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Abstract. In recent years, one of the new applications of the coherent state method was to construct representation of superalgebras and quantum superalgebras. Following this stream, we had a contribution to working out explicit representation of $U_q[gl(2|1)]$. Up to now, $U_q[gl(2|1)]$ is still the biggest quantum superalgebra representations in coherent state basis of which can be built. In this article, we will show some detailed techniques used in our previous work but useful for our further investigations. The newest results on building representations in a coherent state basis of $U_q[osp(2|2)]$, which has the same rank as $U_q[gl(2|1)]$, are also briefly exposed.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the late 1920’s, the concept of coherent states (CS’s) was introduced by E. Schrödinger [1] while searching for a classical analog of quantum states of quantum harmonic oscillators. For more than 80 years, the concept of CS’s has been developed by many people, especially, a crucial step was made by A. Perelomov who generalized the CS concept for arbitrary Lie algebras [2–4]. This concept was also extended to that of vector coherent states (VCS’s) [5–9]. In 1970’s, the formation of supersymmetry (SUSY) led to the creation of a new research trend in physics and mathematics (although, presently, the SUSY phenomenological models are in a difficult time when the latest results of the LHC have not been able to confirm them). Combining with the SUSY idea, the concept of CS’s was developed to those of super coherent states (SCS’s) and supervector coherent states (SVCS’s) [10–14].

With special characteristics, CS’s are quantum states having a direct relation to classical states (see [15] and references therein). Therefore, it has been applied to a wide range of various fields from quantum optics [16], quantum information [17], condensed matter physics [4], string theories [18,19] and cosmology [20]. The coherent state method is also very useful when we utilize them to construct representations of Lie (super) groups and algebras (see, for example, [24] and references therein).

For the last time, some authors have started exploiting the CS method to build finite dimensional representations of superalgebras and quantum superalgebras. However,
they have succeeded with only a few low-rank superalgebras and the simplest quantum superalgebra $U_q[osp(1|2)]$ (see [21, 22]). To use this method for higher rank quantum superalgebras, e.g., $U_q[gl(2|1)]$, we developed calculating procedure that concerns high order commutator expressions and $q$-calculus [24]. In this paper, we show them in details with a new application to the construction of boson-fermion representations of $U_q[osp(2|2)]$. For the convenience of the reader, nonetheless, we recall first the results obtained in the case of $U_q[gl(2|1)]$ before considering $U_q[osp(2|2)]$. Some of the present results were reported at the recent national meeting in theoretical physics [25].

II. SOME IMPORTANT TECHNIQUE OF CONSTRUCTING REPRESENTATIONS OF QUANTUM GROUP BY COHERENT STATE METHOD

When we work with quantum (super) algebras, most of transformations are related with deformation parameters. In this paper, to be simple, we only mention quantum (super) algebras with one deformation parameter which will be denoted here by $q$.

Suppose $X$ is an arbitrary number or an operator, we define the $q$-deformation $[X]_q$ and the $q$-expression $\{X\}_q$:

$$[X]_q = \frac{q^X - q^{-X}}{q - q^{-1}},$$
$$\{X\}_q = q^X + q^{-X}. \tag{1}$$

and $q$-exponent:

$$e^X_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^n}{[n]_q!}.$$ \tag{2}

with $n$ is an integer and $[n]_q! = [1]_q[2]_q\ldots[n]_q$. Basically, other transcendental functions that includes $q$-parameter can be represented through normal polynomials by Taylor’s expansion.

Now, with operators $X$ and $Y$, we can define deformed (anti) commutators:

$$[X,Y]_q = XY - qYX,$$
$$\{X,Y\}_q = XY + qYX. \tag{3}$$

Here we list some expressions,

$$[X + 2]_q - [X]_q = 2\{X + 1\}_q,$$
$$\{X + Y\}_q + \{X - Y\}_q = \{X\}_q\{Y\}_q,$$
$$\{X + Y\}_q - \{X - Y\}_q = (q - q^{-1})^2 [X]_q[Y]_q,$$
$$[X + Y]_q + [X - Y]_q = [X]_q\{Y\}_q,$$
$$[X + Y]_q - [X - Y]_q = [Y]_q\{X\}_q. \tag{4}$$
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^{2i} = q^{n-1}[n]_q, \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^{-2i} = q^{1-n}[n]_q, \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^{4i} = q^{2n-2}[n]_q \frac{\{n\}_q}{\{1\}_q}, \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^{-4i} = q^{-2n+2}[n]_q \frac{\{n\}_q}{\{1\}_q}, \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{X - 2i\}_q = \{X - n + 1\}_q [n]_q, \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [X - 2i]_q \{Y - 2i\}_q = [X + Y - 2n + 2]_q [n]_q \frac{\{n\}_q}{\{1\}_q} + n[X - Y]_q, \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{X - i\}_q [i]_q \{Y - 2i\}_q = \left( [X + Y - n + 1]_q + [X - Y + n - 1]_q \right) \frac{\{n\}_q}{\{1\}_q} \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{X - i\}_q [i]_q \{Y - 2i\}_q = \left( [X + Y - n + 1]_q + [X - Y + n - 1]_q \right) \frac{\{n\}_q}{\{1\}_q} \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{X - i\}_q [i]_q \{Y - 2i\}_q = \left( [X + Y - n + 1]_q + [X - Y + n - 1]_q \right) \frac{\{n\}_q}{\{1\}_q} \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{X - i\}_q [i]_q \{Y - 2i\}_q = \left( [X + Y - n + 1]_q + [X - Y + n - 1]_q \right) \frac{\{n\}_q}{\{1\}_q} \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{X - i\}_q [i]_q \{Y - 2i\}_q = \left( [X + Y - n + 1]_q + [X - Y + n - 1]_q \right) \frac{\{n\}_q}{\{1\}_q} \]

which are often used.

**III. REPRESENTATION OF $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ IN A COHERENT STATE BASIS**

In this section, we recall some elements of the algebraic structure and representations in a CS basis of $U_q[gl(2|1)]$ (see [24]), a quantum superalgebra which has been investigated in details by both physicists and mathematicians. Next, we will show how to establish the $U_q[gl(2|1)]$ coherent states that will be used to construct its representations of this quantum superalgebra.

**III.1. Quantum superalgebra $U_q[gl(2|1)]$**

The quantum super algebra $U_q[gl(2|1)]$ can be defined through its Weyl-Chevalley generators $E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{23}, E_{32}$ and $E_{ii}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) satisfying the following defining relations (see, for example, [23, 24]):

a) the (anti-)commutation relations ($1 \leq i, j, i+1, j+1 \leq 3$):

\[ [E_{ii}, E_{jj}] = 0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (6a)
\[ [E_{ii}, E_{j,j+1}] = (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{i,j+1})E_{j,j+1}, \] \hspace{1cm} (6b)
\[ [E_{ii}, E_{j+1,j}] = (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j})E_{j+1,j}, \] \hspace{1cm} (6c)
\[ [E_{12}, E_{21}] = [H_1]_q, \] \hspace{1cm} (6d)
\[ [E_{23}, E_{32}] = [H_2]_q, \] \hspace{1cm} (6e)
\[ H_i = (E_{ii} - \frac{d_{i+1}}{d_i} E_{i+1,i+1}), \quad H_3 \equiv E_{33}, \] \hspace{1cm} (6f)
where \( d_1 = d_2 = -d_3 = 1 \), and

b) the Serre relations:

\[
E_{23}^2 = E_{32}^2 = 0, \\
|E_{12}, E_{13}|q = [E_{21}, E_{31}]q = 0,
\]

with \( E_{13} \) and \( E_{31} \),

\[
E_{13} = [E_{12}, E_{23}]q^{-1}, \quad E_{31} = -[E_{21}, E_{32}]q^{-1}.
\]

The generators \( E_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, 3 \), are \( q \)-deformations (\( q \)-analogs) of the corresponding Weyl generators \( e_{ij} \) of classical super-algebra \( gl(2|1) \) obeying the (anti) commutation relations

\[
[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = \delta_{jk}e_{il} - (-1)^{[i]+[j]}(k+i)\delta_{il}e_{kj},
\]

with \( [e_{ij}, e_{kl}] \equiv e_{ij}e_{kl} - (-1)^{[i]+[j]}(k+i)\delta_{kl}e_{ij} \) and \([i]\) being a parity defined by \([1] = [2] = 0, [3] = 1\). It is easy to see that \( H_1 \) and the even Chevalley generators \( E_{12} \) and \( E_{21} \) form a subalgebra called the even subalgebra of \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \) and denoted by \( U_q[gl(2|1)]_0 \). The latter is a quantum deformation of \( U[gl(2|1)]_0 \) which is the universal enveloping algebra of the even subalgebra \( gl(2|1)_0 \) of \( gl(2|1) \).

### III.2. Coherent states of \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \)

Now, we elaborate the vector coherent states of \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \) in a basis of an elementary representation and the Fock-space of the corresponding quantum superalgebra Heisenberg.

Let \( |J\rangle \) be a state of \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \) defined by

\[
H_1 |J\rangle = 2J_1 |J\rangle, \quad H_2 |J\rangle = 2J_2 |J\rangle, \quad H_3 |J\rangle = 2J_3 |J\rangle,
\]

\[
E_{12} |J\rangle = E_{13} |J\rangle = E_{23} |J\rangle = 0.
\]

It is called the the highest weight state characterized by a set of three numbers \( J_1, J_2 \) and \( J_3 \) called the highest weight:

\[
|J\rangle \equiv |J_1, J_2, J_3\rangle.
\]

If we want an elementary representation of \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \) finite dimensional, \( J_1 \) must be an integer or a half-integer and in this case the representation space (module), we denote by \( W \), has the dimension \( 8J_1 + 4 \). In particular, it contains four sub-spaces, say \( V_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), which are finite-dimensional modules of the even subalgebra \( U_q[gl(2|1)]_0 \) and respectively spanned on the following basis vectors:

\[
|J_1, J_2, J_3, M\rangle = C_M^{(1)}E_{21}^{1-M}|J\rangle \in V_1,
\]

\[
|J_1 - 1/2, J_2 + 1/2, J_3 + 1/2, P\rangle = C_P^{(2)}\left\{ q^{J_1+P+1/2}E_{32}E_{21}^{J_1-P+1/2}|J\rangle + |J_1 + P + 1/2\rangle qE_{31}E_{21}^{J_1-P-1/2}|J\rangle \right\} \in V_2,
\]

\[
|J_1 + 1/2, J_2, J_3 + 1/2, R\rangle = C_R^{(3)}\left\{ \frac{1}{q^{J_1-R+1/2}}E_{32}E_{21}^{J_1-R+1/2}|J\rangle - |J_1 - R + 1/2\rangle qE_{31}E_{21}^{J_1-R-1/2}|J\rangle \right\} \in V_3,
\]

\[
|J_1, J_2 + 1/2, J_3 + 1, S\rangle = C_S^{(4)}E_{32}E_{31}E_{21}^{J_1-S}|J\rangle \in V_4.
\]
where \( C_k^{(i)} \) are normalization coefficients which can be fixed by additional, for example, Hermitian conditions, and \(-J_1 \leq M, S \leq J_1, -(J_1 - 1/2) \leq P \leq (J_1 - 1/2), -(J_1 + 1/2) \leq R \leq (J_1 + 1/2)\) such that \((J_1 - M), (J_1 - P - 1/2), (J_1 - R + 1/2)\) and \((J_1 - S)\) are integers. These four finite-dimensional irreducible modules of the even subalgebra \( U \) built on the superalgebra operator with the number operator \( N_\alpha \) where \( E \) is, if \( \bar{\alpha} = 1 \), then the quantum Heisenberg superalgebra \( U_q[gl(2|1)_0] \) we can choose \( C_k^{(i)} = 1 \) and work with vectors (12) non-normalized. The odd generators of \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \) intertwine these \( U_q[gl(2|1)_0] \)-modules.

Now, the generalized coherent states of \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \) can be defined as (cf. [3, 4, 21], [10]–[14])

\[
e_q^{a_{12}E_{21} + a_{23}E_{32} + a_{13}E_{31}} |J\rangle,
\]

where \( \alpha_{ij} \) (\( a_{ij} \)) are \( q \)-analogs of the fermion creating (annihilating) operators with the number operator \( N_{\alpha_{ij}} \), and \( a_{ij}^{\dagger} \) (\( a_{12} \)) is a \( q \)-analog of the boson creating (annihilating) operator with the number operator \( N_{a_{12}} \). This operators form the quantum Heisenberg superalgebra \( U_q[h(2|1)] \):

\[
\{ \alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ij}^{\dagger} \} = 1, \quad N_{\alpha_{ij}} = \alpha_{ij}^{\dagger} \alpha_{ij}, \quad [N_{\alpha_{ij}}, \alpha_{ij}^{\dagger}] = \alpha_{ij}^{\dagger}, \quad [N_{\alpha_{ij}}, \alpha_{ij}] = -\alpha_{ij} \\
[a_{12}, a_{12}^{\dagger}]_q = q^{-N_{a_{12}}}, \quad [N_{a_{12}}, q] = a_{12}^{\dagger} a_{12}, \quad [N_{a_{12}} + 1]_q = a_{12} a_{12}^{\dagger}, \\
[N_{a_{12}}, a_{12}] = a_{12}^{\dagger}, \quad [N_{a_{12}}, a_{12}] = -a_{12}.
\]

This quantum Heisenberg superalgebra \( U_q[h(2|1)] \) super-commutes with \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \), that is, if \( E \) is an operator of \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \) and \( X \) is an operator of \( U_q[h(2|1)] \) they super-commute with each other:

\[
EX = (-1)^{deg(E) deg(X)}XE,
\]

where \( deg(X) \) is the parity of \( X \).

Let \( |\psi\rangle \) be a state vector in a (e.g., finite-dimensional) module of \( U_q[gl(2|1)] \). Then the mapping [24] (note the difference between the notations here and [21])

\[
|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\psi\rangle_J = \langle J| e_q^{a_{12}^{\dagger}E_{12} + a_{23}^{\dagger}E_{23} + a_{13}^{\dagger}E_{13}} |\psi\rangle |0\rangle,
\]

is
induces the mapping

\[ A \rightarrow \Gamma(A) |\psi\rangle_f = \langle J | e_q^a_{12}E_{12} + a_{13}^1E_{23} + a_{13}^1E_{13} A |\psi\rangle |0\rangle, \tag{18}\]

of an operator \(A\) defined in a space, which here is a \(U_q[gl(2|1)]\) module, containing \(|\psi\rangle\), where \(|0\rangle\) is a vacuum state of the quantum Heisenberg superalgebra \(U_q[h(2|1)]\):

\[ a_{12} |0\rangle = \alpha_{ij} |0\rangle = 0. \tag{19}\]

The main purpose of coherent state method is to find \(|\psi\rangle_f\) and explicit forms of \(\Gamma(A)\). The explicit results can be found in [24].

\[ \text{IV. REPRESENTATION OF } U_q[osp(2|2)] \text{ IN A COHERENT STATE BASIS} \]

Here, we consider \(U_q[osp(2|2)]\) and first see how its algebraic structure is broken in the deformation process from \(osp(2|2)\). The rest part is to construct boson-fermion realizations (finite-dimensional representations) of \(U_q[osp(2|2)]\).

\[ \text{IV.1. Quantum superalgebra } U_q[osp(2|2)] \]

Quantum superalgebra \(U_q[osp(2|2)]\) can be defined via its Weyl-Chevalley generators \(E, F, e, \bar{e}, f, \bar{f}\) which satisfy the following defining relations (see, for example, [26]):

\[
\begin{align*}
\{e, f\} &= -\frac{1}{2} |H_1 - H_2\rangle_q, \quad \{\bar{e}, \bar{f}\} = -\frac{1}{2} |H_1 + H_2\rangle_q \\
[H_1, e] &= e, \quad [H_1, f] = -f, \quad [H_2, e] = f, \quad [H_2, f] = -e, \\
[H_1, \bar{e}] &= \bar{e} = \frac{1}{2} [H_1, f] = -\frac{1}{2} [H_2, e], \quad [H_1, \bar{f}] = \bar{f} = -\frac{1}{2} [H_2, f], \\
\{e, \bar{e}\} &= E, \quad \{f, \bar{f}\} = F, \quad [H_1, E] = 2E, \quad [H_1, F] = -2F, \\
[H_1, E] &= -\frac{1}{2} e \{H_1 + H_2 - 1\}_q - \frac{1}{2} f e \{H_1 + H_2 + 1\}_q \\
[H_1, F] &= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{e} \bar{f} \{H_1 - H_2 - 1\}_q - \frac{1}{2} \bar{f} \bar{e} \{H_1 - H_2 + 1\}_q, \\
[H_2, E] &= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{e} \{H_1 - H_2 + 1\}_q, \quad [E, \bar{f}] = -\frac{1}{2} e \{H_1 + H_2 + 1\}_q, \\
[H_2, F] &= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{f} \{H_1 - H_2 - 1\}_q, \quad [F, \bar{e}] = -\frac{1}{2} f \{H_1 + H_2 - 1\}_q. \tag{20}\]
\]

Let us note a feature related to a quantum deformation of an orthosymplectic superalgebra. In classical case, algebraically, three generators \(H_1, E,\) and \(F\) generate a subagebra \(su(2)\) of \(osp(2|2)\). However when we deform this superalgebra to get its quantum version \(- U_q[osp(2|2)]\), as above, the subalgebra structure is broken. In other words, now \(H_1, E\) and \(F\) do not form a quantum algebra \(U_q[su(2)]\).

\[ \text{IV.2. q–boson-fermion realization of } U_q[osp(2|2)] \]

In this sub-section, we deal with \(q\)–boson-fermion realizations of \(U_q[osp(2|2)]\). As shown above, \(U_q[osp(2|2)]\) does not contain a subalgebra \(U_q[su(2)]\), therefore, building finite-dimensional representations of \(U_q[osp(2|2)]\) based on an even subalgebra is impossible. To solve this problem, we start from a state, say \(|J\rangle\), defined by the conditions

\[ H_1 |J\rangle = 2J_1 |J\rangle, \quad H_2 |J\rangle = 2J_2 |J\rangle, \quad E |J\rangle = e |J\rangle = \bar{e} |J\rangle = 0. \tag{21}\]
We call it the highest weight state of $U_q[osp(2|2)]$. We can also define its lowest weight state, $|J\rangle$:

$$H_1 |J\rangle = 2J_1 |J\rangle, \quad H_2 |J\rangle = 2J_2 |J\rangle, \quad F |J\rangle = f |J\rangle = \bar{f} |J\rangle = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The lowest weight and the highest one are related to each other through the formula

$$F^{\pm} |J\rangle = |J'\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

with $n$ is an integer depending on the choice of $J_i$ and $J'_i$. Because the subalgebra structure is broken $J_1, J'_1, J_2$ and $J'_2$ can be arbitrary complex numbers. Using the notation

$$|J\rangle \equiv |J_1, J_2\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

the basis vectors on which a representation of $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ can be spanned can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
|v_{1(n)}\rangle &= F^n |J\rangle, \\
|v_{2(n)}\rangle &= fF^n |J\rangle, \\
|v_{3(n)}\rangle &= \bar{f}F^n |J\rangle, \\
|v_{4(n)}\rangle &= \bar{f}fF^n |J\rangle.
\end{align*}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Now, generalized coherent states of $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ can be defined as (cf. [3, 4, 21], [10]–[14])

$$e^{qF+\alpha_1 f+\alpha_2 \bar{f}} |J\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

with $\alpha^\dagger_i (\alpha_i)$ are $q$-analogs of the fermion creating (annihilating) operators with the number operator $N_{\alpha_i}$, and $a^\dagger (a)$ is a $q$-analogs of the boson creating (annihilating) operator with the number operator $N_a$. They form the quantum Heisenberg superalgebra $U_q[h(2|1)]$:

$$\{\alpha_i, \alpha^\dagger_i\} = 1, \quad N_{\alpha_i} = \alpha^\dagger_i \alpha_i, \quad [N_{\alpha_i}, \alpha^\dagger_i] = \alpha^\dagger_i, \quad [N_{\alpha_i}, \alpha_i] = -\alpha_i$$

$$[a, a^\dagger]_q = q^{-N_a}, \quad [N_a]_q = a^\dagger a, \quad [N_a + 1]_q = aa^\dagger,$$

$$[N_a, a^\dagger] = a^\dagger, \quad [N_a, a] = -a.$$

Furthermore, the same as in the case of $U_q[gl(2|1)$, if $A$ is an operator of $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ and $X$ is an operator of $U_q[h(2|1)]$, then:

$$AX = (-1)^{deg(A)\cdot deg(X)} X A,$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Let $|\psi\rangle$ be a state vector in an elementary representation space of $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ then the mapping

$$|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\psi\rangle_J = \langle J | e^{qE+\alpha_1^E+\alpha_2^E} |\psi\rangle |0\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

induces the mapping acts on an operator $\hat{A}$ in elementary representation space

$$A \rightarrow \Gamma(A) |\psi\rangle_J = \langle J | e^{qE+\alpha_1^E+\alpha_2^E} A |\psi\rangle |0\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state of the quantum Heisenberg superalgebra $U_q[h(2|1)]$:

$$a|0\rangle = \alpha_i |0\rangle = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)
Using (29), we get the following explicit forms of the generators in the coherent state space:

\[
\Gamma(H_1) = 2J_1 - 2N_a - N_1 - N_2, \\
\Gamma(H_2) = 2J_2 + N_2 - N_1, \\
\Gamma(e) = \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 \sigma_a, \\
\Gamma(\dot{e}) = \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \sigma_a, \\
\Gamma(f) = \frac{1}{2} \{2J_1 - 2J_2 - N_a\} \sigma_q \sigma_{\alpha_2} \\
- \frac{1}{4} \left( [2J_1 - 2J_2]_q + [2J_1 - 2J_2 - 2N_a]_q \right) \sigma_1 \sigma_1^\dagger \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \left( [2J_1 - 2J_2]_q + [2J_1 - 2J_2 - 2N_a - 1]_q \right) \sigma_1^\dagger N_2, \\
\Gamma(\dot{f}) = \frac{1}{2} \{2J_1 + 2J_2 - N_a\} \sigma_q \sigma_{\alpha_1} \\
- \frac{1}{4} \left( [2J_1 + 2J_2]_q + [2J_1 + 2J_2 - 2N_a]_q \right) \sigma_2 \sigma_2^\dagger \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \left( [2J_1 + 2J_2 - 2N_a - 1]_q \right) \sigma_2^\dagger N_1, \\
\Gamma(E) = a, \\
\Gamma(F) = \frac{1}{4} (q - q^{-1})^2 [4J_2]_q \left( \sigma_1^\dagger \right)^2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \left( [4J_1 - 3N_a + 2]_q + [4J_1 - N_a]_q \right) \sigma_1^\dagger + \frac{1}{8} [4J_2]_q \{N_a - 1\}_q \sigma_1^\dagger \\
- \frac{1}{4} \{4J_1 - 3N_a + 1\}_q \sigma_1^\dagger N_1 - \frac{1}{8} [4J_2]_q \{N_a - 1\}_q \sigma_1^\dagger N_1 \\
- \frac{1}{4} \{4J_1 - 3N_a + 1\}_q \sigma_2^\dagger N_2 - \frac{1}{8} [4J_2]_q \{N_a - 1\}_q \sigma_2^\dagger N_2 \\
- \frac{1}{8} \left( \{4J_2 - N_a - 1\}_q + \{4J_2 + N_a - 1\}_q \right) N_1 N_2 \sigma_1^\dagger \\
- \{4J_2 - N_a + 1\}_q \{4J_2 + N_a - 1\}_q \} \sigma_1^\dagger N_2 \sigma_1^\dagger \\
- \frac{1}{4} \left( \{4J_1 - 3N_a - 1\}_q - \{4J_1 - 3N_a + 1\}_q \right) N_1 N_2 \sigma_1^\dagger \\
+ \frac{1}{16} \left( [4J_2 - 2N_a - 1]_q + [4J_2 + 2N_a + 1]_q + [4J_2 - 1]_q + [4J_2 + 1]_q \right) \sigma_1^\dagger \sigma_2^\dagger.
\]

Using expression in Section I, we prove that operators in (31) also satisfy super commutation relations as in (20). It means that mapping (29) does perform a boson-fermion realization of quantum super group \( U_q[osp(2/2)] \). Basically, we can derive basis vector in representation space via (28). However, this selection is not optimal to create physical system and thus we will show possible solution in separated works.
V. CONCLUSION

This work is still far from being finished but the present results give us a good start to a more complete construction of representations in a coherent state basis of important quantum superalgebras, in particular, $U_q(osp(2|2))$ which is a quantum deformation analog of the superalgebra $osp(2|2)$ which has been intensively investigated and applied to different physics models.
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