cm target thickness

Target thickness (cm)	Number of proton is remaining (%)
10	57.2224
20	32.8
30	18.9056
40	11.0656
50	6.6176




**Fig. 3.** Spallation neutron yield on lead target in homogeneous model and LAHET code model




**Fig. 4.** Neutron multiplicity on Pb-206, W-184, U-238, Ni-60, V-51, Au-197 targets at 1500 MeV

In Fig. 4, we show the values of neutron multiplicities obtained with incident energy 1500 MeV.

We made a comparison of neutron multiplicities on different targets such as  $^{206}_{82}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{197}_{79}\text{Au}$ ,  $^{238}_{92}\text{U}$  in case the same thickness. Figures below show this:




**Fig. 5.** The neutron multiplicity on targets Pb-206, U-238, Au-197 at 10 cm thickness




**Fig. 6.** The neutron multiplicity on targets Pb-206, U-238 at 20 cm thickness

We can see that when target thickness increases, the neutron multiplicity increases. This proves the neutron multiplicity depends on target thickness.

Now, we consider the neutron multiplicity on targets Pb-206, U-238, Au-197 in case they have the same thickness. Figures 7, 8, 9 illustrate these:




**Fig. 7.** The neutron multiplicity on targets Pb-206, U-238 at 35 cm thickness




**Fig. 8.** The neutron multiplicity on target Pb-206 at different thicknesses 10 cm, 20 cm, 35 cm

We have remarks as follows:


The neutron multiplicity increases when the incident proton energy increases.




**Fig. 9.** The neutron multiplicity on target U-238 at different thicknesses 10 cm, 20 cm, 35 cm



**Fig. 10.** The neutron multiplicity on target Au-197 at different thicknesses 10 cm, 20 cm, 35 cm



**Fig. 11.** Spallation neutron yield on Pb-206 target at  $E_p = 1$  GeV, 1.5 GeV, 2 GeV, 3 GeV



**Fig. 12.** Spallation neutron yield on U-238 target at  $E_p = 1$  GeV, 1.5 GeV, 2 GeV, 3 GeV

When the thickness of targets increases, the neutron multiplicity increases with increasing of the incoming proton energy.

Since that point, we can draw that neutron yield depends on spallation target material.

At the proton energy ( $E_p$ ) with the same target thickness, the value  $n/p$  increases linearly.


And then considering the neutron multiplicity on targets in the case of the same incident proton energy in figures below

From above figures, we can see that the neutron multiplicity increases with the incident particle energy.


In short, the spallation neutron yield depends strongly on the target composition, geometry, and on the energy of the impinging proton.

**Comparison with other works**

As shown in Fig. 13 above, a comparison of spallation neutron yield between the calculation results with the measured data of Doctoral Thesis of Marcus Eriksson- Department of Nuclear and Reactor Physics- Royal Institute of Technology- Stockholm 2005- The calculated results are good agreement data.



**Fig. 13.** Spallation neutron yield as function of incident proton energy; (a) Result from Doctoral Thesis of Marcus Eriksson [8], (b) Result from this work



**Fig. 14.** Comparison between result of Marcus Eriksson and this work result

### III. CONCLUSION

This paper gives the extended initial results of target study using database of JENDL-HE library for simulation of particle interactions with different materials in aim to choose and design an optimal target in respect to spallation neutron yield.

The result of our simulation supplies a larger number of produced neutrons; this may be attributed to the fact that in the simulation all neutrons are counted, already in the experiment the detectors do not measure all neutrons.

### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Anefalos *et al.*, *Nuclear Science and Engineering* 2005 (Accept for Publication)
- [2] A. Letourneau *et al.*, *Nucl. Inst. And Meth.* **B170** (2000) 299-322
- [3] C. D. Bowman *et al.* *Nucl. Instr. and Meth.* **A320** (1992) 336
- [4] D. Dazhao, Z. Zhixiang, F. Sheng, and S. Qingbiao *et al.*, *Proceeding, Symposium on Conception Research of the Clear Nuclear Energy System Driven by Accelerator*, Beijing, Atomic Energy Publishing House, Beijing (2000) (in Chinese).
- [5] ENDF-6 Formats Manual Data Formats and Procedures for the Evaluated Nuclear Data File ENDF/B-VI and ENDF/B-VII-The Member of the Cross Section Evaluated Working Group- National Nuclear Data Center Brookhaven National Laboratory- NY-11973-5000
- [6] José R. Maiorino, Sara T. Mongelli, Adimir dos Santos, Sergio Anefalos, Airton Deppman, and Thiago Carluccio, *International Nuclear Conference- INAC 2005*
- [7] X. C. Mao, *et al.*, *High-energy Physics and Nuclear Physics* **23** (4) (1999) 402 (in Chinese)
- [8] Marcus Eriksson, *Accelerator-Driven Systems: Safety and Kinetics*, Doctoral Thesis - Department of Nuclear and Reactor Physics- Royal Institute of Technology- Stockholm, 2005
- [9] P. C. R. Rossi and J. R. Maiorino, *The Utilization of MCNPX 2.5 for ADS Target Calculation*, International Nuclear Atlantic Conference- INAC 2007
- [10] C. Rubbia, *et al.*, CERN/AT/95-45(ET) /(1995)
- [11] E. Richard, *et al.*, /LA-NR-3014 (1989)
- [12] F. Sheng, *et al.*, Ph. D. Thesis, China Institute of Atomic Energy, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A4** (1999) 61-68 (in Chinese)
- [13] S. Menard, J.P. Schapira, D. Vautherin, and P. Bonche, *Nucl. Phys.* **A400** (1997) 255-266
- [14] V. Baylac-Domengetroy, *Investigation Related to the Generation of Reaction Products in the Target of Accelerator Driven Systems for Nuclear Waste Incineration*, FZKA Publication, December 2003
- [15] Yu. V. Trebukhovskiy *et al.*, ITEP-2003, 2003; *Yad. Fiz.* **67** (2004) 1087.
- [16] Z. Zhao, Z. Luo, Y. Xu, and D. Ding, *Study on ADS Pb (Pb/Bi) Spallation Target*, China Institute of Atomic Energy Beijing, China

*Received 05 January 2010.*