# STABILITY OF THE CRITICAL STATIONARY OSCILLATIONS 
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In [1], a preliminary study on the so-called critical stationary oscillations has been proposed. In the present paper, some additional remarks on the second stability condition are of our interest. It will be shown that the compact form of the mentioned condition can be established for ordinary as well as for critical stationary oscillations.

## 1. The two parts of the resonance curve

Stationary oscillations of the quasilinear oscillating system examined in [1] are determined from the equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \{f\}_{\theta}=\{A(\Delta, a) u+B(\Delta, a) v-E(\Delta, a)\}_{\theta}=0 \\
& \{g\}_{\theta}=\{G(\Delta, a) u+H(\Delta, a) v-K(\Delta, a)\}_{\theta}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where: $f, g$ are polynomials of $(\Delta, a, u, v)$, linear relative to $(u, v)$; the subscript " $\theta$ " indicates that $u, v$ must be substituted by $u(\theta)=\sin \theta, v(\theta)=\cos \theta$; other notations have been explained in [1].

In the plane $R(\Delta, a)$, the resonance curve $C$ is defined as the ensemble of representing points $I(\Delta, a)$ whose ordinate $a$ is the amplitude of the stationary oscillations corresponding to the detuning parameter abscissa $\Delta$.

In general, $C$ consists of two parts: the ordinary $C_{1}$ and the critical $C_{2}$.
$C_{1}$ lies in the ordinary region $R_{1}: D_{0}(\Delta, a) \neq 0$; it is given by the relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1}(\Delta, a)=\frac{D_{1}^{2}(\Delta, a)+D_{2}^{2}(\Delta, a)}{D_{0}^{2}(\Delta, a)}-1=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain (1.2) we have imposed the trigonometrical condition $u^{2}+v^{2}=1$ on the expressions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\Delta, a)=D_{1}(\Delta, a) / D_{0}(\Delta, a), \quad v(\Delta, a)=D_{2}(\Delta, a) / D_{0}(\Delta, a) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are the solutions in $R_{1}$ of the equations (1.1) considered as the algebraic ones of two unknowns $u, v(\Delta, a$ play the role of parameters).
$C_{2}$ lies in the critical region (curve) $R_{2}: D_{0}(\Delta, a)=0$; it consists of critical representing points $I_{*}\left(\Delta_{*}, a_{*}\right)$. If the matrix $\left[D_{0}\right]$ is assumed to be of rank $1, C_{2}$ is determined by the compatibility conditions (1.4) and the trigonometrical restrictions (1.5):

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{0}(\Delta, a)=0, \quad D_{1}(\Delta, a)=0, \quad D_{2}(\Delta, a)=0  \tag{1.4}\\
A^{2}+B^{2} \geq E^{2}, \quad G^{2}+H^{2} \geq K^{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The conditions (1.4) assure the compatibility of the "linear" system(1.1) at $I_{*}$ (they determine compatible points $I^{\prime}\left(\Delta^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)$ ), while (1.5) assure the resolvability of the "trigonometrical" system (1.1) at $I_{*}$ (they distinguishe critical representing points from compatible ones). Usually, instead of (1.2), the following relationship is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\Delta, a)=D_{1}^{2}(\Delta, a)+D_{2}^{2}(\Delta, a)-D_{0}^{2}(\Delta, a)=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, (1.6) contains all the two parts $C_{1}, C_{2}$. However, (1.2) as well as (1.6) cannot be considered as the equation of the "whole" resonance curve $C=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ : (1.2) does not contain $C_{2}$ while (1.6) contains 'in surplus" compatible non critical points (satisfying(1.4) but not (1.5)).

## 2. Singularity and trigonometrical restrictions

Critical points $I_{*}$ satisfy the necessary conditions of singularity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \Delta}\right)_{*}=0, \quad\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial a}\right)_{*}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In practice, they are singular points of the curve $W=0$. To determine the type of singularity of the critical point $I_{*}\left(\Delta_{*}, a_{*}\right)$, we use the development of $W(\Delta, a)$ in its vinicity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\Delta-\Delta_{*}, a-a_{*}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2} W+\ldots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta^{2} W=A_{20}\left(a-a_{*}\right)^{2}+2 A_{11}\left(a-a_{*}\right)\left(\Delta-\Delta_{*}\right)+A_{02}\left(\Delta-\Delta_{*}\right)^{2} \\
A_{i j}=\left(\frac{\partial^{i+j} W}{\partial a^{i} \partial \Delta^{j}}\right)_{*} \quad(i, j=0,1,2) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We shall base our discussion only on $\delta^{2} W$, assuming that $\delta^{2} W \neq 0$ i.e. $A_{20}^{2}+A_{11}^{2}+A_{02}^{2} \neq 0$. In this case, the singularity depends on the sign of the discriminant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{*}=A_{11}^{2}-A_{20} A_{02} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely: - if $D_{*}>0, I_{*}$ is a nodal point,

- if $D_{*}<0, I_{*}$ is an isolated point (as it will be shown below, in this case, the point of interest is a compatible non critical).
The case $D_{*}=0$ requires a more detailed study.
It is noted that there exist certain liaisons between the singularity and the trigonometrical restrictions. Indeed, since rank $\left[D_{0}\right]=1$, we can assume that $A_{*} \neq 0$. By (1) (2) we denote two trajectories in $R_{1}$ passing through $I_{*}$.

The expressions (1.3) are the solutions of the "algebraic" equations (1.1) in $R_{1}$. Therefore we have along (1) (2) an identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\Delta, a) D_{1}(\Delta, a)+B(\Delta, a) D_{2}(\Delta, a)-E(\Delta, a) D_{0}(\Delta, a) \equiv 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by differentiating along (1) (2), we obtain at $I_{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A \frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial \Delta}+B \frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial \Delta}-E \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial \Delta}\right)_{*}+\left(A \frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial a}+B \frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a}-E \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)_{*} k_{i}=0 \quad(i=1,2) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i}$ is the slope of the trajectory (i) at $I_{*}$.
Since $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$, from (2.5), it follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A \frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial \Delta}+B \frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial \Delta}-E \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial \Delta}\right)_{*}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial \Delta}\right)_{*}=\frac{1}{A_{*}}\left(E \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial \Delta}-B \frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial \Delta}\right)_{*}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \left(A \frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial a}+B \frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a}-E \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}=\frac{1}{A_{*}}\left(E \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}-B \frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a}\right)_{*} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.6), the discriminant $D_{*}$ can be transformed into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{*}=\frac{4}{A_{*}^{2}}\left(A^{2}+B^{2}-E^{2}\right)_{*}\left(\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial \Delta} \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}-\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a} \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial \Delta}\right)_{*}^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation shows that:

- If $D_{*}>0$, then $A_{*}^{2}+B_{*}^{2}>E_{*}^{2}$ : the nodal point $I_{*}$ satisfying the trigonometrical restrictions is a critical point.
- If $D_{*}<0$, then $A_{*}^{2}+B_{*}^{2}<E_{*}^{2}$ : the isolated point does not satisfy the trigonometrical restrictions; it is only a compatible non critical point and does not belong to $C_{2}$.


## 3. The dephases of stationary oscillations

For ordinary stationary oscillations i.e. on $C_{1}$, the dephases are given by (1.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \theta=u(\theta)=u(\Delta, a), \quad \cos \theta=v(\theta)=v(\Delta, a) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I_{*}\left(\Delta_{*}, a_{*}\right)$ be the critical point of interest. The corresponding dephases $\theta_{*}$ are determined - for instance - from the first "trigonometrical" equation of the system (1.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{*} \sin \theta+B_{*} \cos \theta-E_{*}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, by the "algebraic" system:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{*} u+B_{*} v-E_{*} & =0  \tag{3.3a}\\
u^{2}+v^{2} & =1 . \tag{3.3~b}
\end{align*}
$$

The critical dephases can also be determined by (1.3) "at limit". In $R_{1}$, by suitable trajectories $\gamma$, we call those passing through $I_{*}$ and admitting (at $I_{*}$ ), as slope, any root $k$ of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{20} k^{2}+2 A_{11} k+A_{02}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(each $k$ corresponds to a "family" of trajectories of the same tangent at $I_{*}$; for simplicity, this family is called one trajectory). The quadratic equation (3.4) has just $D_{*}$ as discriminant.

If $D_{*}>0$ (nodal point) we have two suitable trajectories; if $D_{*}=0-$ only one; if $D_{*}<0$ (isolated non critical point), suitable trajectories do not exist.

At every point $I(\Delta, a)$ of $\gamma$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\Delta, a) u(\Delta, a)+B(\Delta, a) v(\Delta, a)-E(\Delta, a)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $I$ moves along $\gamma$ and tends to $I_{*}$, by continuity, at $I_{*}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{*} \lim u(\Delta, a)+B_{*} v(\Delta, a)-E_{*}=0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the two limits of $u(\Delta, a), v(\Delta, a)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim u(\Delta, a)=\lim \left(\frac{D_{1}(\Delta, a)}{D_{0}(\Delta, a)}\right)=\left(\frac{d D_{1}}{d \Delta} / \frac{d D_{0}}{d \Delta}\right)_{*} \\
& \lim v(\Delta, a)=\lim \left(\frac{D_{2}(\Delta, a)}{D_{0}(\Delta, a)}\right)=\left(\frac{d D_{2}}{d \Delta} / \frac{d D_{0}}{d \Delta}\right)_{*}^{\prime} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\frac{d D_{i}}{d \Delta}\right)_{*}=\left(\frac{\partial D_{i}}{\partial a}\right)_{*} k+\left(\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial \Delta}\right)_{*} \quad(i=0,1,2)
$$

Regarding to (3.4), we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lim u(\Delta, a))^{2}+(\lim v(\Delta, a))^{2}-1=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{d D_{0}}{d \Delta}\right)_{*}^{2}}\left\{A_{20} k^{2}+2 A_{11} k+A_{02}\right\}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{i j}=\left(\frac{\partial^{i+j} W}{\partial a^{i} \partial \Delta^{j}}\right)_{*}=2\left\{\left(\frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial a}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial \Delta}\right)^{j}+\left(\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial \Delta}\right)^{j}-\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial \Delta}\right)^{j}\right\}_{*} . \quad(i+j=2)$,
The expressions (3.6) (3.8) mean that $\lim u(\Delta, a), \lim v(\Delta, a)$ satisfy (3.3a,b) i.e we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{*}=\lim u(\Delta, a), \quad v_{*}=\lim v(\Delta, a) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. If $\boldsymbol{A}_{20}=0$, one root $k=\infty$.
4. The compact form of the second stability condition

The second (sufficient) condition for asymptotic stability is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=\left\{\frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} v-\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} u\right)-\frac{\partial g}{\partial a}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} v-\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} u\right)\right\}_{\theta}>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $C_{1}$, i.e. for ordinary stationary oscillations, regarding to (3.1), $S_{2}$ can directly be expressed through ( $\Delta, a$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=\left\{\frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} v-\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} u\right)-\frac{\partial g}{\partial a}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} v-\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} u\right)\right\}_{u(\Delta, a), v(\Delta, a)}>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark again that (1.3) is the solution of the "algebraic" system (1.1) in $R_{1}$. So, in $R_{1}$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\Delta, a, u(\Delta, a), v(\Delta, a)) \equiv 0  \tag{4.3}\\
& g(\Delta, a, u(\Delta, a), v(\Delta, a)) \equiv 0
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (4.3) relative to $a$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} \equiv-\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial a}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial a}\right), \\
& \frac{\partial g}{\partial a} \equiv-\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial a}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial a}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.4), on $R_{1}$, the expression inside the curly bracket of (4.1) can be written as ( $u$, $v$ substituted by (1.3)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} v-\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} u\right)-\frac{\partial g}{\partial a}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} v-\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} u\right)=\frac{1}{2} D_{0} \frac{\partial W_{1}}{\partial a} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, (4.5) is valid on $C_{1}$, too. Therefore, for ordinary stationary oscillations, the second stability condition takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}(\Delta, a)=\frac{1}{2} D_{0} \cdot \frac{\partial W_{1}}{\partial a}>0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $R_{1}$, we have $W(\Delta, a)=D_{0}^{2} W_{1}(\Delta, a)$; so, on $R_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} D_{0} \frac{\partial W_{1}}{\partial a}=\frac{1}{2 D_{0}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}-\frac{1}{D_{0}^{2}} W \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $C_{1}, D_{0} \neq 0, W=0$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}(\Delta, a)=\frac{1}{2 D_{0}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}>0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stability of ordinary stationary oscillations is doubtful:

- At ordinary singular point where $D_{0} \neq 0, \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}=0\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \Delta}=0\right.$ too $)$
- At the ends (of ordinary portions) having vertical tangents (parallel to the $a$-axis): along $C_{1}$, the slope is determined by

$$
\frac{\partial W}{\partial a} \frac{d a}{d \Delta}+\frac{\partial W}{\partial \Delta}=0 ; \quad \text { if } \frac{d a}{d \Delta} \rightarrow 0, \text { then } \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial a} \rightarrow 0
$$

For critical stationary oscillations, ( $u, v$ ) must be substituted by $\left(u_{*}, v_{*}\right)$ which are the limits (along suitable trajectories) of $u(\Delta, a), v(\Delta, a)$ respectively. Therefore, we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 *}=\lim \left\{\frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} v-\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} u\right)-\frac{\partial g}{\partial a}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} v-\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} u\right)\right\}>0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding to (4.7), $S_{2 *}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 *}=\lim \left\{\frac{1}{2 D_{0}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}\right\}-\lim \left\{\frac{1}{D_{0}^{2}} W \frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right\}>0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}$ is a polynomial, its limit is finite. Otherwise,

$$
\lim \frac{W}{D_{0}^{2}}=\lim \left(\frac{D_{1}^{2}}{D_{0}^{2}}+\frac{D_{2}^{2}}{D_{0}^{2}}-1\right)=u_{*}^{2}+v_{*}^{2}-1=0
$$

Finally, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 *}=\lim \left\{\frac{1}{2 D_{0}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}\right\}>0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{2 *}=\lim \left\{\frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial a}\left(\frac{D_{1}}{D_{0}}\right)+\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a}\left(\frac{D_{2}}{D_{0}}\right)-\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right\}>0  \tag{4.12}\\
& S_{2 *}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d}{d \Delta} \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}\right)_{*} /\left(\frac{d D_{0}}{d \Delta}\right)_{*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{11}+A_{20} k\right)_{*} /\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial \Delta}+\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a} k\right)_{*}>0 \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. Stability of the critical nodal point

Let us examine in detail the stability (in the sense of the second stability condition) of a critical nodal point $I_{*}\left(\Delta_{*}, a_{*}\right)$ - the intersection point of two ordinary portions (1) (2) - at which $D_{*}>0$.

The portions (1) (2) are just two suitable trajectories; $I_{*}$ represents two critical stationary oscillations with dephases $\theta_{* i}$ corresponding to two slopes $k_{i}(i=1,2)$ of the portions (1) (2) respectively.

We examine the point $I_{*}^{(1)}\left(I_{*}\right.$ considered as an element of (1)) and suppose that the portion (1) is stable i.e. along (1) we have $S_{2}>0$. By continuity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 *}^{(1)}=\lim S_{2} \geq 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, $S_{2 *}$ cannot be equal to zero. Indeed, $S_{2 *}^{(1)}=0$ leads to;

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{20} k+A_{11}=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.2) (3.4) it follows $D_{*}=0$, in contradiction with the hypothesis on nodal point.

Thus $I_{*}^{(1)}$ is stable as the portion (1). In general, the stability character of a nodal critical point $I_{*}$ is the same as that of ordinary portion considered as containing it.
Remark 1. If the tangent of the portion (1) at $I_{*}$ is vertical, $S_{2 *}^{(1)}=0$, the stability is doubtful. Indeed, $k_{1}=0$, the first coefficient of the quadratic equation (3.4) is equal to zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{20}=2\left\{\left(\frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)^{2}\right\}_{*}=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}=0$, then $\left(\frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}=\left(\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}=0$, therefore (from (4.12)) $S_{2 *}^{(1)}=0$
If $\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)_{*} \neq 0$, from (3.7) (3.9) we deduce:

$$
u_{*}=\frac{\left(\frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}}{\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}}, \quad v_{*}=\frac{\left(\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}}{\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}}
$$

and. from (4.12):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 *}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial D_{1}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial D_{2}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}^{2}-\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}^{2}\right\}=\frac{A_{20}}{2\left(\frac{\partial D_{0}}{\partial a}\right)_{*}}=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. If $D_{*}=0$, the stability is doubtful, too. Indeed, in this case, there exists only one suitable trajectory with $k=-a_{11} / A_{20}$. Therefore, the numerator of (4.13) vanishes and we have $S_{2 *}=0$.

## Conclusions

The analysis presented above show that, for critical stationary oscillations, the compact form of the second stability condition is the limit of that of the ordinary stationary oscillation. The limit must be done on suitable trajectories. For critical nodal points, the stability character can directly be deduced from that of the ordinary portion considered as containing them.
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## Ổn Địn CỦa dao Động dùng tới hạn
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