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Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the concept of picture fuzzy sets (PFS), which are direct extensions of the fuzzy sets and the intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Then some operations on PFS with some properties are considered. The following sections are devoted to the Zadeh Extension Principle, picture fuzzy relations and picture fuzzy soft sets. Here, the basic preliminaries of PFS theory are presented.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this section we propose the definition of picture fuzzy sets and some operators on PFS.

Definition 1.1. A picture fuzzy set \( A \) on a universe \( X \) is an object in the form of

\[
A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \eta_A(x), \nu_A(x)) | x \in X \}
\]

where \( \mu_A(x) \in [0, 1] \) is called the degree of positive membership of \( x \) in \( A \), \( \eta_A(x) \in [0, 1] \) is called the degree of neutral membership of \( x \) in \( A \) and \( \nu_A(x) \in [0, 1] \) is called the degree of negative membership of \( x \) in \( A \), and where \( \mu_A, \eta_A \) and \( \nu_A \) satisfy the following condition:

\[
(\forall x \in X) \quad (\mu_A(x) + \eta_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1)
\]

Now \( 1 - (\mu_A(x) + \eta_A(x) + \nu_A(x)) \) could be called the degree of refusal membership of \( x \) in \( A \). Let \( \text{PFS}(X) \) denote the set of all the picture fuzzy sets on a universe \( X \).

Basically, picture fuzzy sets based models may be adequate in situations when we face human opinions involving more answers of types: yes, abstain, no , refusal. Voting can be a good example of such a situation as the human voters may be divided into four groups of those who: vote for, abstain, vote against, refusal of the voting. PFS is a direct generalization of the fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh 1965 [16] and the intuitionistic fuzzy set was proposed by Atanassov 1983 [3].

Definition 1.2 ([3]) A intuitionistic fuzzy set \( A \) on a universe \( X \) is an object of the form

\[
A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) | x \in X \}
\]

where \( \mu_A(x) \in [0, 1] \) is called the degree of membership of \( x \) in \( A \), \( \nu_A(x) \in [0, 1] \) is called the degree of non-membership of \( x \) in \( A \), and where \( \mu_A \) and \( \nu_A \) satisfy the following condition:

\[
(\forall x \in X) \quad (\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1)
\]

In this paper, let \( \text{IFS}(X) \) denote the set of all the intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFs) on a universe \( X \).
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Definition 1.3 ( [10]) For every two PFSs $A$ and $B$, the union, intersection and complement are defined as follows:

- $A \subseteq B$ iff $(\forall x \in X, \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\eta_A(x) \leq \eta_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$)
- $A = B$ iff $(A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$)
- $A \cup B = \{(x, \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \min(\eta_A(x), \eta_B(x)), \min(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x))) | x \in X \}$
- $A \cap B = \{(x, \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \min(\eta_A(x), \eta_B(x)), \max(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x))) | x \in X \}$
- $\text{co}(A) = A = \{(\nu_A(x), \eta_A(x), \mu_A(x)) | x \in X \}$

Now, a generalization of interval-valued fuzzy set $A$ is proposed. Here the $\text{int}([0, 1])$ stands for the set of all closed subinterval of $[0, 1]$.

Definition 1.4 ( [6]) Let $[a_1, b_1]$, $[a_2, b_2] \in \text{int}([0, 1])$. We define

\[
\begin{align*}
[a_1, b_1] & \leq [a_2, b_2], \quad \text{iff } a_1 \leq a_2, b_1 \leq b_2; [a_1, b_1] \sqsubset [a_2, b_2] \quad \text{iff } a_1 \leq a_2, b_1 \geq b_2; \\
[a_1, b_1] & = [a_2, b_2], \quad \text{iff } a_1 = a_2, b_1 = b_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Definition 1.5. An interval-valued picture fuzzy set $A$ on a universe $X$ (IvPFS, in short) is an object of the form

\[
A = \{(x, MA(x), LA(x), NA(x)) | x \in X \}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
MA : X & \to \text{int}([0, 1]), MA(x) = [MAL(x), MAU(x)] \in \text{int}([0, 1]) \\
LA : X & \to \text{int}([0, 1]), LA(x) = [MAL(x), LAU(x)] \in \text{int}([0, 1]) \\
NA : X & \to \text{int}([0, 1]), NA(x) = [NAL(x), NAU(x)] \in \text{int}([0, 1])
\end{align*}
\]

satisfy the following condition:

\[
(\forall x \in X) \quad (\text{sup } MA(x) + \text{sup } LA(x) + \text{sup } NA(x) \leq 1)
\]

Let IvPFS($X$) denote the set of all the interval-valued picture fuzzy set IvPFSs on a universe $X$.

Definition 1.6. For every two IvPFSs $A$ and $B$, the inclusion, union, intersection and complement are defined as follows:

- $A \subseteq B$ if $(\forall x \in X)(MAL(x) \leq MBL(x)$ and $MAU(x) \leq MBA(x)$ and $\text{LAL}(x) \leq LBL(x)$ and $\text{LAM}(x) \leq \text{LBU}(x)$ and $\text{NAL}(x) \geq \text{NBL}(x)$ and $\text{NAU}(x) \geq \text{NBU}(x))$.
- $A = B$ if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$
- $A \cup B = \{(x, [MAL(x) \vee MBL(x), MAU(x) \vee MBA(x)], [MAL(x) \wedge LBL(x), LAU(x) \wedge LBU(x)], [NAL(x) \wedge NBL(x), NAU(x) \wedge NBU(x)]) | x \in X \}$
- $A \cap B = \{(x, [MAL(x) \wedge MBL(x), MAU(x) \wedge MBA(x)], [MAL(x) \vee LBL(x), LAU(x) \vee LBU(x)], [NAL(x) \vee NBL(x), NAU(x) \vee NBU(x)]) | x \in X \}$
where \( \lor \) and \( \land \) stand for max and min operators respectively

\[
\text{co}A = \overline{A} = \{(x, N_A(x), L_A(x), M_A(x)) | x \in X \}
\]

**Definition 1.7.** Let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be two universes and let

\[
A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \eta_A(x), \nu_A(x)) | x \in X_1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{(y, \mu_B(y), \eta_B(y), \nu_B(y)) | y \in X_2 \}
\]

be two PFSs. The Cartesian product of these two PFSs is defined as follows:

- \( A \times_1 B = \{((x, y), \mu_A(x) \cdot \mu_B(y), \eta_A(x) \cdot \eta_B(y), \nu_A(x) \cdot \nu_B(y)) | x \in X_1, y \in X_2\} \)
- \( A \times_2 B = \{((x, y), \mu_A(x) \land \mu_B(y), \eta_A(x) \land \eta_B(y), \nu_A(x) \lor \nu_B(y)) | x \in X_1, y \in X_2\} \)

These definitions are valid. See [7,8].

**Definition 1.8.** Let \( A \) be an IvPFS over \( X_1 \) and \( B \) be an IvPFS over \( X_2 \). We define:

- \( A \times_1 B = \{(x, y), ([M_{AL}(x) \cdot M_{BL}(y), M_{AU}(x) \cdot M_{BU}(y)], [L_{AL}(x), L_{BL}(y), L_{AU}(x), L_{BU}(y)], [N_{AL}(x), N_{BL}(y), N_{AU}(x), N_{BU}(y)]) | x \in X_1, y \in X_2\} \)
- \( A \times_2 B = \{(x, y), ([M_{AL}(x) \land M_{BL}(y), M_{AU}(x) \land M_{BU}(y)], [L_{AL}(x) \lor L_{BL}(y), L_{AU}(x) \lor L_{BU}(y)], [N_{AL}(x) \lor N_{BL}(y), N_{AU}(x) \lor N_{BU}(y)]) | x \in X_1, y \in X_2\} \)

The defined operations are extensions of the operations on FSs given in [11, 14, 16] and of the operations for IFSs and IVIFSs given in [2–6].

## 2. SOME OPERATIONS ON PFS

Now, some properties of the defined operations on PFSs are considered.

### 2.1. Some propositions

**Proposition 2.1.** For every PFSs \( A, B, C \)

(a) If \( A \subseteq B \) and \( B \subseteq C \) then \( A \subseteq C \);
(b) Operations \( \cap \) and \( \cup \) are commutative;
(c) Operations \( \cap \) and \( \cup \) are associative;
(d) Operations \( \cap \) and \( \cup \) are distributive;
(e) Operations \( \cap \) \( \text{Co} \) and \( \cup \) satisfy the law of De Morgan.

Now we present some properties of the Cartesian product of two PFSs.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be two universes. Let \( A \in \text{IvPFS}(X_1) \), \( B \in \text{IvPFS}(X_2) \).

Then

\[
A \times_2 B = \{(x, y), ([M_{AL}(x) \land M_{BL}(y), M_{AU}(x) \land M_{BU}(y)], [L_{AL}(x), L_{BL}(y), L_{AU}(x), L_{BU}(y)], [N_{AL}(x) \lor N_{BL}(y), N_{AU}(x) \lor N_{BU}(y)]) | x \in X_1, y \in X_2\} .
\]

**Proposition 2.2.** For every three universes \( X_1, X_2, X_3 \) and four PFSs \( A, B \in \text{PFS}(X_1), C \in \text{PFS}(X_2), D \in \text{PFS}(X_3) \)
Example 2.1. Let us consider picture fuzzy sets in an example.

2.2. Distance between picture fuzzy sets

The following definition is an extension of the distances between intuitionistic fuzzy sets given by Szmidt and Kacprzyk in [15].

Definition 2.2. Distances for two picture fuzzy sets \( A \) and \( B \) in \( X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\} \) are:

- The normalized Hamming distance \( d_P(A, B) \)
  \[ d_P(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\eta_A(x_i) - \eta_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|) \]

- The normalized Euclidean distance \( e_P(A, B) \)
  \[ e_P(A, B) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)|^2 + |\eta_A(x_i) - \eta_B(x_i)|^2 + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|^2)} \]

Example 2.1. Let us consider picture fuzzy sets \( A, B, C \) in \( X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \). The full description of picture fuzzy set \( A \), i.e.

\[ A = \{(\mu_A(x_1), \eta_A(x_1), \nu_A(x_1))/x_1, (\mu_A(x_2), \eta_A(x_2), \nu_A(x_2))/x_2, (\mu_A(x_3), \eta_A(x_3), \nu_A(x_3))/x_3\} \]

For example,

\[ A = \{(0.8, 0.1, 0)/x_1, (0.4, 0.2, 0.3)/x_2, (0.5, 0.3, 0)/x_3\} \]
\[ B = \{(0.3, 0.3, 0.2)/x_1, (0.7, 0.1, 0.1)/x_2, (0.4, 0.3, 0.2)/x_3\} \]
\[ C = \{(0.3, 0.4, 0.1)/x_1, (0.6, 0.2, 0.1)/x_2, (0.4, 0.3, 0.1)/x_3\} \]

Then

\[ d_P(A, B) = 0.6, d_P(B, C) = 0.5/3, d_P(A, C) = 0.5 \]

and

\[ e_P(A, B) = \sqrt{0.52/3}, e_P(B, C) = \sqrt{0.05/3}, e_P(A, C) = \sqrt{0.15} \]

2.3. Convex combination of PFS

Convex combination is an important operation in mathematics, which is an useful tool on convex analysis, linear spaces and convex optimization. In this section, convex combination of PFSs firstly is defined with some simple propositions.
**Definition 2.3.** Let $A, B$ be a PFS on $X$. Let $\theta$ be a real number such that $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. For each $\theta$, the convex combination of $A$ and $B$ is defined as follows:

$$C_{\theta}(A, B) = \{(x, \mu_{C_{\theta}}(x), \eta_{C_{\theta}}(x), \nu_{C_{\theta}}(x)) | x \in X \}$$

where

$$\forall x \in X, \mu_{C_{\theta}}(x) = \theta \mu_A(x) + (1 - \theta) \mu_B(x)$$

$$\forall x \in X, \eta_{C_{\theta}}(x) = \theta \eta_A(x) + (1 - \theta) \eta_B(x), \forall x \in X, \nu_{C_{\theta}}(x) = \theta \nu_A(x) + (1 - \theta) \nu_B(x)$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let $A, B$ be a PFS on $X$. Let $\theta$ be a real number such that $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Then

- If $\theta = 1$, then $C_{\theta}(A, B) = A$ and if $\theta = 0$, then $C_{\theta}(A, B) = B$;
- $A \subseteq B$ then $\forall \theta$, $A \subseteq C_{\theta}(A, B) \subseteq B$
- $(A \supseteq B) \land (\theta_1 \geq \theta_2)$ then $C_{\theta_1}(A, B) \supseteq C_{\theta_2}(A, B)$

**Proof.** The proof is immediate.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $A, B \in \text{PFS}(X)$. Let $\theta$ be a real number such that $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Then

- $C_{\theta}(A \cap B, D) = C_{\theta}(A, D) \cap C_{\theta}(B, D)$
- $C_{\theta}(A \cup B, D) = C_{\theta}(A, D) \cup C_{\theta}(B, D)$

**Proof.** See [8].

### 2.4. More on interval valued PFS

Some selected operations for interval valued picture fuzzy sets are considered: the convex combination of IvPFSs and the Cartesian product of IvPFSs.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $A, B$ be two IvPFS on $X$. Let $\theta$ be a real number, such is $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. For each $\theta$, the convex combination of $A$ and $B$ is defined as follows:

$$C_{\theta}(A, B) = \{(x, M_{C_{\theta}}(x), L_{C_{\theta}}(x), N_{C_{\theta}}(x)) | x \in X \}$$

where

$$\forall x \in X, M_{C_{\theta}}(x) = [(M_{C_{\theta}}(x) = \theta \cdot M_{AL}(x) + (1 - \theta) \cdot M_{BL}(x)), (M_{C_{\theta}}(x) = \theta \cdot M_{AL}(x) + (1 - \theta) \cdot M_{BL}(x))]$$

$$\forall x \in X, L_{C_{\theta}}(x) = [(L_{C_{\theta}}(x) = \theta \cdot L_{AL}(x) + (1 - \theta) \cdot L_{BL}(x)), (L_{C_{\theta}}(x) = \theta \cdot L_{AL}(x) + (1 - \theta) \cdot L_{BL}(x))]$$

$$\forall x \in X, N_{C_{\theta}}(x) = [(N_{C_{\theta}}(x) = \theta \cdot N_{AL}(x) + (1 - \theta) \cdot N_{BL}(x)), (N_{C_{\theta}}(x) = \theta \cdot N_{AL}(x) + (1 - \theta) \cdot N_{BL}(x))].$$

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $A, B$ be two IvPFSs on $X$. Let $\theta$ be a real number $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, then

- If $\theta = 1$ then $C_{\theta}(A, B) = A$ and if $\theta = 0$, then $C_{\theta}(A, B) = B$;
- If $A \subseteq B$ then $\forall \theta$, $A \subseteq C_{\theta}(A, B) \subseteq B$
- $(A \supseteq B) \land (\theta_1 \geq \theta_2)$, then $C_{\theta_1}(A, B) \supseteq C_{\theta_2}(A, B)$

**Proof.** Proof. Immediate.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $A, B, D \in \text{IvPFS}(X)$. Let $\theta$ be a real number such that $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Then

- $C_{\theta}(A \cap B, D) = C_{\theta}(A, D) \cap C_{\theta}(B, D)$
- $C_{\theta}(A \cup B, D) = C_{\theta}(A, D) \cup C_{\theta}(B, D)$

**Proof.** Immediate.

Some propositions of the Cartesian product of two PFSs and two IvPFSs are given in [7,8,10].
3. PICTURE FUZZY RELATIONS

Fuzzy relations are one of most important notions of fuzzy set theory and fuzzy systems theory. The Zadeh composition rule of fuzzy relations in inference procedures (see [14, 16]) is a well-known method in approximation theory and in the fuzzy control theory. Then intuitionistic fuzzy relations were defined, many results were obtained by researchers (see [5,6]). In this section, some preliminary results on picture fuzzy relations are presented.

3.1. Some definitions

Let \(X, Y\) and \(Z\) be ordinary non-empty sets.

An extension result of the given proposition in [5,6] for PFS is as follows

**Definition 3.1.** A picture fuzzy relation is a picture fuzzy subset of \(X \times Y\) i.e. \(R\) given by

\[
R = \{((x, y), \mu_R(x, y), \eta_R(x, y), \nu_R(x, y)) | x \in X, y \in Y\}
\]

where \(\mu_R : X \times Y \rightarrow [0,1], \eta_R : X \times Y \rightarrow [0,1], \nu_R : X \times Y \rightarrow [0,1]\) satisfy the condition 0 \(\leq \mu_R(x, y) + \eta_R(x, y) + \nu_R(x, y) \leq 1\) for every \((x, y) \in (X \times Y)\). PFR\((X \times Y)\) the set of all the picture fuzzy relations in \(X \times Y\) is denoted.

**Definition 3.2.** Let \(R \in PFR(X \times Y)\). We define the inverse relation \(R^{-1}\) between \(Y\) and \(X\): 

\[
\mu_{R^{-1}}(y, x) = \mu_R(x, y), \eta_{R^{-1}}(y, x) = \eta_R(x, y), \nu_{R^{-1}}(y, x) = \nu_R(x, y), \forall (x, y) \in (X \times Y)
\]

Some properties of PFRs are the followings:

**Definition 3.3.** Let \(R\) and \(P\) be two picture fuzzy relations between \(X\) and \(Y\), for every \((x, y) \in X \times Y\) we define:

- \(R \leq P \Leftrightarrow (\mu_R(x, y) \leq \mu_P(x, y)) \& (\eta_R(x, y) \leq \eta_P(x, y)) \& (\nu_R(x, y) \geq \nu_P(x, y))\)
- \(R \lor P = \{((x, y), \mu_R(x, y) \lor \mu_P(x, y), \eta_R(x, y) \lor \eta_P(x, y), \nu_R(x, y) \lor \nu_P(x, y)) | x \in X, y \in Y\}\)
- \(R \land P = \{((x, y), \mu_R(x, y) \land \mu_P(x, y), \eta_R(x, y) \land \eta_P(x, y), \nu_R(x, y) \land \nu_P(x, y)) | x \in X, y \in Y\}\)
- \(R_c = \{((x, y), \nu_R(x, y), \eta_R(x, y), \mu_R(x, y)) | x \in X, y \in Y\}\)

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \(R, P, Q \in PFR(X \times Y)\). Then

(a) \((R^{-1})^{-1} = R\)
(b) \(R \leq P \Rightarrow R^{-1} \leq P^{-1}\)
(c1) \((R \lor P)^{-1} = R^{-1} \lor P^{-1}\)
(c2) \((R \land P)^{-1} = R^{-1} \land P^{-1}\)
(d1) \(R \land (P \lor Q) = (R \land P) \lor (R \land Q)\)
(d2) \(R \lor (P \land Q) = (R \lor P) \land (R \lor Q)\)
(e) \(R \land P \leq R, R \land P \leq P\)
(f1) If \((R \geq P) \& (R \geq Q)\) then \(R \geq P \lor Q\)
(f2) If \((R \leq P) \& (R \leq Q)\) then \(R \leq P \land Q\)

**Proof.** See [7,8].
3.2. Composition of Picture Fuzzy Relations

We know that the composition of intuitionistic fuzzy relations is given in [5] as follows:

**Definition 3.4** ([5]) Let $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \rho$ be $t$-norms or $t$-conorms not necessarily dual two-two, $E \in IFR(X \times Y)$ and $P \in IFR(Y \times Z)$. Composed relation $PCE \in IFR(X \times Z)$ is called to the one defined by

$$PCE = \{(x, z) \mid (x, y) \in PCE(x, z), \bigvee y \big[\beta(\mu_E(x, y), \mu_P(y, z))\big], \bigwedge y \big[\lambda(\rho(\nu_E(x, y), \nu_P(y, z)))\big] \big] \forall (x, z) \in X \times Z$$

whenever

$$0 \leq \mu_{PCE}(x, z) + \nu_{PCE}(x, z) \leq 1 \quad \forall (x, z) \in X \times Z$$

In [5] it was proved that if $\alpha = \vee, \beta t$-norm $\lambda = \wedge, \rho t$-conorm, the composition of intuitionistic fuzzy relations satisfies many properties.

A composition of picture fuzzy relations is the following:

**Definition 3.5.** Let $\alpha = \vee, \beta t$-norm $\lambda = \wedge, \rho t$-conorm, $E \in PFR(X \times Y)$ and $P \in PFR(Y \times Z)$. Composed relation $PCE \in PFR(X \times Z)$ is called to the one defined by

$$PCE = \{(x, z) \mid (x, y) \in PCE(x, z), \bigvee y \big[\beta(\mu_E(x, y), \mu_P(y, z))\big], \bigwedge y \big[\lambda(\rho(\nu_E(x, y), \nu_P(y, z)))\big] \big] \forall (x, z) \in X \times Z$$

where

$$\mu_{PCE}(x, z) = \bigvee y \big[\beta(\mu_E(x, y), \mu_P(y, z))\big]$$

$$\eta_{PCE}(x, z) = \bigwedge y \big[\lambda(\rho(\nu_E(x, y), \nu_P(y, z)))\big]$$

whenever

$$0 \leq \mu_{PCE}(x, z) + \eta_{PCE}(x, z) + \nu_{PCE}(x, z) \leq 1 \quad \forall (x, z) \in X \times Z$$

The following composition of PFRs is the generalized min-max composition of fuzzy relations.

**Definition 3.6.** Let $E \in PFR(X \times Y)$ and $P \in PFR(Y \times Z)$. Max-min composed relation $PCE \in PFR(X \times Z)$ is called to the one defined by

$$PCE = \{(x, z) \mid (x, y) \in PCE(x, z), \bigvee y \big[\beta(\nu_E(x, y), \nu_P(y, z))\big] \big] \forall (x, z) \in X \times Z$$

where $\forall (x, z) \in X \times Z, \mu_{PCE}(x, z) = \bigvee y \big[\mu_E(x, y) \wedge \mu_P(y, z)\big]$,

$$\eta_{PCE}(x, z) = \bigwedge y \big[\eta_E(x, y) \wedge \eta_P(y, z)\big]$$

The second composition of PFRs is the generalized min-prod composition in fuzzy set theory:
Definition 3.7. Let $E \in PFR(X \times Y)$ and $P \in PFR(Y \times Z)$. Let $t_1, t_2$ be $t$–norms. Max-$t$–norms composed relation $PCE \in PFR(X \times Z)$ is called to the one defined by $PCE = \{(x, z), \mu_{PCE}(x, z), \eta_{PCE}(x, z), \nu_{PCE}(x, z) \} | x \in X, z \in Z \}$

where $\forall(x, z) \in X \times Z$,

$$
\mu_{PCE}(x, z) = \bigvee_y \{t_1(\mu_E(x, y), \mu_P(y, z))\}
$$

$$
\eta_{PCE}(x, z) = \bigwedge_y \{t_2(\eta_E(x, y), \eta_P(y, z))\}
$$

$$
\nu_{PCE}(x, z) = \bigwedge_y \{[\nu_E(x, y) + \nu_P(y, z) - \nu_E(x, y), \nu_P(y, z)]\}
$$

4. ZADEH EXTENSION PRINCIPLE FOR PFS

The Zadeh Extension Principle is an important tool for many problems of fuzzy set theory, fuzzy control and applications. Now a version of the Zadeh Extension Principle for PFS should be presented. The following simple proposition is considered first.

Proposition 4.1. Let for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, X_i$ be a universe and $A_i = \{(x, \mu_{A_i}(x), \eta_{A_i}(x), \nu_{A_i}(x)) | x \in X_i \}$ be a PFS on $X_i$. Then, the Cartesian product of PFSs

$$
B^n = X A_i = \left\{ ((x_1, \ldots, x_n), \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \mu_{A_i}(x_i), \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \eta_{A_i}(x_i), \bigvee_{i=1}^n \nu_{A_i}(x)) | \forall x_i \in X_i, i = 1, \cdots, n \right\}
$$

is a PFS on $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$.

Proof. We prove by inductive reasoning. For $n = 2$, the result is given in the Definition 2.1. Now by inductive reasoning $B^{n-1} = X A_i$ is a PFS on $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{i-1}$, hence

$$
B^n = B^{n-1} \times 2 A_n = X A_i
$$

is a PFS of $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$.

Proposition 4.2. The Zadeh Extension Principle for PFS. Let for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, U_i$ be a universe and let $V \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : X U_i \rightarrow V$ be a mapping, where $y = f(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$. Let $z_i$ is a linguistic variable on $U_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Suppose, for all $i, z_i$ is $A_i$, where $A_i$ is a PFS on $U_i$, then the mapping of the output $f$ is $B$. The set $B$ is a PFS on $V$ defined for $y \in V$ by

$$
B(y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(\bigvee_{D(y)} \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\mu_{A_i}(u_i)), \bigwedge_{D(y)} \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\nu_{A_i}(u_i))) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\
(0, 0, 0) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset
\end{array} \right.
$$

where $D(y) = f^{-1}(y) = \{u = (u_1, \cdots, u_n) : f(u) = y\}$
5. PICTURE FUZZY SOFT SET

5.1. Definition

In this section we introduce the concept of picture fuzzy soft set. This theory is a combination of our picture fuzzy set theory and the soft set theory was introduced by Molodtsov.

In [13] Molodtsov proposed a generalized tool for modeling complex systems involving uncertain or not clearly defined objects. Now we present a new definition, an example and some results which are the generalization of some propositions given in [1, 12].

**Definition 5.1.** Let $PFS(U)$ be the set of all picture fuzzy sets of $U$. Let $E$ be the set of parameters and $A \subseteq E$. A pair $(F, A)$ is called a picture fuzzy soft set over $U$, where $F$ is a mapping given by $F : A \rightarrow PFS(U)$.

Clearly, for any parameter $e \in E, F(e)$, can be written as a picture fuzzy set such that $F(e) = \{ (x, \mu_{F(e)}(x), \eta_{F(e)}(x), \nu_{F(e)}(x)) \mid x \in U \}$, where the $\mu_{F(e)}(x)$ be the degree of positive membership, $\eta_{F(e)}(x)$ be the degree of neutral membership and $\nu_{F(e)}(x)$ be the degree of negative membership functions respectively. If for any parameter $e \in A$ and for any $x \in U, \eta_{F(e)}(x) = 0$, then $F(e)$ will degenerated to be an intuitionistic fuzzy set and then $(F, A)$ is degenerated to be an intuitionistic fuzzy soft set. We denote the set of all picture fuzzy soft sets over $U$ by $Pfss(U)$.

**Example 5.1.** Consider a picture fuzzy soft set $(F, A)$, where $U$ is the set of four economic projects under the consideration of a decision committee to choose, which is denoted by $U = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$, and $A$ is a parameter set, where $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\} = $good finance indicator, average finance indicator, good social contribution, average social contribution, good environment indicator. The picture fuzzy soft set $(F, A)$ describes the attractiveness of the projects to the decision committee.

Suppose that

$F(e_1) = \{ (p_1, 0.8, 0.12, 0.05), (p_2, 0.6, 0.18, 0.16), (p_3, 0.55, 0.20, 0.21), (p_4, 0.50, 0.20, 0.24) \}$

$F(e_2) = \{ (p_1, 0.82, 0.05, 0.10), (p_2, 0.7, 0.12, 0.10), (p_3, 0.60, 0.14, 0.10), (p_4, 0.51, 0.10, 0.24) \}$

$F(e_3) = \{ (p_1, 0.60, 0.14, 0.16), (p_2, 0.55, 0.20, 0.16), (p_3, 0.70, 0.15, 0.11), (p_4, 0.63, 0.12, 0.18) \}$

$F(e_4) = \{ (p_1, 0.7, 0.12, 0.07), (p_2, 0.75, 0.05, 0.16), (p_3, 0.60, 0.17, 0.18), (p_4, 0.55, 0.10, 0.22) \}$

$F(e_5) = \{ (p_1, 0.60, 0.12, 0.07), (p_2, 0.62, 0.14, 0.16), (p_3, 0.55, 0.10, 0.21), (p_4, 0.70, 0.20, 0.05) \}$

The picture fuzzy soft set $(F, A)$ is a parameterized family $\{ F(e_i) : i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \}$ of picture fuzzy sets over $U$.

5.2. Some operations and properties

Now we define some operations on picture fuzzy soft sets and present some properties. The other results of picture fuzzy soft sets could be seen in [9].

**Definition 5.2.** The complement of a picture fuzzy soft set $(F, A)$ is denoted as $(F, A)^c$ and is defined by $(F, A)^c = (F^c, A)$, where $F^c : A \rightarrow PFS(U)$ is a mapping given by $F^c(e) = (F(e))^c$, for all $e \in A$. 

Definition 5.3. If \((F,A)\) and \((G,B)\) are two picture fuzzy soft sets over a common universe \(U\), then \((F,A)\) and \((G,B)\) is a picture fuzzy soft set denoted by \((F,A) \wedge (G,B)\) is defined by \((F,A) \wedge (G,B) = (H, A \times B)\), where \(H(\alpha, \beta) = F(\alpha) \cap G(\beta)\) for all \((\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B\), that is
\[
H(\alpha, \beta)(x) = (\min(\mu_{F(\alpha)}(x), \mu_{G(\beta)}(x)), \min(\eta_{F(\alpha)}(x), \eta_{G(\beta)}(x)), \max(\nu_{F(\alpha)}(x), \nu_{G(\beta)}(x)))
\]
\(\forall(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B, \ \forall x \in U\)

Definition 5.4. If \((F,A)\) and \((G,B)\) are two picture fuzzy soft sets over a common universe \(U\), then \((F,A)\) or \((G,B)\) is a picture fuzzy soft set denoted by \((F,A) \lor (G,B)\) is defined by \((F,A) \lor (G,B) = (H, A \times B)\), where \(H(\alpha, \beta) = F(\alpha) \cup G(\beta)\) for all \((\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B\), that is
\[
H(\alpha, \beta)(x) = (\max(\mu_{F(\alpha)}(x), \mu_{G(\beta)}(x)), \min(\eta_{F(\alpha)}(x), \eta_{G(\beta)}(x)), \min(\nu_{F(\alpha)}(x), \nu_{G(\beta)}(x)))
\]
\(\forall(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B, \ \forall x \in U\)

Theorem 5.1. Let \((F,A)\) and \((G,B)\) be two picture fuzzy soft sets over \(U4\), then we have the following properties
(1) \(((F,A) \wedge (G,B))^c = (F,A)^c \lor (G,B)^c\)
(2) \(((F,A) \lor (G,B))^c = (F,A)^c \wedge (G,B)^c\)

Proof. See [9].

Definition 5.5. The intersection of two picture fuzzy soft sets \((F,A)\) and \((G,B)\) over a common universe \(U\) is a picture fuzzy soft set \((H,C)\), where \(C = A \cup B\) and for all \(e \in C\),
\[
H(e) = \begin{cases} 
F(e) & \text{if } e \in A - B, \\
G(e) & \text{if } e \in B - A, \\
F(e) \cap G(e) & \text{if } e \in A \cap B.
\end{cases}
\]
Its mean
\[
H(e) = \{(x, \min(\mu_{F(e)}(x), \mu_{G(e)}(x)), \min(\eta_{F(e)}(x), \eta_{G(e)}(x)), \max(\nu_{F(e)}(x), \nu_{G(e)}(x))) | x \in U \}, \forall e \in A \cap B
\]
This relation is denoted by \((F,A) \cap (G,B) = (H,C)\).

Definition 5.6. The union of two picture fuzzy soft sets \((F,A)\) and \((G,B)\) over a common universe \(U\) is a picture fuzzy soft set \((H,C)\), where \(C = A \cup B\) and for all \(e \in C\),
\[
H(e) = \begin{cases} 
F(e) & \text{if } e \in A - B, \\
G(e) & \text{if } e \in B - A, \\
F(e) \cup G(e) & \text{if } e \in A \cap B.
\end{cases}
\]
It’s mean
\[
H(e) = \{(x, \max(\mu_{F(e)}(x), \mu_{G(e)}(x)), \min(\eta_{F(e)}(x), \eta_{G(e)}(x)), \min(\nu_{F(e)}(x), \nu_{G(e)}(x))) | x \in U \}, \forall e \in A \cap B
\]
This relation is denoted by \((F,A) \cup (G,B) = (H,C)\).
Theorem 5.2. Let \((F, A)\) and \((G, B)\) be two picture fuzzy soft sets over \(U\), then we have the following properties:

1. \(((F, A) \cap (G, B))^c = (F, A)^c \cup (G, B)^c\)
2. \(((F, A) \cup (G, B))^c = (F, A)^c \cap (G, B)^c\)

Proof. See [9].

6. AN APPLICATION

In this section an application of PFS to a simple decision making problem should be presented. Suppose the following one criterion decision-making is considered:

Let \(A\) be a finite alternative set \(A = \{A_1, \cdots, A_n\}\). Suppose the basic evaluations of alternatives according to the criterion is given as a PFS \(E\) on \(A\).

Let \(E = \{e(A_1), \cdots, e(A_n)\}\), where for all \(i, e(A_i) = (\mu(A_i), \eta(A_i), \nu(A_i))\), \(0 \leq \mu(A_i), \eta(A_i), \nu(A_i) \leq 1\) The decision making problem is to rank the alternative set and to choose the best solution.

The following algorithm is used.

Algorithm 6.1.

Step 1. Define score functions on \(A\) \(h_1(A_i) = \mu(A_i), \forall i, h_2(A_i) = \eta(A_i), \forall i, h_3(A_i) = \mu(A_i) + \eta(A_i) - \nu(A_i), \forall i\).

Step 2. Define order \(\succeq_1\) on \(A\) by using \(A_i \succeq_1 A_k\) iff \(h_1(A_i) \geq h_1(A_k)\),

Define order \(\succeq_2\) on \(A\) by using \(A_i \succeq_2 A_k\) iff \(h_2(A_i) \geq h_2(A_k)\),

Define order \(\succeq_3\) on \(A\) by using \(A_i \succeq_3 A_k\) iff \(h_3(A_i) \geq h_3(A_k)\),

Step 3. Define on \(A\) aggregation order \(\succeq^*\) by using \(\succeq_1, \succeq_2, \succeq_3\), and rank the alternative set and choose the solution.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the new concept of picture fuzzy sets was introduced. Then some operations on PFSs and some properties of these operations were presented. Next, more new operations, including the convex combination of PFS, picture fuzzy relations with some their compositions were discussed. We also study the picture fuzzy soft set, and the Zadeh extention principle and a simple application of PFS to a one criterion decision making problem were presented. In the following paper some classes of aggregation operations of picture fuzzy information with application should be proposed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research is funded by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant numbers 102.01-2012.14 and 102.05.2014.01
REMARKS


Received on September 26 - 2014
Revised on October 07 - 2014