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ABSTRACT: Hipposiderids and rhinolophids are referred to all taxa of the two families Hipposideridae and Rhinolophidae, respectively. Their echolocation signals are diagnostic by a dominant “constant frequency” (CF) segment. Each echolocation signal of leaf-nosed bat species consists of two segments (“constant-frequency” and “terminal frequency-modulated”) while that of horseshoe bat species comprises three segments (“initial frequency-modulated”, “constant-frequency” and “terminal frequency-modulated”). Between 2008 and 2014, a series of field surveys was conducted in Tam Dao National Park (TDNP) with particular emphases on taxonomy and echolocation of CF bat species. Results from the surveys indicated that TDNP is a home to three species of Hipposideridae (Hipposideros armiger, H. larvatus and H. pomona) and five species of Rhinolophidae (Rhinolophus affinis, R. lucifugus, R. macrotis, R. pearsonii and R. pusillus). During the present study, R. lucifugus was only detected in the field based on a poor echolocation call while all seven remaining species were captured. The CF of the second harmonic and morphological features of each species are clearly distinguished from the respective ones of the remaining species. This paper provides the external and acoustic diagnoses of each species for identification in the field to strengthen survey achievements, monitoring and conservation of CF bats in the park in coming time.
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INTRODUCTION
To date, Hipposideridae comprises 89 species belonging to 17 genera [23] and Rhinolophidae comprises 89 monogeneric species worldwide [2, 6, 8, 16, 17, 19, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. In Vietnam, hipposiderids consist of 19 species belonging to three genera: Aselliscus, Coelops and Hipposideros [3, 5, 11, 16, 21, 25] and rhinolophids consist of 16 species [21].

Among the current protected area system of Vietnam, TDNP is one of the most well known parks for all tourism, biodiversity research and conservation. Prior to the present study, a series of field surveys were carried out in the park [Error! Reference source not found.]. Between 2006 and 2014, the author conducted 6 field surveys throughout the park. Results from the surveys provided taxonomic materials and echolocation data of three hipposiderid and five rhinolophid species. Echolocation calls of these species are specific to species. Several of the recorded species were rarely captured but possibly detected by echolocation calls in the field. This paper provides remarks on their diagnoses in both morphology and echolocation to maximize achievements of forthcoming research, monitoring and conservation actions in coming time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bat capture and identification
For a confirmation of hipposiderid and rhinolophid diversity within TDNP, field surveys were conducted at forested areas, which were previously surveyed with records bat species [Error! Reference source not found.]. Bats were captured and handled in the field following the guidelines approved by the American Society of Mammalogists [15]. Four-bank harp traps [8] and mist nets of various sizes (2.6 m [height], 3-12 m [length], mesh size: 16 mm × 16 mm) were employed to capture bats. Each captured bat was removed carefully from the trap or net and placed individually in a cotton bag. Their external
measurements were taken following Bates & Harrison (1997) [1], Csorba et al. (2003) [6], Vu Dinh Thong (2011) [21] and Vu Dinh Thong et al. (2012, 2012, 2012) [23, 24, 25]. The measurements comprise FA, forearm length—from the extremity of the elbow to the extremity of the carpus with the wings folded; EH, ear height-length of ear conch; TIB, tibia length—from the knee joint to the ankle; HF, hind-foot length—from the extremity of the heel behind the os calcis to the extremity of the longest digit, excluding the hairs or claws; Tail: tail length, from the tip of the tail to its base adjacent to the body. Reproductive status and age were assessed following Racey (2009) [14] and Brunet-Rossini and Wilkinson (2009) [4], respectively. To reduce the influence of seasonal variations in body mass, juveniles and pregnant females were excluded from analyses.

**Echolocation recordings and analyses**

Echolocation calls were obtained from recordings in four situations: handheld, inside a flight-tent (4 m [length] × 4 m [width] × 2 m [height]) and hand release using a PCTape system at a sampling rate of 480 kHz. Batman software, which displays color sonagrams of the detected echolocation signals in real time, was used to obtain high quality sound sequences. Additionally, continuous recordings were also carried out in front of caves and under forest canopies to obtain echolocation calls when bats were leaving their roosts and foraging in natural habitat, respectively. All echolocation signals from manual and continuous recordings were analysed using Selena software to measure the constant frequency of the second harmonic (CF2) of each call. The PCTape system, Batman and Selena softwares are custom-made by the University of Tübingen, Germany.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Identity and echolocation frequency of each species**

*Hipposideros armiger*: This is a large species of *Hipposideros*. An average forearm length of the four captured females from TDNP is 93.0 mm (91.6-95.4 mm). Its noseleaf has four supplementary leaflets. Of which, the outmost one is less developed and much smaller than the three remainders (fig. 1A). Each echolocation signal consists of two segments, namely “constant” (CF) and “terminal frequency-modulated” (tFM) (fig. 2A). Frequency value range for CF2 of the captured individuals is 64.9-65.9 kHz.

*Hipposideros larvatus*: This is a medium-sized species of *Hipposideros*. The forearm lengths of one female and one male captured from TDNP are 57.7 mm and 58.1.0 mm, respectively. The noseleaf of each individual has three supplementary leaflets, which are almost equal in size (fig. 1B). Each echolocation signal consists of two segments, namely CF and tFM (fig. 2B). Frequency values for CF2 of the captured female and male are 88.2 and 85.9 kHz, respectively.

*Hipposideros pomona*: This is a small species of *Hipposideros*. The forearm lengths of one female and one male captured from TDNP are 42.1 mm and 41.8 mm, respectively. The noseleaf structure of each individual is simple without supplementary leaflet (fig. 1C). Each echolocation signal consists of two segments, namely CF and tFM (fig. 2C). Frequency values for CF2 of the captured individuals are 128.2 and 129.1 kHz, respectively.

*Rhinolophus affinis*: This is a medium-sized species of *Rhinolophus*. Three females and two males were captured at TDNP during the present study. An average of forearm length of these individuals is 51.4 mm (50.4-52.5 mm). The horseshoe is broad but does not cover the whole respective muzzle (fig. 3A). The connection process is rounded and haired sparsely. The lancet has two straight sides with a pointed tip. There are three vertical grooves on the lower lip. The pinna is small with a height of 20.00-22.00 mm. Each echolocation signal comprises three segments, namely “initial frequency-modulated” (iFM), CF and tFM (fig. 4A). An average value of frequency for CF2 of the five captured individuals is 73.5 kHz (72.9-73.8 kHz).

*Rhinolophus macrotis*: This is a small and uncommon species of *Rhinolophus*. The forearm length of one captured individual from
TDNP is 39.74 mm. The horseshoe is broad and covers the whole muzzle (fig. 3B). The connection process is highly haired with a blunt tip. The lancet has two convex sides with a rounded tip. There are three vertical grooves on the lower lip. The pinna is large (in comparison with the body size) with a height of 22.5 mm. Each echolocation signal comprises three segments, namely iFM, CF and tFM (fig. 4B). Frequency value for CF2 is 78.0 kHz.

**Figure 1.** Ear and face of leaf-nosed bat species from TDNP

A. *Hipposideros armiger*; B. *H. larvatus*; C. *H. pomona*.

**Figure 2.** Typical echolocation signals of leaf-nosed bat species from TDNP

A. *Hipposideros armiger*; B. *H. larvatus*; C. *H. pomona*.

**Figure 3.** Ear and face of horseshoe bat species from TDNP

A. *Rhinolophus affinis*; B. *R. macrotis*; C. *R. pearsonii*; D. *R. pusillus*.

**Figure 4.** Typical echolocation signals of horseshoe bat species from TDNP

A. *Rhinolophus affinis*; B. *R. macrotis*; C. *R. pearsonii*; D. *R. pusillus*.

*Rhinolophus pearsonii*: This is a medium-sized species of *Rhinolophus*. Three females and two males were captured at TDNP during the present study. An average of forearm length of these individuals is 52.0 mm (49.0-53.4 mm). The horseshoe is broad and covers the whole respective muzzle (fig. 3C). The connection process is low and rounded. The lancet is high with a bluntly pointed tip. There is only one vertical groove on the lower lip. The pinna is
large with a height of 21.5-26.0 mm. Each echolocation signal comprises three segments, namely iFM, CF and tFM (fig. 4C). An average of frequency values for CF2 of the captured individuals is 54.4 kHz (53.7-56.1 kHz).

*Rhinolophus pusillus*: This is a species complex of *Rhinolophus*. All fourteen females and seven males captured from TDNP are identified as *Rhinolophus pusillus* since the taxonomy of this species complex is still under discussion worldwide. An average of forearm lengths of these individuals is 37.1 mm (35.8-39.1 mm). The horseshoe is relatively broad and does not cover the whole respective muzzle (fig. 3D). The connection process is high and normally pointed, forming a “horn-like” in an individual. The lancet is normally pointed, forming a “horn-like” in an individual. The pinna is small with a height of 21.5-26.0 mm. Each echolocation signal comprises three segments, namely iFM, CF and tFM (fig. 4D). An average of frequency values for CF2 of the captured individuals is 105.9 kHz (103.9-110.7 kHz).

**DISCUSSION**

Of the horseshoe bats currently known from TDNP, *Rhinolophus luctus* is rarely captured throughout its distributional range worldwide. Within TDNP, it was only collected by Topal & Csorba (1992) [20]. During the present study, it was detected from poor echolocation calls in the forest area adjacent to the head quarter of TDNP with a CF2 of 33 kHz. This data fit well the echolocation frequency of *Rhinolophus luctus* from northern Thailand and Lao PDR [18]. In Vietnam, a confirmed distribution of this species covers the following provinces: Son La, Hoa Binh, Ha Tinh, Thua Thien-Hue, Kon Tum, Khanh Hoa and Dong Nai (Kruskop, 2013 [13]; Vu Dinh Thong et al. unpublished data). Borissenko and Kruskop (2003) [3] and Kruskop (2013) [13] mentioned that “the CF component around 110 kHz”. CF2 is the most dominant and specific to species of hipposiderid and rhinolophid bats in Vietnam and in inverse correlation with forearm length [21]. Therefore, the “CF” value in Borissenko and Kruskop (2003) [3] and Kruskop (2013) [13] is questionable and needs a re-examination of the original sound file. According to the poor echolocation signals recorded from TDNP during the present study and published data, a frequency range for CF2 of *Rhinolophus luctus* must be around 30.0-34.0 kHz.

*Table 1. A comparison of the CF2 values (in kHz) of hipposiderids and rhinolophids from TDNP and other localities in Vietnam*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Echolocation signal structure</th>
<th>TDNP</th>
<th>Kim Hy nature reserve*</th>
<th>Cat Ba national park**</th>
<th>Others***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>H. armiger</em></td>
<td>CF-tFM</td>
<td>64.9-65.9</td>
<td>63.2-66.8</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>62.0, 78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. larvatus</em></td>
<td>CF-tFM</td>
<td>85.9-88.2</td>
<td>83.8-89.3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>93.0-103.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. pomona</em></td>
<td>CF-tFM</td>
<td>128.2-129.1</td>
<td>122.0-127.7</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. affinis</em></td>
<td>iFM-CF-tFM</td>
<td>85.9-88.2</td>
<td>69.5-73.4</td>
<td>73.0-73.8</td>
<td>78.0-90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. luctus</em></td>
<td>iFM-CF-tFM</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. macrotis</em></td>
<td>iFM-CF-tFM</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>65.2-67.7</td>
<td>68.7-72.7</td>
<td>51.0-52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. pearsonii</em></td>
<td>iFM-CF-tFM</td>
<td>53.7-56.1</td>
<td>51.1-55.4</td>
<td>53.3-56.3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. pusillus</em></td>
<td>iFM-CF-tFM</td>
<td>103.9-110.7</td>
<td>102.3-106.1</td>
<td>104.7-109.1</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Furey et al. (2009) [10]; **: Vu Dinh Thong (2014) [22]; ***: Kruskop (2013) [13]; (n/a): not available; iFM, CF, tFM are defined in the section “Results and Discussion”.

Echolocation research plays important roles in inventory and taxonomy of bats [10, 25]. Within a protected area, echolocation call frequency is a very helpful parameter for
identification and monitoring of CF bats [21, 22]. However, in a national or regional scale, bat echolocation frequency is in age and geographic variations [21, 12]. The CF2 values of hipposiderids and rhinolophids from Tam Dao are more or less similar to those of CF bats from Kim Hy Nature Reserve and Cat Ba National Park but remarkably different from the ones in Kruskop (2013) [13] (table 1). In fact, acoustic identification of bats requires expert background in bat echolocation research with serious attention to both recordings and examination of sound parameters [22].

CONCLUSION

Tam Dao National Park is a home to three hipposiderid and five rhinolophid bat species: Hipposideros armiger, H. larvatus, H. pomona, Rhinolophus affinis, R. lactus, R. macrotis, R. pearsonii, R. pusillus. Their echolocation frequencies and morphological parameters are specific to species with very narrow variations. Therefore, each of these eight species is clearly distinguishable from the remainders in both morphology and echolocation call frequency.
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